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Abstract

AIM: The authors discuss the current management for intracranial ependymomas in children and young adults. Ependymomas are rare central nervous system neoplasms that account for 1/3 of all posterior fossa tumors in children. There has been much controversy with the management of these tumors.

METHODS: Surgery can safely be performed using surgical adjuncts such as the ultrasonic aspirator and neurophysiological monitoring. The role of adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation therapy has yet to be determined.

RESULTS: A gross total resection of these tumors results in a good long-term outcome, since these tumors are relatively resistant to adjuvant therapy. Adjuvant radiation therapy should only be administered for the high grade or residual tumor. Tumors in young infants have a worse prognosis than older children.

CONCLUSION: Ependymomas are relatively aggressive tumors which are resistant to adjuvant therapies. A gross total resection, at first operation, should be attempted. Residual tumor should be treated with adjuvant therapy and second-look surgery.
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Introduction

Ependymomas are relatively rare neuroepithelial tumors and account for 3-7% of all central nervous system (CNS) tumors. Among pediatric CNS tumors, ependymomas are the third most common intracranial tumor (6-14%) after juvenile pilocytic astrocytomas and primitive neuroectodermal tumors. The incidence in children is reported to be six times that in adults. Fifty percent of them occur under the age of five years and approximately two thirds of them occur in the infratentorial compartment. Supratentorial ependymomas occur more frequently in adults (1, 4, 8, 14, 17, 23, 28, 34, 38, 46). Surgery is the mainstay of management, and an increasing rate of complete surgical resection has been reported in recent years. Ependymomas in the posterior fossa, particularly in children, are difficult to completely resect without significant morbidity. Postoperative radiotherapy (RT) is believed to improve the prognosis of these tumors and most institutions indeed use RT as the primary adjuvant therapy for all intracranial ependymomas. Several trials of adjuvant chemotherapy have been reported, but most results have been disappointing (3, 9, 11, 35, 36, 41, 43). Although advances in diagnostic and management modalities have improved the outcome for other CNS pediatric tumors, the prognosis for intracranial ependymomas, particularly posterior fossa, remains dismal.

This article outlines the clinicopathological features of intracranial ependymomas in children and their optimal treatment strategies.

Pathology

Ependymomas are presumably derived from the primitive neuroepithelial cells that line the ventri-
Intracranial Ependymomas in Children

revealed significant heterogeneity. Several genetic may be found which portends to a poorer prognosis. is locally invasive and subarachnoid dissemination proliferation, and necrosis. This high-grade variant endothelial hyperplasia, mitotic figures, vascular features as pleomorphism (giant cell formation), WHO grade III classification due to such histological cytokeratin. express GFAP, S100, and vimentin, but not Myxopapillary ependymomas characteristically Histologically, this variant appears papillary with cauda equina, and filum terminale area. found in the lower spinal canal around the conus, the subependymal glial cells. In contrast, a fibrillary matrix and are believed to be derived from primordial ependymal epithelia, in the form of rosettes or perivascular pseudorosettes. Significant morphologic variability may be present, but this group of ependymomas are all considered WHO grade II tumors. Variant forms of ependymomas have been characterized by the presence of increased cellularity, papillary growth pattern, clear cell morphology or fibrillary/tancytic formation. These tumors will stain positive for glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) to varying degrees. Electron microscope analysis may be necessary because of the significant variability in the histologic subtypes. The presence of either cilia or basal cell blepharooplasts confirms the diagnosis of ependymoma.

Subependymomas and myxopapillary ependymomas are slow-growing ependymomas classified as WHO grade I. Subependymomas are nodular and arise from within the ventricular wall, primarily from the fourth ventricle in about half the reported cases. They appear as tufts of cells within a fibrillary matrix and are believed to be derived from the subependymal glial cells. In contrast, myxopapillary ependymomas are almost exclusively found in the lower spinal canal around the conus, cauda equina, and filum terminale area. Histologically, this variant appears papillary with mucocystic cells around vascular networks. Myxopapillary ependymomas characteristically express GFAP, S100, and vimentin, but not cytokeratin.

Malignant or anaplastic ependymomas are given WHO grade III classification due to such histological features as pleomorphism (giant cell formation), endothelial hyperplasia, mitotic figures, vascular proliferation, and necrosis. This high-grade variant is locally invasive and subarachnoid dissemination may be found which portends to a poorer prognosis.

Molecular characterization of ependymomas has revealed significant heterogeneity. Several genetic alterations have been characterized. The most common genetic aberrations that have been discovered involve chromosome 22. For example, mutations on 22q12 at the NF2 tumor suppressor gene locus have been correlated with spinal ependymomas. Other chromosomes implicated with ependymomas include chromosome 9, 10, 13, and 17.

Clinical presentation
The clinical signs and symptoms of intracranial ependymomas depend on the location, size of the tumor, and the age of the patient. Ependymomas are typically large at time of presentation because they grow slowly. The symptoms for posterior fossa ependymomas are usually related to increased intracranial pressure from hydrocephalus caused by obstruction of the fourth ventricle. The most prominent presenting symptoms include nausea, vomiting, and headache. Ataxia, hemiparesis, dizziness, and visual disturbances are also seen frequently. The extension of the tumor into the cervical subarachnoid space may result in neck pain, nuchal rigidity, and torticollis. Children over the age of two years commonly present with vomiting, headache, papilledema, and ataxia. Common signs and symptoms in children under the age of two years are vomiting, lethargy, irritability, and failure to thrive(1, 8, 9).

Supratentorial ependymomas occur more frequently in older children and adults. Their signs and symptoms are primarily related to mass effect from the tumor. They typically present with focal neurologic deficits such as limb weakness and visual field defects(1, 28, 43, 46).

Imaging characteristics
Ependymomas appear isodense or hyperdense to brain on precontrast computed tomography (CT). They have mild to moderate heterogenous enhancement with intravenous contrast. Calcification occurs in nearly 50% of all cases. In the posterior fossa, ependymomas typically present as solid masses in the fourth ventricle with extension through the foramen of Luschka into cerebellopontine (CP) angle or through the foramen magnum into cervical spinal canal (Figure 1 and 2). Supratentorial ependymomas have a more variable appearance with heterogenous enhancement after contrast administration (Figure 3). They tend to be cystic, calcified and well-demarcated tumors(2).

On magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ependymomas may also have a variable appearance due to small cysts or calcifications. On T1-weighted imaging, ependymomas are hypointense as compared to brain parenchyma. On T2-weighted imaging, these tumors are isointense with gray matter. However,
**Figure 1:** Imaging characteristics for a fourth ventricle ependymoma with extension into the cerebellopontine cistern.  (a) Noncontrast CT scan demonstrates a slightly hyperdense lesion within the cerebellopontine angle.  (b) T1-weighted axial image with gadolinium demonstrates the location of the tumor lateral to the brainstem displacing the basilar artery.  (c) T2-weighted axial image demonstrates the hyperintense signal characteristics.  (d) T1-weighted sagittal image.

The diverse signal characteristics may make the diagnosis of ependymoma difficult on MRI.
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downward extension of the tumor through the foramen of Magendie into the cervical subarachnoid space or through the foramen of Luschka into the cerebellopontine cistern(2, 8, 9, 22).

Treatment
Despite great interest for pediatric intracranial ependymomas among neurosurgeons, neurooncologists, and radiotherapists, there is still considerable controversy regarding optimal management of intracranial ependymomas in children, and no standard protocol has been established.
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Figure 2: A fourth ventricle ependymoma confined to the fourth ventricle. (a) T1-weighted axial image demonstrates a hypointense lesion within the fourth ventricle. (b) T1-weighted axial image with contrast demonstrates a heterogeneous enhancement pattern. (c) T1-weighted sagittal image reveals the exact location within the fourth ventricle.

Surgery
Surgery is the mainstay and the initial treatment for intracranial ependymomas in children. Many authors have emphasized the extent of tumor resection as a significant prognostic factor(7, 9, 26, 35, 36). Therefore, the best effort to perform total or near-total resection should be made. Technological advances such as the ultrasonic aspirator and neurophysiological monitoring allow for a total or near-total resection of tumor with minimal morbidity(9, 35). Review of the recent literature reveals that the rate of total resection for supratentorial ependymomas appears to be 53-72% and for infratentorial ependymoma 27-55%(4, 23-26, 28, 33, 35, 36, 43, 45).

Infratentorial ependymomas
The most common location for infratentorial ependymoma is within the fourth ventricle. The surgical approach, therefore, is a suboccipital craniotomy with or without C1 laminectomy, depending on the extent of the tumor in the cervical region. The dura is opened with a standard Y-shaped incision crossing the foramen magnum. Ependymomas often protrude through the foramen of Magendie into the cisterna magna and even downwards to the cervical spinal canal.
The tumor is soft and red-grey in color. The tumor, however, does not infiltrate the pia, and this portion of tumor can be removed without difficulty. The tumor in the fourth ventricle can be exposed by elevation of both tonsils. The inferior vermis may be elevated to provide the necessary exposure and opening the sulcus between the tonsil and vermis can provide wider access to the tumor without splitting the inferior vermis. This technique avoids splitting the inferior cerebellar vermis which may result in posterior fossa syndrome(5, 8).

As early as possible the floor of fourth ventricle must be inspected and protected by placing a cottonoid strip. The majority of the tumor bulk is resected in piecemeal fashion using the bipolar cautery-suction and the cavitron ultrasonic aspirator (CUSA). The real challenge of ependymoma surgery is the management of the attachment to the floor of the fourth ventricle, which is the site of origin. The brainstem nuclei under the floor of fourth ventricle may not be displaced, therefore, an attempt to resect this attachment may result in significant postoperative morbidity, particularly lower cranial nerve dysfunction. It may be very frustrating for a neurosurgeon not to remove a small portion tumor after the large majority has been successfully resected. According to the authors’ experience, it is essential to realize that the broad attachment to the floor of fourth ventricle can not be removed without morbidity(9). In some cases, the attachment of the ependymoma to the floor of fourth ventricle is very small and limited to the obex. It must always be recognized that attempts to resect this tumor may result in significant neurological deficits. Neuro-physiological mapping of the floor of fourth ventricle can be helpful in the decision making(9).

A postoperative MRI must be performed within 48 hours after surgery. The extent of resection must be evaluated. Second-look surgery has been recommended to maximize the extent of resection in cases of unexpected residual tumor or when the decision for a staged operation was made (13). Optimal timing of second-look surgery, however, is subject of debate. Some authors prefer a delayed second-look surgery after adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy because adjuvant therapy may reduce the tumor volume or alter its characteristics for improved surgical outcomes(12, 35). Since it is unclear that adjuvant therapy is beneficial, in cases where residual tumor is easily accessible with minimal morbidity, immediate second-look surgery might be a appropriate option to achieve radical resection of tumor(12).

Preoperative shunting, in the absence of clinically acute hydrocephalus, is no longer recommended because resection of the fourth ventricular tumor provides restoration of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pathways(9). Preoperative shunting can even be dangerous because it may predispose to upward herniation, intratumoral hemorrhage, postoperative subdural hematoma, and postoperative shunt-dependant state(8, 9, 41, 43). At least 80% of the patients will not need shunt placement after resection of a fourth ventricular ependymoma(43).

Supratentorial ependymomas
Supratentorial ependymomas occur in either the ventricular system or a hemisphere and are usually large at time of diagnosis. The surgical approaches depend on the location and size of the tumor. Frameless image guidance, intraoperative MRI, and intraoperative ultrasound provide good localization of the tumor and facilitate resection of the tumor. Intraoperative neuroelectrophysiologic monitoring of motor and somatosensory evoked potentials minimize the morbidity.

ADJUVANT THERAPY
Radiotherapy
Postoperative radiotherapy (RT) has been considered the standard treatment for patients with intracranial ependymomas. Although no randomized trial has been conducted to evaluate the benefit of radiotherapy, several retrospective studies have reported a better prognosis for patients treated with postoperative RT compared to those treated with surgery alone(6, 24, 36, 39, 42). However, there is no consensus about postoperative RT for children who have a radiographically complete resection, particularly for children with supratentorial ependymomas because these tumors have a relatively well-defined margin and grow mainly by expansion(1, 7, 29, 43).
Despite general agreement of the efficacy of RT, there still is considerable controversy over the optimal volume of radiation (local field versus whole brain versus craniospinal axis). Most published reports recommend local field RT. The rationales are: relapse occurs at the primary tumor site, tendency of dissemination through the central nervous system is low, and there is no evidence that CNS seeding can be prevented by whole brain or craniospinal irradiation (4, 16, 20, 23-25, 33, 41, 43, 44). Protocols recommend 5400 cGy in 30 fractions over 6 weeks for low-grade ependymomas and 5940 cGy in 33 fractions over 6.5 weeks for high-grade ependymomas(4, 30, 31). The definition of “local field”, particularly for infratentorial ependymoma is not well defined. Some oncologists define it as the entire posterior fossa, whereas, others define local field as the tumor or tumor bed with a safety margin(16, 26, 30). Since smaller RT volumes, in particularly for children, may minimize the late adverse effects of RT, some retrospective studies have been conducted to determine the ideal volume. Paulino insisted that the appropriate and safe volume for non-disseminated and low-grade infratentorial ependymomas is the tumor bed with a 2-cm safety margin and does not need to include the entire posterior fossa(30).

Stereotactic Radiosurgery has been applied to manage recurrent or residual intracranial tumor in some institutions. Sanford et al. reported that in-field local control was achieved for 14 of 17 recurrent intracranial ependymomas and the 3-year local control rate was 68%. The study found that SRS provides good local tumor control for patients with recurrent disease and may have a favorable impact on survival(38). However, Hodgson et al. found that the 3-year local control in children with recurrent or residual intracranial ependymomas was only 29%(19).
Chemotherapy
Despite considerable efforts with aggressive surgery and radiotherapy, the prognosis of intracranial ependymomas in children is still disappointing. Several studies, therefore, have been conducted to evaluate the efficacy of chemotherapy. Some reports have noted tumor responses and improved survival(27, 40), whereas most other studies have reported little effect of chemotherapy on childhood ependymomas (3, 9, 11, 35, 36, 41, 43). Nevertheless, some studies have demonstrated efficacy of chemotherapy in young children in an effort to postpone the postoperative radiotherapy (40, 41). Grill et al. reported that 40% and 23% of children under 5 years old were spared from radiotherapy at 2 and 4 years, respectively, after the initiation of chemotherapy. 74% of patients with radiologically complete resection and 35% of patients with incomplete resection survived for 4 years (15). A German prospective trial with 55 newly diagnosed anaplastic ependymomas in children described that adjuvant chemotherapy did not influence survival (42).

Although the role of adjuvant chemotherapy is a matter of debate, there is no convincing evidence that chemotherapy improves survival in children with intracranial ependymomas at the present time.

Prognosis
The outcome of children with intracranial ependymomas has improved significantly during recent years. Their prognosis is poor when compared to the outcome for other pediatric brain tumors. Recent published reports demonstrate a 5-year overall survival rate of 40-65% for children with intracranial ependymomas (4, 11, 35, 36, 39, 40).

Many investigations have been conducted to find out prognostic factors for intracranial ependymomas, but all are retrospective and include only a small number of cases.

The extent of surgical resection is the most significant prognostic factor in outcome for children with intracranial ependymomas (23, 26, 28, 43). In the review of published data, the overall 5-year survival rate for the patients with complete surgical resection is 60–80% compared to 22-41% for patients with incomplete surgical resection (11, 15, 23, 25-27, 35). CNS dissemination may occur more often in patients with incomplete surgical resection as compared to those with complete resection (33). Several investigators have reported a long survival and progression-free survival for patients with completely resected ependymoma without adjuvant therapy (26, 28).

Age of the patient at diagnosis is also an important independent factor. Young children with intracranial ependymomas have a significantly worse prognosis than older children. Healey et al. reported that the overall actuarial survival rate at 12 years for children younger than 24 months at diagnosis was 0% as compared to 62% for older children (18). Pollack et al. reported a 5-year overall survival rate of 22% in children younger than 3 years of age and 75% in children older than 3 years (32). Lyons et al. found the 5-year survival rates for patients with posterior fossa tumors was 14% for children and 76% for adults (23). It is not clear why young children have a worse prognosis than older children and adults. Some investigators report a higher incidence of high-grade ependymomas in the young children age group (10, 26). Also, these young children typically have infratentorial tumors which have a higher incidence for incomplete resection (23) (37). Young children, especially under 3 years old, have a disadvantage regarding adjuvant therapy. They are frequently treated with chemotherapy without postoperative radiotherapy (15, 40). Recently new protocols are under investigation to treat even young infants with radiation therapy.

It is controversial whether histopathology affects outcome. Ernestus et al., using a multivariate Cox Model analysis of 125 patients with intracranial ependymomas and the WHO grading system, found a statistically significant relevance of grading for the long-term prognosis of intracranial ependymomas. They reported a median progression-free survival (PFS) time of 7.5 years for Grade II, but 1.5 years for Grade III tumors (10). The histopathologic grade, however, did not significantly influence survival in other series. The possible explanation for this lack of histopathologic correlation to the outcome in intracranial ependymomas is the difficulty in
recognizing the anaplastic variant, because the common criteria for anaplasia are not completely reliable for ependymomas\(^{(24, 39)}\). Therefore, there is no consistency regarding histological criteria for identifying anaplasia and the determination of anaplastic variant is not concise for all pathologists. Ependymomas are highly cellular tumors regardless of grade, and the high-grade tumors may be overdiagnosed\(^{(14, 24, 39, 43)}\).

Several retrospective studies described that supratentorial ependymomas have a better prognosis than infratentorial ependymomas. The effect of the tumor location on the clinical outcome may result from the higher incidence of incomplete resection in the patients with infratentorial ependymomas\(^{(23, 37)}\).

**Conclusion**

Ependymomas in children are difficult to control because of their resistance to adjuvant radiation and chemotherapy. Surgical resection remains the mainstay of treatment for these tumors. Infratentorial location and attachment to the floor of the fourth ventricle or brainstem often prevents complete removal, thus making recurrence more likely. In spite of progress in the surgical approach, radiotherapy options and chemotherapeutic agents, these tumors remain a therapeutic challenge because of their tendency to recur. New radiation therapy technology and chemotherapy agents are needed to be developed to help control this disease.
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