Recent advances in cancer stem/progenitor cell research: therapeutic implications for overcoming resistance to the most aggressive cancers
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Abstract

Overcoming intrinsic and acquired resistance of cancer stem/progenitor cells to current clinical treatments represents a major challenge in treating and curing the most aggressive and metastatic cancers. This review summarizes recent advances in our understanding of the cellular origin and molecular mechanisms at the basis of cancer initiation and progression as well as the heterogeneity of cancers arising from the malignant...
transformation of adult stem/progenitor cells. We describe the critical functions provided by several growth factor cascades, including epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), stem cell factor (SCF) receptor (KIT), hedgehog and Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathways that are frequently activated in cancer progenitor cells and are involved in their sustained growth, survival, invasion and drug resistance. Of therapeutic interest, we also discuss recent progress in the development of new drug combinations to treat the highly aggressive and metastatic cancers including refractory/relapsed leukaemias, melanoma and head and neck, brain, lung, breast, ovary, prostate, pancreas and gastrointestinal cancers which remain incurable in the clinics. The emphasis is on new therapeutic strategies consisting of molecular targeting of distinct oncogenic signalling elements activated in the cancer progenitor cells and their local microenvironment during cancer progression. These new targeted therapies should improve the efficacy of current therapeutic treatments against aggressive cancers, and thereby preventing disease relapse and enhancing patient survival.
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Introduction

Major improvements in the treatment of cancer patients have been accomplished in the few last years. The development of more effective diagnostic and prognostic methods is leading to an earlier therapeutic intervention in the clinics [1–17]. Among the clinical treatments, tumour surgical ablation, hormonal therapy, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, alone or in combination, are the most currently used therapies for treating the patients diagnosed with diverse cancers including leukaemias and malignant solid tumours, such as skin, head and neck, brain, lung, kidney, bladder, prostate, breast, ovary, pancreas and gastrointestinal cancers [1–3, 5–9, 12–24]. In general, the patients diagnosed with localized cancers that are treated with these conventional clinical therapies have a high response rate and a good outcome. Although these conventional therapies are effective in initial phase of treatment, the cancer progression to locally invasive and metastatic states is often associated with resistance to treatments and disease relapse, which leads to the death of the patients [1–3, 5–7, 9, 12, 13, 15–17, 21–23, 25–31]. The cancer recurrence phenomenon has been associated with the accumulating genetic and/or epigenic alterations in cancer cells that may contribute to their uncontrolled growth, survival and invasion as well as their intrinsic or acquired resistance to clinical treatments [1–3, 13, 16, 26–28, 31–41]. The altered activation and/or overexpression of numerous growth factors, adenosine 5′-triphosphate (ATP)-binding cassette (ABC) multidrug efflux transporters, anti-apoptotic factors (MYC, Bcl-2, NF-κB and survivin) as well as a decreased expression or activity of tumour suppressor genes (p53 and phosphatase and tensin homolog, PTEN) in cancer cells may contribute to the drug resistance and disease relapse [1, 16, 26–28, 32–46].

Recently, new concepts have been proposed on the critical implication of highly leukaemic or tumourigenic cancer progenitor cells also designated as cancer stem cells or cancer-initiating cells, in cancer initiation and progression to metastatic disease states and resistance to conventional therapies [16, 35, 39, 40, 47–53]. These cancer progenitor cell-based concepts may partially explain the recurrence of the most aggressive cancers to current clinical treatments. More specifically, the reactivation of diverse developmental signalling cascades (epidermal growth factor (EGF)/EGFR, stem cell factor (SCF)/KIT, hedgehog and Wnt/β-catenin signalling pathways) combined with the increased DNA repair mechanisms and ABC transporter-mediated multi-drug efflux in cancer progenitor cells may be responsible, at least in part, for their resistance to current clinical therapies [13, 16, 30, 31, 35–37, 39–42, 46, 54–61]. Moreover, the changes in the local microenvironment of cancer progenitor cells may also influence their behaviour. In this review, we discuss the importance of considering new concepts on the implication of cancer progenitor cells in cancer development in order to overcoming resistance to conventional cancer therapies. The emphasis is on the oncogenic
Functions of cancer progenitor cells in the cancer initiation and progression

New model of carcinogenesis based on the cancer progenitor cells

Numerous investigations have provided evidence that the genetic and/or epigenic alterations occurring
Fig. 2 Scheme showing the possible oncogenic cascades involved in the stimulation of sustained growth, survival, migration and drug resistance of cancer progenitor cells. The intracellular elements induced through the activation of EGF-EGFR, PDGF/PDGFR, SCF/KIT, hedgehog (SHH/PTCH/GLI), Notch and Wnt/β-catenin signalling and possible cross-talks between these cascades are shown. The changes in the expression levels of numerous target gene products, including down-regulated E-cadherin and up-regulated matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), urokinase plasminogen activator (uPA) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which can contribute both to the malignant transformation of cancer progenitor cells during cancer progression and angiogenesis, are also indicated. Furthermore, the effects of pharmacological agents acting as the potent inhibitors of the oncogenic cascades including the selective inhibitors of EGF-EGFR system (gefitinib and erlotinib), smoothened hedgehog signalling element (cyclopamine), Notch (γ-secretase inhibitor) as well as Wnt/β-catenin cascades (monoclonal anti-Wnt antibody ‘mAb’) on the cancer cells are also indicated. Abbreviations: APC, adenomatous polyposis coli; ABCG2/BCRP-1, brain cancer resistance protein-1; CDK, cyclin-dependent kinase; CoA, co-activators; COX-2, cyclooxygenase-2; Dsh, Dishevelled; EGF, epidermal growth factor; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; Fzd, Frizzled receptor, GSKβ, glycogen synthase kinaseβ; ICN, intracellular domain of Notch; IkBa, inhibitor of nuclear factor-κB; KIT, stem cell factor receptor; LEF, lymphocyte enhancer factor; LFR, lipoprotein co-receptor; MAPKs, mitogen-activated protein kinases; MEK, extracellular signal-related kinase kinase; NFκB, nuclear factor-κB; PlakK, phosphatidylinositol-3' kinase; PTEN, tensin homologue deleted on chromosome 10; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; PDGFR, platelet-derived growth factor receptor; PLCγ, phospholipase C-γ; PTCH, hedgehog-patched receptor; SCF, stem cell factor; SHH, sonic hedgehog ligand; SMO, smoothened; TCL, T-cell factor; WIF-1, Wnt-inhibitory factor-1; Wnt, Wingless ligand.
Table 1 Characterization of specific biomarkers and functional properties of human cancer progenitor cells isolated from diverse malignant tissues and cancer cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malignant Tissue/ Established cancer cell line</th>
<th>Specific biomarkers/Stem cell-like properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hematological malignancy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute myeloid leukemia (AML)</td>
<td>CD34+/CD38−, Thy−1−, KIT−, Leukaemic grafts in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple myeloma and RPMI 8226 and NCI-H929 myeloma cells</td>
<td>CD138−, Leukaemic grafts in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pediatric brain tumor</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary medulloblastoma, astrocytoma, glioblastoma multiforme and ependymoma</td>
<td>CD133+/nestin+, Neurospheres, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary ganglioglioma</td>
<td>CD133+/nestin+, Neurospheres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult brain tumor</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary glioblastoma multiforme</td>
<td>CD133+/nestin+, Neurospheres, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Melanoma</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic melanoma</td>
<td>CD20+, Melanoma spheroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary WM115 melanoma cell line</td>
<td>CD20+, Melanoma spheroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic WM239A melanoma cell line</td>
<td>CD20−, Melanoma spheroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Breast cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary and metastatic breast cancers</td>
<td>CD44+/CD24−/low, Oct-3/4, Mammospheres, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCF-7 breast cancer cell line</td>
<td>CD44+/CD24−/flow, Mammospheres, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ovarian cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 clone from primary ovarian cancer</td>
<td>CD44+, Oct-3/4, Nanog, EGFR, Vimentin, E-cadherin Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4-T spontaneously transformed clone</td>
<td>CD44+, Oct-3/4, Nanog, EGFR, Vimentin/Snail, Tumorigenic in vivo, A4-T &gt; A2 clone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prostatic cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary and metastatic prostatic adenocarcinomas</td>
<td>CD133+/CD44+/α2β1-integrinhigh, Prostatespheres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAPC-4, LAPC-9 and DU 145 prostatic cancer cell lines or xenografts</td>
<td>CD44high/SMO+/β-catenin, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3 prostatic cancer cell line</td>
<td>CD44high, CD133+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pancreatic cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary pancreatic adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>CD44+/CD24+/ESA+, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PancTul and A81 8-6 pancreatic cancer cell line</td>
<td>CD133+/ABCG2+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colorectal cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>CD133+, colon spheres, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Head and neck cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>CD44+, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABCG2/BCRP, breast cancer resistance protein; ESA, epithelial-specific antigen.
in the multi-potent tissue-specific adult stem cells and/or their early progenies may lead to their malignant transformation into cancer progenitor cells also designated as cancer stem cells or cancer-initiating cells (Fig. 1 and 2) [3, 13, 37, 39–41, 47–53, 55, 57, 60, 62–65]. A small population of undifferentiated- or poorly differentiated cancer progenitor cells, which possesses the stem cell-like properties including their self-renewal ability and capacity to give rise to the bulk mass of further differentiated malignant cells, appears to represent the principal cancer cells that are responsible for leukaemia or tumour formation [13, 39, 40, 47, 59, 63, 66–76]. Accumulating genetic and/or epigenic alterations in leukaemic or tumourigenic cancer progenitor cells occurring during cancer progression, and more particularly the acquisition of a migratory phenotype by tumour cells during epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) program, may also confer to them the invasive properties that are essential for their migration to distant metastatic sites [39, 40, 49, 64, 72, 73, 77–81]. This cancer progenitor cell model of carcinogenesis is notably supported by the fact that the poorly differentiated and highly-leukaemic or tumourigenic cancer progenitor cells isolated from patients’ malignant tissue specimens may give rise to the bulk mass of further differentiated cancer cells ex vitro and in vivo, and thereby be responsible for leukaemia or tumour development (Fig. 1; Table 1, 2) [47, 63, 66–70, 72, 73, 75, 76]. Recent investigations have also revealed that a very small sub-population of cancer progenitor cells with stem cell-like properties may be isolated from well-established cancer cell lines and maintained under an undifferentiated or poorly differentiated state during long years in culture, and this even after multiple passages in medium containing serum [47, 59, 65–69, 71–73, 75, 76, 82–84]. In regard with this, we describe here the recent investigations that have led to the isolation of cancer progenitor cells from numerous cancer types and the establishment of their functional properties ex vitro and in animal models in vivo.

Isolation and ex vitro and in vivo characterization of functional properties of cancer progenitor cells

Significant advancements have been made in the identification of the specific biomarkers of multi-potent tissue-specific adult stem cells. Researchers have been able to isolate these adult stem cells as well as their malignant counterparts, cancer progenitor cells from total cell mass in cancer patients’ malignant tissue specimens and well-established cancer cell lines for their ex vitro and in vivo functional characterization (Table 1, 2) [47, 59, 65–69, 71–73, 75, 76, 82–89]. Among the methods that are frequently used for the enrichment and isolation of very small population of cancer progenitor cells with stem cell-like properties, there are the fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), using the specific antibodies directed against one or several stem cell-like surface markers, such as CD34, CD138, CD20, CD133 and/or CD44 and the Hoechst dye efflux technique [47, 59, 65–69, 71–73, 75, 76, 82–89]. Hence, the isolated small sub-population of cancer progenitor cells may be subsequently expanded ex vivo in serum-free medium and further characterized by the non-adherent spheroid generation and clonogenicity assays for establishing their self-renewal and multilineage capacities in vitro. The implantation and serial transplantations assays may also be carried out with the isolated cancer progenitor cells in animal models for estimating their leukaemic or tumourigenic potential and self-renewal ability in vivo [47, 59, 65–69, 71–73, 75, 76, 82–87]. More particularly, a very small sub-population of human cancer progenitor cells expressing the specific stem cell-like surface markers has been successfully isolated from malignant tissues and/or well-established cancer cell lines. Among the cancer types harbouring a sub-population of cancer progenitor cells, there are the acute myeloid leukaemia, multiple myeloma, melanoma, head and neck, brain, breast, ovary, prostate, pancreas and colorectal cancers (Table 1) [47, 66–69, 71–73, 75, 76, 82–87, 90–92]. It has been shown that all these cancer progenitor cells, which possess a self-renewal capacity, are able to give rise in vitro and/or in vivo to the bulk mass of further differentiated cancer cells that recapitulates the cellular heterogeneity and morphological characteristics of cancer tissues from which they originate [66–69, 71–73, 75, 76, 82–84, 86]. The fact that the engrafted leukaemic or tumourigenic cells could be serially transplanted into other mice has also provided further experimental evidence of the self-renewal capacity of these cancer-initiating cells [67–69,73,76]. Particularly, a small number of these poorly differentiated cancer progenitor cells showed a higher leukaemic or tumourigenic potential in animal models in vivo as compared to their further differentiated progenies [67–69, 72, 75, 76, 83, 84, 86, 87]. For instance, a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malignant Tissue/Established cancer cell line</th>
<th>Specific biomarker/ Stem cell-like property</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leukemia</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cells from bone marrow</td>
<td>CD34^−/flow Leukaemic grafts in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lung cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients' non-small cell lung cancer tissues Human small-cell carcinoma NCi-H146 and NCL-H345 cell lines</td>
<td>ABCG2, MDR1, MPR1, Verapamil-, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human A549*, H460*, H23, HTB58, H441* and H-2170 lung carcinoma cell lines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brain cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients' primary neuroblastoma tissues</td>
<td>G02 ganglioside, KIT^+/CD71^−/flow/CD56^−/flow CD133^−/flow, ABCG2, ABCA3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human JF, SK-N-SH, IMR32, LAN-1, LAN-5 and rat B104 neuroblastoma cell lines</td>
<td>ABCG2, ABCA3 (JI/IMR32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human (D54, U87, U251, U373*, HS 683) glioma cell lines</td>
<td>Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat (C6) glioma cell line</td>
<td>BCRP-1, MDR1, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Melanoma</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic melanoma DM1N, DM2N, and DM3N cell lines from lymph node metastases</td>
<td>Nestin, gp100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Breast cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients' primary breast cancer tissues</td>
<td>ABCG2, Notch-1, ly-catenin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human breast *MCF-7 and SK-BR-3 cancer cell lines</td>
<td>&quot;Verapamil sensitive*, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ovarian cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients' primary ascites cells</td>
<td>ABCG2, Verapamil-sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human (GROV-1, SK-OV-3, OVCAR-3, PA-1) and mouse (MOVCA7 3 4 and 4036) ovarian cancer cell lines</td>
<td>ABCG2/BCRP-1, Verapamil-sensitive*, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prostatic cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human LAPC-9 cell-tumor xenograft</td>
<td>Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human primary RWPE-2 and metastatic DU145 and PC3 prostate cancer cell lines</td>
<td>Verapamil sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gastrointestinal cancers</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human *HuH7, Hep3B and PLC/PRF/5 hepatocellular cancer cell lines</td>
<td>*GATA 6/Ck14/CD133, *BMP2, *JAG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human WiDr, CCK81, Colo201, Colo205, SW480 and HSC15 colorectal cancer cell lines, Human esophageal TE1, TE2 and TE13 and gastric NUGC3, MKN1, MKN7 and MKN28 cancer cell lines</td>
<td>*ABCG2, *ABCB1, *CEACAM6, *AREG,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pancreatic cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human CD18 pancreatic cancer cell line</td>
<td>Verapamil sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human PK9 and PK45H pancreatic cancer cell lines</td>
<td>ABCG2, Verapamil-sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retinal cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse retinoblastoma Human WERI-Rb27 retinoblastoma cell line</td>
<td>ABCG2, Verapamil-sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cervix cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeLa cancer cell line</td>
<td>Verapamil-sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thyroid cancer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human anaplastic (*ARO and FRO) and papillary (NPA) thyroid cancer cell lines WRO follicular carcinoma cell line</td>
<td>Verapamil-sensitive, &quot;Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human metastatic UMSSC10B and HN12 cell lines</td>
<td>Verapamil-sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nasopharyngeal carcinoma</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human CNE-1, CNE-2*, SUNE-1, HONE-1 and C-666-1 cell lines</td>
<td>CK19*, SMO*, Verapamil-sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hepatocellular carcinoma</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human *HuH7 and *PLC/PRF/5 liver cancer cell lines</td>
<td>AFP*/CK19*, *ABCG1 and ABCF2 or ABCB2, ABCC7, ABCA5, ABCB1, &quot;Wnt ligands and FZD7, Verapamil-sensitive, Tumorigenic in vivo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCRP-1/ABCG2, breast cancer resistance protein-1; BMP2, bone morphogenetic protein 2; CEACAM6, carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule; MDR1, multidrug resistance 1 gene, MPR1, multidrug resistant associated protein 1.
sub-population of non-adherent melanoma spheroid cells expressing CD20^+ antigen has been isolated from human metastatic melanoma tissues and established primary WM115 and metastatic WM239A melanoma cell lines derived from a same patient [70]. The multi-potent individual cells within these non-adherent melanoma spheres established from metastatic melanoma tissues were able to give rise to multiple mesenchymal cell lineages including melanocytes, adipocytes, osteocytes and chondrocytes ex vitro and in vivo (Table 1) [70]. These cells forming non-adherent melanoma spheres were also more tumourigenic than their adherent melanoma cell counterparts in severe combined immunodeficient (SCID) mice in vivo [70]. Similarly, a single clone (A2) expressing different markers, such as CD44, Oct-3/4, Nanog, EGFR, vimentin and E-cadherin and able to form the multi-layered spheroids ex vivo, has been isolated from the total cancer cell population of the ascites of a patient with advanced ovarian cancer [63]. Additionally, another clone A4-T derived from multi-layered spheroids that underwent a spontaneous transformation in culture has also been isolated. A4-T was characterized by an expression marker profile comparable to that of A2 clone with the exception that it expressed a detectable level of the transcriptional repressor of E-cadherin, Snail but not E-cadherin suggesting that this clone may have to undergo a more complete EMT program [63]. Importantly, the A4-T clone was more potential than the A2 clone to form the tumours with an architecture resembling to the patients’ original tumours, and undergo metastases in nude mice in vivo (Table 1) [63]. On the other hand, by using a specific antibody directed against the embryonic stem cell-like marker Oct-3/4, which is a POU family transcription factor, combined with the analyses of its expression level by RT-PCR, it has been observed that the human breast, liver, pancreas, kidney, mesenchymal and gastric adult stem cells, and a few keratinocytes in the basal layer of human skin epidermis express significant levels of Oct-3/4 [93]. Moreover, the human, dog and rat tumours and HeLa and MCF-7 cancer cell lines also expressed a detectable level of its stem cell-related marker Oct-3/4, while the differentiated cells did not, supporting thereby the cancer progenitor cell concept of tumourigenesis [93].

In addition, the enrichment of undifferentiated or poorly differentiated cancer progenitor cells expressing the stemness genes from patient’s cancer samples or well-established cancer cell lines has also been performed by the Hoechst dye efflux technique, which is particularly useful when the tissue-specific stem cell markers are not well established. Hence, a very small fraction of cancer cells designated as a ‘side population’ (SP), and which possesses a high ability to actively efflux the fluorescent DNA-binding dye, Hoechst 33342 due to elevated expression levels of ABC multi-drug efflux pumps, has been identified in several mammalian malignant tumour tissues and well-established cancer cell lines (Table 2) [54, 74, 75, 86–89, 94–105]. It has been observed that a small number of SP cells may generate both SP and non-SP cells in culture ex vivo or in vitro by asymmetric division and are able to induce the leukaemia-like disease or tumour formation in animal models in vivo resembling to the patients’ original cancers [54, 74–76, 80, 86–89, 94, 96–98, 101, 103]. For instance, the FACS sorted SP fraction from the C6 glioma cell line may differentiate in vitro and in vivo into neurons and glia expressing the neuronal (low molecular weight neurofilament [NF-L] or βIII-tubulin) and glial (glial fibrillary acidic protein, [GFAP]) markers, respectively, and form the metastatic tumours when injected intraperitoneally in nude mice in vivo [96,97]. Importantly, it has been noted that the number of cancer cells detected in the SP population versus the non-SP fraction may be significantly influenced by the experimental conditions including the source of the patients’ malignant tissues (untreated, treated and/or relapsed patients) and the culture conditions used (cell density and growth factors) [54, 97, 102, 105].

In light of these observations, it appears that several types of malignant tissues and well-established cancer cell lines contain a very small population of undifferentiated or poorly differentiated cancer progenitor cells that may be responsible for the generation of leukaemia or tumour formation in animal models in vivo. Hence, the most aggressive human cancers may originate from this small highly leukaemic or tumourigenic cancer progenitor cell sub-population possessing stem cell-like properties and an aberrant differentiating ability. Based on these observations, it appears important to consider the presence of these putative cancer progenitor cells in certain cancer cell lines which have been initially established from patients with leukaemia and malignant primary or secondary neoplasms. More particularly, this small sub-population of cancer progenitor cells...
isolated from patients’ malignant tissue specimens or well-established cancer cell lines may represent a more appropriate experimental model for the basic cancer research and drug testing in vitro and in vivo.

**Functions of cancer progenitor cells in the cancer development**

Numerous recent investigations indicated that the most cancers may arise from the malignant transformation of multi-potent tissue-specific adult stem cells and/or their early progenitors into cancer progenitor cells. Furthermore, the accumulation of different genetic and/or epigenic alterations in cancer progenitor cells during cancer progression also seems to be associated with the occurrence of highly aggressive cancer subtypes. However, one of the major challenges now is to determine more precisely whether the cancers may originate in the majority of cases from accumulating oncogenic events occurring in the cancer progenitor cells that may lead to the generation of all the further differentiated cancer cells or whether the more committed and differentiated cell lineage precursors with the stem-cell-like properties could be responsible of the initiation of certain cancer subtypes. The available experimental lines of evidence appear to corroborate the possibility that these two processes are not exclusive and may be dependent on the cancer subtypes [69, 90, 106–113]. In this matter, the familial genetic alterations, such as germinal mutations may notably promote the incidence of certain cancers derived from the embryonic or adult stem cells [114–123]. For instance, it has been proposed that the deregulation of the hedgehog signalling pathway associated with the germinal mutations in PTCH receptor gene may lead to the developmental defects and a pre-disposition to develop certain tumours, such as basal cell carcinoma, medulloblastoma, meningioma, foetal rhabdomyoma as well as embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma, which appears to originate in myogenic satellite cells [118, 119]. Intriguingly, it has also been suggested that the transformed cells possessing the biomarkers and differentiating properties like embryonic hemangioblasts, which represent the putative common embryonic precursor to both haematopoietic and endothelial cell lineages (Fig. 1), may contribute to the development of certain malignancies in adult life [123, 124]. Among them, the ocular and central nervous system (CNS) hemangioblastomas, which are highly vascular tumours that may occur in patients with inherited von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease, have been proposed to likely originate from a haematopoietic/vascular cell lineage [123, 125]. More specifically, it has been proposed that the malignant cells that are at the origin of the hemangioblastoma formation within CNS in patients with VHL syndrome could represent the mesoderm-derived embryologically arrested hemangioblasts that have persisted in adults, and which may be further transformed under specific conditions (Fig. 1) [123]. In addition, the primitive neuroectodermal tumours (PNETs) including neuroblastoma, pheochromocytoma, ependymoblastoma and pineoblastoma may also originate during the embryonic development from neuroectodermal stem cells such as neural crest stem cells (Fig. 1) [10, 11, 121, 122, 126, 127]. For instance, it has been reported that the immature and tumorigenic human neuroblastoma stem cells termed as intermediate type (I-type) cells isolated from neuroblastoma tissues or cell lines were able to give rise to the neuronal cells, melanocytes and Schwann cell precursors that constitute the tumours [128–130]. Although further genetic studies are necessary to confirm the possible implication of embryonic cells in the development of certain cancers, it is noteworthy that several cancer progenitor cells derived from adult stem cells also may re-express the markers associated with the more primitive pluripotent embryonic stem cells. In addition to these oncogenic changes occurring in cancer progenitor cells, the local tumour microenvironment may also influence their behaviour during the multiple steps of carcinogenesis.

**Influence of local tumour microenvironment on the behaviour of cancer progenitor cells**

The functional properties of cancer progenitor cells may be influenced through external signals mediated by other further differentiated cancer cells and host stromal cells including activated fibroblasts and infiltrating immune cells, such as macrophages and endothelial cells [131–134]. Among the diverse
growth factors, chemokines and angiogenic substances released by stromal cells, there are matrix-degrading enzymes, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA), stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1) acting as CXC chemokine receptor 4 (CXCR4) ligand and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [132, 133, 135, 136]. All these soluble factors can influence, of autocrine or paracrine manner, the tumour cell behaviour and neovascularization process during cancer progression. In fact, the tumour and stromal cells may actively collaborate during each step of the tumour formation at primary and secondary sites in mediating the pro-angiogenic signals (VEGF and SDF-1) that contribute to the mobilization and recruitment of the bone-marrow (BM)-derived cells into peripheral circulation, and their subsequent migration to distant tumoural sites (Fig. 1) [134, 136]. Among the cells that may be attracted from BM and peripheral circulation into primary or secondary tumours, there are the circulating endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) characterized by different biomarkers, including CD34+ or CD34-, CD133, VEGF receptor-2 (VEGFR-2), also designated as Flk-1 (foetal liver kinase-1), SCF receptor (KIT) and CXCR4. Moreover, the haematopoietic progenitor cells expressing VEGFR-1 (Flt-1, fms-like tyrosine kinase) also can participate to the new vessel formation within tumour [131, 133–135, 137–139]. More specifically, the multipotent BM-derived EPCs possessing the stem cell-like properties may migrate into peripheral circulation and reach the tumoural sites where they can contribute to the tumour neovascularization process (Fig. 1). The circulating EPCs can promote the sprouting of pre-existent endothelial cells within tumours and/or participate in the formation of new microvasculature in differentiating into mature endothelial cells with the CD34+/CD133+/VEGFR-2+/KIT+/CXCR4+ phenotype that physically incorporate to new tumour microvasculature (Fig. 1) [131, 134, 137, 138, 140–142]. In regard with this, it has been observed that the BM-derived EPCs were recruited into the tumour site and incorporated in lymphoma, melanoma, brain, lung, liver, pancreas, breast and prostate tumour vessels in the mouse models in vivo (Fig. 1) [135, 142–144]. It has been observed that a small number of EPCs may be detected in circulation and within tumours in the patients diagnosed with Hodgkin’s lymphoma and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) as well as head and neck, thyroid, mucoepidermoid, osteogenic and breast carcinomas [138, 145]. Although it appears that the number of circulating EPCs that migrate to tumour sites and contribute to tumour vascularization is low, an enhanced number of EPCs in peripheral circulation in NSCLC patients has been associated with a weak response rate to antitumoural therapy and a poor clinical behaviour and overall survival [141]. Moreover, the possibility that a part of the EPCs that migrates to tumour sites can give rise to further differentiated endothelial cells within neoplasms, and thereby may be undetectable, in peripheral circulation should also be considered. Further investigations by the engineering of specific modification in EPCs are therefore necessary to estimate more precisely the number of EPCs that can migrate to the tumour site as well as the amount of EPC-derived-endothelial cells that are incorporated in new tumour microvessels in diverse human cancer types. In addition, recent studies has also revealed that the EPCs including CD133+ EPCs with mesenchymal stem cell (MSC)-like characteristics and MSCs could also reside within the specific niches in certain normal human tissues [146–148]. Interestingly, the resident CD133+ renal progenitor cells, which are committed to differentiate into endothelial cells, were able to form the new vessels in the presence of tumour-derived growth factors, and thereby can promote the tumour growth established by co-transplanted renal carcinoma cells in SCID mice in vivo [148]. Moreover, the injection of BM-derived human Flk+/CD34- MSCs as well as the differentiated human endothelial cells into severe SCID mice engrafted with human malignant melanoma, resulted in their incorporation into new tumour vessels as confirmed by an immunohistochemical analysis of the walls of murine tumour vessels [149]. On the other hand, the circulating VEGFR-1 positive haematopoietic progenitor cells that migrate to tumour sites may release the growth factors that promote the neoangiogenic process, and thereby contribute to the stabilization of the microenvironment that favours the incorporation of EPC-derived endothelial cells in tumour microvasculature [134, 138, 139]. The changes within the local tumour microenvironment combined with different oncogenic events occurring in cancer progenitor cells during cancer progression may also lead to the occurrence of distinct cancer subtypes.
Cancer types originating from cancer progenitor cells

New concepts of the heterogeneity of cancers derived from distinct cancer progenitor cells

According to the hierarchical organization model of carcinogenesis, the leukaemic or tumourigenic cancer progenitor cells with unlimited potential for self-renewal and altered differentiating capacity are considered to be able to generate the total cancer cell mass of further differentiated progenies that constitutes the leukaemia or tumour [16, 39, 40, 48, 57, 65, 69, 112, 150–154]. Based on this cancer progenitor cell concept, it is likely that the differences between the phenotypic and functional properties of cancer progenitor cells from which a cancer originates may be at the basis of the heterogeneity of cancers [13, 16, 39, 40, 42, 49, 64, 73, 77, 155]. More specifically, the differentiating potential of cancer progenitor cells from which a cancer originates may corroborate the differentiation status of the resulting cancer subtype. For instance, certain undifferentiated- to poorly-differentiated cancer subtypes could arise from the malignant transformation of primitive multi-potent stem/progenitor cells that acquire an aberrant differentiating potential resulting in a maturation arrest at an early stage of cell lineage differentiation [39, 152, 156]. In contrast, other moderately- to well-differentiated cancer subtypes could derive either from multi-potent cancer progenitor cells with a high differentiating capacity that are able to give rise to further differentiated cancer cells or more committed differentiated cancer progenitor cells [39, 106, 112, 113, 152, 156]. Furthermore, the differences between the specific oncogenic events activated in cancer progenitor cells, such as a gain of new oncogenic product expression and/or repression of tumour suppressor genes combined with the changes in their microenvironment during the progression from localized cancers into invasive forms may lead to the development of distinct cancer subtypes or be responsible for the intratumoural heterogeneity noticed for a certain cancer type [39, 40, 42, 64, 69, 72, 73, 77–81, 111, 157, 158]. More particularly, the acquisition of a more malignant behaviour by poorly- or moderately differentiated cancer progenitor cells during the EMT program including a migratory potential may result in highly aggressive cancer forms [39, 40, 42, 64, 72, 73, 77–81]. In support with these new concepts on the heterogeneity of cancers, several recent lines of evidence revealed that distinct poorly- to moderately differentiated cancer progenitor cells with different stem cell-like properties may be at the origin of cancer subtypes. In this regard, we describe here the recent observations supporting the heterogeneity of cancers derived from distinct cancer progenitor cells with a particular emphasis on the cellular origin of leukaemia, brain and breast cancer subtypes.

Implication of cancer progenitor cells in bone marrow-derived cancers

Leukaemias

Leukaemias are a type of cancer that arise in the blood-forming tissue, the BM and result in the production of a large number of immature blood cells termed as leukaemic blasts that accumulate in the BM and within the bloodstream, and which did not provide the functions of normal blood cells (Fig. 1). Several experimental lines of evidence suggested that the leukaemias, including acute myeloid/myelogenous leukaemia (AML), acute promyelocytic leukaemia (APL), chronic myeloid/myelogenous leukaemia (CML), acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (ALL) and chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) may originate from the malignant transformation of multi-potent haematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) or their early progenies in BM into leukaemic stem cells (LSCs) also designated as leukaemia-initiating cells (Fig. 1) [21, 22, 69, 90, 94, 95, 108, 109, 112, 154, 159, 160]. Among the frequent malignant transforming events occurring in HSCs or their more committed progenitors, there are the gene re-arrangements leading to the generation of chimeric fusion oncoproteins and aberrant activation of diverse haematopoietic growth factor and cytokine signalling cascades involved in the stringent regulation of self-renewal and/or differentiation of HSCs, such as hedgehog, Wnt/β-catenin, Notch, KIT and/or FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) pathways [48, 58, 108, 109, 150, 161–165]. Hence, the generation of LSCs, which possess a self-renewal capacity and aberrant differentiating potential, can give rise to a heterogeneous population of leukaemic blasts containing different haematopoietic cell lineage precursors and further differentiated cells that vary with the leukaemia subtype.
Especially, the accumulating genetic alterations including gene translocations and/or mutations in CD34+ or CD34+/CD38−/LIN− primitive HSCs or their early progenitors may result in the cell sub-populations that display strong repopulating activity and leukaemic-initiating-capacity in non-obese diabetic (NOD)/SCID mice in vivo [90, 94, 166]. In this matter, it has been observed that the primitive CD34+ HSCs can give rise to CD34+ cells in animal models in vivo, it is therefore likely that these two HSC sub-populations may initiate certain types of leukaemia under specific conditions [94, 95, 167].

The specific chromosomal translocations generating different fusion oncoproteins, such as TEL-AML1, MLL-ENL, MN1-TEL and AML1-ETO and mutations in the genes encoding transcriptional factors such as Spi-1/PU.1 that are involved in regulation of the differentiation of haematopoietic progenitor cells may notably inhibit their differentiation into mature myeloid or lymphoid cells, and hence contribute to the induction and progression of certain AML or ALL subtypes [1, 153, 154, 168–172]. Among the initiating events associated with APL which is an AML subtype, there is a gene re-arrangement involving the retinoic acid receptor-α gene (RAR-α) with the promyelocytic leukaemia gene (PML), t(15;17) chromosomal translocation that generates the PML-RAR-α fusion protein. PML-RAR-α oncoprotein may induce an arrest of granulopoiesis concomitant with the accumulation of immature granulocytes designated as promyelocytes [1]. Additional molecular oncogenic events that frequently occur during leukaemogenesis include the activating mutations in K- and N-Ras and the members of class III receptor tyrosine kinase family, such as FLT3, KIT and PDGFR as well as tumour suppressor gene p53 mutations and enhanced expression of Bcl-2 and Wilms tumour gene product (WT1) [1, 161, 170, 172]. These events may enhance the proliferative effect in haematopoietic progenitor cells may co-operate to induce the more malignant leukaemia subtypes [1, 161, 170, 172].

Accumulating evidence has indicated that certain leukaemia subtypes could originate from additional genetic and/or epigenic alterations occurring in the intermediate haematopoietic progenitor cells, the pre-cancerous-LSCs (pre-LSCs) also designated as pre-cancerous stem cells (p-CSCs) (Fig. 1) [106, 112, 113]. The pre-LSCs, which may derive from more primitive HSCs, could possess a more limited self-renewal and multi-potent differentiating ability. In support with this, it has been noted that the CD34+CD38−/LIN− LSCs in the most AMLs did not express the Thy-1 surface marker like normal Thy-1+ HSCs, suggesting that these leukaemia types could be accompanied either by the mutations leading to the down-regulation of its expression during the malignant transformation of primitive Thy-1+ HSCs, or derived from more committed leukaemic progenitor cells (Fig. 1) [90, 106, 112]. For instance, the analyses of BM cells from AML patients showing long-term remission after chemotherapeutic treatment, alone or combined with autologous mobilized blood transplantation (MBT), have revealed the persistence of AML-ETO chromosomal translocation in Thy-1+ HSCs [106]. This suggests that this genetic alteration may be insufficient to cause the cancer initiation. In contrast, the same patient harboured a population of Thy-1 LSCs at diagnosis corroborating the fact that this cancer subtype may have arisen from the additional oncogenic events in more differentiated leukaemic progenitor cells [106]. The single clones of p-CSCs (pre-LSCs) with CD45+/KIT+/Sca-1+/LIN−/CD44high phenotype, which have been established from the spleen of a mouse with dendritic cell-like leukaemia, also retained an incomplete multi-potency and can differentiate into non-malignant or malignant cells depending on their microenvironment [113]. The malignant transformation of pre-LSCs was associated with the up-regulation of KIT and Sca-1, suggesting that pre-LSCs may represent a cell population with an intermediate phenotype between the normal HSCs and LSCs (Fig. 1) [113]. Additionally, further oncogenic events in LSCs during cancer progression, as observed for the cancer progenitor cells during EMT in solid tumours, may also be accompanied by the acquisition of more malignant phenotypes [174]. For instance, during the initial chronic phase of CML, a t(9;22) BCR-ABL chromosomal translocation resulting in a shortened chromosome 22, designated the Philadelphia (Ph+) chromosome occurs in the HSCs and generates the chimeric BCR-ABL fusion oncoprotein with an enhanced constitutive tyrosine kinase activity in CML-LSCs [108, 175]. Moreover, the initial phase of CML may be accompanied by the overexpression of
Bcl-2 and/or decreased Jun B expression [108, 175]. These molecular events may enhance the rate of growth factor-independent proliferation and reduce apoptotic signals in haematopoietic progenitor cells [174]. This initial chronic phase is followed by an accelerated phase driven by the occurrence of further oncogenic transformation of LSCs leading ultimately to the Ph\(^+\) B cell-ALL and the terminal stage of the disease designated as blast-crisis (Bc)-CML [108, 164, 175]. More specifically, the late-stage Bc-CML is accompanied by an expansion of granulocyte-macrophage progenitors (GMPs) expressing an enhanced level of \(\beta\)-catenin whose oncogenic event appears to be associated with an enhanced self-renewal capacity and leukemic potential of human GMPs [108, 109].

On the other hand, it has also been observed that the BCR-ABL transduction in mouse committed myeloid progenitors does not induce their malignant transformation in vitro whereas MOZ-TIF2 or MLL-ENL fusion proteins were transforming for both mouse HSCs and their more committed myeloid progenitors [107, 108, 110]. BCR-ABL-transduced mouse committed myeloid progenitors also did not generate an AML in animal model in vivo while MOZ-TIF2 or MLL-ENL-transduced-mouse myeloid progenitors were able to give rise to an AML in irradiated mouse in vivo after serial transplantations [107, 108, 110]. This suggests then that only certain types of genetic alterations may contribute to the malignant transformation of HSCs and/or the more committed haematopoietic cells during leukaemia initiation and progression.

Despite the initial implication of the primitive HSCs and/or their early progeny (pre-LSCs) in the occurrence of the most the leukaemias, these observations suggest that the loss of the multi-potent or bipotent differentiating potential of LSCs may occur at an early or later stage of the disease depending on the oncogenic events occurring in the malignant cells during cancer development. Particularly, the fact that certain more committed haematopoietic cells may acquire a more malignant phenotype, including an enhanced self-renewal capacity during the cancer progression, underlines the importance of also considering their implication for a more appropriate therapeutic intervention. Further investigations on the genetic/epigenic alterations occurring in primitive human HSCs and their early progenies versus the more committed progenitor cells should establish more precisely the molecular oncogenic events associated with each particular case of leukaemias.

**Sarcomas**

Adult BM also constitutes a homing site for several other types of non-haematopoietic multi-potent stem cells including EPCs and mesenchymal stem/progenitor cells (MSCs also designated MPCs) which provide the specific functions (Fig. 1) [176]. For instance, MSCs can give rise to diverse mature cell lineages, such as adipocytes, chondrocytes and osteocytes. Several lines of evidence have revealed that the bone sarcomas, which are among the most aggressive mesenchymal malignancies in childhood and young adults, may originate from the malignant transformation of primitive stem cell-like MSCs [114, 115]. More specifically, the osteoblastic sarcoma, which is the most common type of primary bone cancer, may result in distant metastases to lungs [114, 115]. The germinal mutations in retinoblastoma (Rb) and \(p53\) tumour suppressor genes may notably promote the incidence of osteoblastic sarcoma [114–117]. Since MSCs are the bone-forming osteogenic progenitor cells, they may then represent the putative BM adult stem cells that may be at the origin of osteoblastic sarcoma initiation. In regard with this, it has been reported that a small population of bone sarcoma cells that are able to form the sarcomospheres in culture expressed several markers of MSCs (Stro-1, CD105 and CD4) as well as the pluripotent embryonic cells (Oct-3/4 and Nanog) [177]. This supports the potential implication of MSCs in bone sarcoma development. More recently, it has been observed that the cultured murine MSC co-infused with BM into irradiated allogeneic host could drive the development of foci of sarcoma in the lungs and extremities in certain mice in vivo [178]. The infusion of MSC-derived sarcoma cells having a cytogenetic profile comparable to human sarcoma also resulted in the malignant lesions in secondary recipients [178]. Additionally, Ewing’s sarcoma, which is a member of Ewing’s family tumours (EFTs), and the second most common solid bone and soft tissue malignancy of childhood and young adults, also appears to originate from MSCs [179]. The EFTs are generally associated with the occurrence of a chromosomal translocation that involves the fusion of a 5\(^{\prime}\) segment of the EWS gene with a 3\(^{\prime}\) segment of...
the ETS transcription factor family gene FLI-1, given the EWS-FLI-1 fusion protein. EWS-FLI-1 oncoprotein acts as an aberrant transcriptional activator and contributes to tumour development. In support with this, it has been shown that EWS-FLI-1 can transform the primary BM MSCs and generate tumours in animal models in vivo showing the phenotypic characteristics that resemble Ewing’s sarcoma [179].

Implication of cancer progenitor cells in paediatric and adult brain tumours

Primary malignant CNS tumours are the most frequent forms of solid malignant tumours diagnosed in children and the glioblastoma multiformes (GBMs) represent one of the most frequent aggressive tumour types in adults [7, 11, 15, 29]. The cellular origin of paediatric and adult brain tumour types has not yet been precisely established. The paediatric brain tumours constitute a diverse group in terms of their localization, prognosis and response to various therapeutic treatments relative to adult brain tumours (Fig. 1) [10, 11, 29, 126, 127]. Gliomas, which are the most common malignant primary brain tumours, include astrocytomas (grade I slow-growing pilocytic astrocytomas, grade II astrocytomas, grade III anaplastic astrocytomas and grade IV GBMs), oligodendrogliomas, ependymomas and mixed gliomas, which may contain the astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and/or ependymal cell-like cells in different proportions (Fig. 1) [7,15]. Several recent lines of evidence support the concept that certain brain cancers including medulloblastomas, astrocytomas, GBMs, oligodendroglomas and ependymomas could derive from the malignant transformation of neural stem cells (NSCs) or more restricted-neuronal and glial cell lineage precursors into brain cancer progenitor cells also designated as brain tumour stem cells (BTSCs) [15, 41, 52, 66, 67, 72, 73, 82, 180–182]. BTSCs could possess a self-renewal capacity but showed an abnormal ability to differentiate (Fig. 1). More specifically, the infratentorial medulloblastomas, which are the most common brain tumours diagnosed in childhood, may originate either from NSCs within the ventricular zone germinai layer or neuronal progenitors designated as cerebellar granule cell precursors (GCPs) located in the external granular layer within the cerebellum (Fig. 1) [37, 127, 157, 158]. Aberrant activation of SHH pathway (SHH/PTCH/GLI), which is recognized to triggering normal growth of the cerebellum in the foetus, induced through the mutations in patched receptor (PTCH), smoothened (SMO) or Sfu, may notably contribute to uncontrolled growth of GCPs in childhood and young children, and thereby induce the medulloblastoma formation [37, 41, 62, 111, 127, 157, 158, 183]. The p53 inactivation or sustained activation of Wnt/β-catenin pathway may also result in an increased expression of downstream oncogenic gene products that contribute to development of certain human medulloblastoma subtypes [41, 111, 157, 158]. In addition, the data from a recent study have revealed that the pilocytic astrocytomas and ependymomas arising in the supratentorial (cortex and sub-cortex) versus infratentorial (cerebellum and brainstem) regions of the brain show specific genetic signatures, which were also detected in NSCs localized in these regions [184]. This suggests then that these brain tumours may derive from the malignant transformation of a common precursor that possesses different gene-expression patterns depending on its brain localization. Interestingly the tumourigenic CD133+/nestin+ BTSCs isolated from ependymomas display a phenotype resembling to radial glia-like cells, which are the neuronal precursor cells that may give rise to mature ependymal cells lining membrane of brain ventricles (Fig. 1) [92]. This observation supports the fact that certain ependymoma subtypes may derive from the malignant transformation of radial glia-like cells. Similarly, the secondary or progressive GBMs may also arise from the malignant transformation of NSCs into BTSCs that give rise to a heterogeneous population of further differentiated cancer cells (Fig. 1) [41, 64]. The secondary GBMs, which develop slowly from low-grade tumours, are often accompanied by the p53 tumour suppressor gene mutations. In this matter, it has been observed that the inactivation both of p53 and neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), which is a tumour suppressor gene that is involved in negative regulation of Ras pathway, may co-operate to induce the development of malignant astrocytomas from early presymptomatic lesions within sub-ventricular zone containing NSCs in animal model in vivo [185]. In contrast, other subgroup of aggressive primary GBM tumours, which progress rapidly without evidence of a transitory step of lower grade tumour, are frequently accompanied by the overexpression of EGFR and acquisition of mesenchymal properties by cancer progenitor cells [41, 64, 72, 73]. The results from a recent study
have also revealed that an inhibition of the nuclear co-repressor (N-CoR) of astrogial differentiation may contribute to de novo GBM development in mice suggesting that this molecular event may occur in certain GBM tumours in human [182].

In addition, the isolation of CD133/nestin positive-tumour cells from patients’ malignant tissues has revealed that these primitive cancer progenitor cells can give rise in vitro and in vivo to different neural cell lineages, including neuron and glial cell-like cells (astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and ependymal cells), but in different proportion in respect to the normal NSCs [15, 41, 52, 66, 67, 72, 73, 82]. For instance, in the human NSCs it has been observed that the neurospheres established from the paediatric medulloblastomas, astrocytomas and GBMs were able to differentiate majorarily ex vitro and in vivo into cells expressing the neural markers of original tumour cell phenotype, including the neuronal (β-III tubulin) and astrocytic GFAP markers [66, 67, 82]. Similarly, the multi-potent neurosphere-like clusters isolated from adult primary GBMs can also give rise to further differentiated progenitors expressing the specific markers to three neural cell lineages including neurons (β-III tubulin), astrocytes (GFAP) and/or oligodendrocytes (myelin or galactocerebroside) ex vitro and in vivo resembling to the cellular heterogeneity characterizing the initial GBMs [72, 73]. An immunohistochemical analysis of brain tissue specimens from astrocytomas of different grades has also revealed that the number of tumour cells expressing a NSC-like phenotype (doublecortin, β-III-tubulin, collapsing response-mediated protein-4 [CRMP-4/TUC-4] and nestin) and proliferation marker (Ki67 antigen), was increased in high-grade astrocytomomas including GBMs relative to low-grade neoplasms [186]. This suggests then that a high proliferative capacity of BTSCs could be related with the occurrence of highly aggressive tumours. In regard with this, it has been observed that the co-injection of primary human endothelial cells with CD133⁺ BTSCs from primary medulloblastomas in the cerebral cortex of immunocompromised mice, markedly increased the tumour growth derived from CD133⁺ cells in vivo (Fig. 1) [187]. Based on this observation, it has been proposed that the perivascular niche of self-renewing BTSCs could assume an important role for their expansion during cancer development [187]. In addition, the results from a recent study revealed that certain primary glioblastoma subtypes may also derived from a small sub-population of CD133⁺ tumour cells with stem cell-like properties and showing a distinct gene expression pattern relative to CD133⁺ cancer stem/progenitor cells [188]. Hence, since these observations suggest that different cancer stem/progenitor cells may be at origin from certain brain cancer subtypes, further investigations are then necessary to establish more precisely their specific properties and sensitivity to therapeutic treatments.

**Implication of cancer progenitor cells in other cancer types**

The isolation of cancer progenitor cells with the stem cell-like properties from diverse solid tumour specimens and cancer cell lines also supports the fact that melanoma, skin, head and neck, thyroid, cervix, lung, liver, breast, ovary, prostate, pancreas, gastrointestinal and retinal cancers may arise from the malignant transformation of tissue-specific adult stem cells and/or their early progenies (Table 1, 2) [39, 40, 47, 49, 50, 54, 59, 61, 65, 68, 70, 71, 75, 76, 80, 83–87, 96, 98–105, 189]. Particularly, the re-activation of distinct growth factor signalling including EGFR, hedgehog, Wnt/β-catenin, Notch and/or integrin pathways, which frequently occurs in cancer progenitor cells during the cancer initiation and EMT program, may lead to different cancer subtypes (Fig. 1, 2) [13, 31, 35–37, 39, 40, 42, 49, 54–57, 59–62, 64, 102, 190, 191]. For instance, the occurrence of different malignant transforming events in breast stem cells during cancer initiation and/or accumulating of distinct genetic/epigenetic alterations in breast cancer progenitor cells and/or their early progenies during cancer progression may result in the formation of different breast cancer subtypes [39, 42, 47, 56, 60, 68, 75, 77, 190, 192–196]. It has been observed that the targeted expression of stabilized β-catenin in the basal epithelial cells of mouse mammary epithelium resulted in an enhanced proliferation of basal-type cell-like progenitor cells possessing an abnormal differentiation potential, whose oncogenic event led to development of invasive basal-type carcinomas [192]. Based on the gene expression signatures detected in distinct breast cancers including the expression levels of cytokeratin 5/6 (CK5/6), erbB2/HER-2/Neu, estrogen receptor (ERα) and/or progesterone receptor (PR), the invasive breast cancers have been classified at least five subtypes [8,
Among them, there are the basal-like (CK5/6+, ERα−, PR−, erbB2−/low, EGFR+, vimentin+ and KIT+); erbB2+ overexpressing (ERα− and PR−; luminal A (ERα+ and/or PR+ and erbB2+); luminal B (ERα+ and/or PR+ and erbB2−) and normal breast-cancer subtype (high expression of normal epithelium genes and low expression of luminal epithelial gene products) [8, 14, 152, 197–201]. It has been observed that the ERα-negative breast cancer subtypes including the basal-like breast cancers and erbB2-overexpressing breast cancers, which are among the most aggressive breast cancer forms diagnosed, are generally associated with a poor prognosis and survival of patients to current clinical therapies [197–200, 202, 203]. Despite the activation of estrogen-ERα signalling cascade may contribute to ERα+epithelial cell proliferation, it has been observed that the ovariectomy had no effect on the size of the mouse mammary EGFR+ stem cell-enriched population, which did not express ERα, PR or erbB2 [204, 205]. Moreover, the mouse ERα− breast cancer cells may express a lower level of E-cadherin than the ERα+ breast cancer cells, and therefore they can display a higher migratory capacity [206]. Although further studies are essential to confirm the implication of estrogens/ERα cascade for the proliferation of human ERα− breast cancer progenitor cells, it appears likely that the different breast cancer subtypes may respond differently to the therapies consisting to targeting ERα, erbB2 and/or EGFR [202, 203, 207]. In this matter, we are reporting the specific functional properties of cancer progenitor cells that may contribute to their resistance to current therapies.

Novel cancer therapies by molecular targeting of cancer progenitor cells and their microenvironment

New concepts on the functions of cancer progenitor cells in the resistance to current cancer therapies

Accumulating evidence revealed that the intrinsic or acquired resistance of poorly differentiated and leukaemic or tumourigenic cancer progenitor cells to current clinical therapies may lead to their persistence in primary and secondary neoplasms after treatments, and thereby contribute to cancer recurrence [13, 16, 35, 39, 40, 55, 56, 59, 62, 64, 71, 78, 81, 88, 89, 155]. In this regard, certain ABC multi-drug efflux transporters including P-glycoprotein (P-gp) encoded by the MDR1 (ABCB1) gene, the multi-drug resistance-associated protein 1 (MRP1) and breast cancer resistance protein-1 (mouse BCRP-1)/human ABCG2/MXR gene products are frequently overexpressed in cancer progenitor cells [16, 35, 46, 88, 89, 95, 208]. Since the SP cells, which possess the stem cell-like properties, generally express higher levels of ABC multi-drug efflux pump(s) than the non-SP cells, it is likely that this phenotype may be also related to the intrinsic resistance of certain cancer progenitor cells to chemotherapeutic treatments. In support with this, several data have revealed that the SP cells from malignant tissues or cancer cell lines were more resistant than the non-SP cells to the chemotherapeutic drugs, and the enhanced drug efflux in the SP cells was associated with a high rate of survival [54, 80, 88, 89, 209]. For instance, the SP cells isolated from HuH7 hepatocellular cancer cells, which expressed the high levels of ABCG2 and ABCB1 multi-drug efflux pumps as well as carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule (CEACAM6), which may contribute to gemcitabine chemoresistance, displayed a greater resistance to doxorubicin, 5-fluorouracil (5-Fu) and gemcitabine treatment than the non-SP fraction [80, 210]. Similarly, the SP cells from the mouse ovarian MOVCA7 cancer cell line, which express the BCRP-1 gene product, were also more resistant to the anti-proliferative effect of doxorubicin which acts as a substrate of BCRP-1 efflux pump than the non-SP fraction [98]. The ABCG2 gene product overexpression in mitoxantrone-resistant MCF-7/MitoR breast cancer cells also resulted in a substantial decrease of intracellular accumulation of Hoechst 33342 dye and enhanced the number of SP cells with an acquired multi-drug resistance phenotype as compared to parental MCF-7 cell line [208]. Thus, the selection of multi-drug-resistant cancer progenitor cells by continuous drug treatment may result in an increase of their proportion in total cancer cell mass, and thereby contribute to the cancer progression to highly aggressive cancers and disease relapse [54]. In addition, the enhanced expression/activation of many growth factors and anti-apoptotic signalling elements may also contribute to the drug resistance and survival of...
cancer progenitor cells [13, 16, 30, 31, 35–37, 39–42, 46, 54–61, 78, 89]. For instance, many changes in the apoptotic signalling elements have been observed in the chemotherapy resistant ex vivo selected ‘survivor cell’ population which was enriched for CD34+ LSCs [211]. Similarly, CD133+ BTSCs isolated from primary cell lines established from glioblastoma patients, which expressed higher levels of CD90, CD44, CXCR4, Nestin, Msi1 and MELK relative to CD133- cancer cells, were also resistant to diverse chemotherapeutic drugs such as temozolomide, carboplatin, etoposide and paclitaxel [78].

On the other hand, the cancer stem/progenitor cell model of carcinogenesis may also explain the differences of response of distinct cancer subtypes to current therapies as well as the dormancy phenomenon and disease relapse, which may be associated with a higher resistance of cancer progenitor cells to conventional therapies under specific conditions prevalent in primary and/or secondary neoplasms relative to their further differentiated progeny [14, 25, 39, 40, 46, 79, 207, 212]. Importantly, it has been observed that the CD44/CD24-low mammosphere cell cultures established from human MCF-7 breast cancer cells were more resistant to radiation than the adherent breast cancer cell fraction and that their number was enhanced after radiotherapy [213]. Similarly, Sca-1+ multi-potent progenitor cells from an immortalized COMMA-D11-geo murine mammary gland cell line expressing a high Wnt/β-catenin level were also resistant to radiation at a clinically relevant dose [212]. Moreover, the CD133+ breast cancer stem cell population from glioblastomas was also enriched after ionizing radiation treatment whose radioresistance phenomenon may be associated with a preferential activation of the DNA damage checkpoint response concomitant with an increase in DNA repair capacity relative to the CD133- fraction [79]. Additionally, since most of the solid tumours are molecularly heterogeneous and distinct cancer cells may possess specific hyper-activated intracellular signalling cascades, it appears that the targeting of distinct oncogenic pathways may represent a more effective strategy to eradicate the total cancer cell population in certain aggressive and recurrent cancers. Consistent with this, it has been observed that the undifferentiated-type areas of heterogeneous gastric tumours display a higher GLI1 nuclear immunostaining than the differentiated-type areas suggesting that

the undifferentiated gastric cancer subtype could be more sensitive to the agents targeting hedgehog cascade [214]. In analogy with the BM-resident HSCs which possess long-term in vivo re-populating abilities and are mainly under a quiescent state, the primitive quiescent LSCs may also be more resistant than diving LSCs to the agents, such as 5-FU or imatinib mesylate that principally act on the proliferative cells (Fig. 1) [78, 81]. Hence, all these stem cell-like properties attributed to cancer progenitor cells may provide them with a higher resistance to current cancer therapies, and thereby constitute a substantial obstacle to the successful treatment of cancer patients. Together, these observations underline then the critical importance of targeting the cancer progenitor cells and their early progenies as well as their local microenvironment in the earlier stages of cancer treatment to counteract the rapid progression of certain cancer types and prevent the metastatic spread at distant sites. In regard with this, we describe here the new cancer therapeutic strategies based on targeting of different oncogenic cascades activated in highly leukaemic or tumourigenic cancer progenitor cells, and which must now be considered for improving the current therapeutic treatments.

New combination therapies against the aggressive and recurrent cancers

Targeting cancer progenitor cells

The simultaneous blockade of several oncogenic cascades activated in cancer progenitor cells during cancer progression may be essential for improving the current clinical treatments against high-risk, metastatic or relapsed leukaemias, multiple myelomas and numerous solid cancers, including neuroblastomas, medulloblastomas, GBMs and skin, lung, head and neck, breast, ovary, prostate, liver, pancreas and gastrointestinal cancers [1, 12, 13, 15–17, 30, 31, 35–42, 55–57, 59–62, 163, 164, 190, 191, 214, 215]. Among them, the molecular targeting of diverse developmental cascades including hedgehog, Wnt/β-catenin, Notch, EGFR, PDGFR and KIT pathways and/or oncogenic signalling elements (telomerase, Src, ABL, PI3K/Akt, MYC, NF-κB and survivin) which assume a critical function in regulating the self-renewal, survival and invasion of cancer progenitor cells as well as in
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted signaling element</th>
<th>Name of inhibitor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EGFR family member inhibitor</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-EGFR (erbB1) antibody</td>
<td>mAb-C225, IMC-C225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-EGFR antibody</td>
<td>ABX-EGF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR-TKI</td>
<td>Gefitinib, erlotinib, AG1478, EKB-569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-erbB2 antibody</td>
<td>Trastuzumab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR-erbB2-TKI</td>
<td>PKI-166, TAK165, GW572017 (lapatinib)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>erbB1/erbB2/erbB3/erbB4-TKI</td>
<td>CI1033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other growth factor signaling inhibitor</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedgehog</td>
<td>SMO inhibitor cyclopamine, anti-SHH antibody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wnt/β-catenin</td>
<td>Anti-Wnt antibody, WIF-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notch</td>
<td>γ-secretase inhibitor DAPT, GSI-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFR/KIT/ABL-TKI</td>
<td>Imatinib mesylate (STI571)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFRβ/FLT3</td>
<td>Sorafenib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>Anti-VEGF antibody (bevacizumab), As-VEGF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR</td>
<td>Anti-VEGFR antibody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR1,2 and 3</td>
<td>CEP-7055, AZD2171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR1,2,3 /PDGFRβ/KIT</td>
<td>AMG 706, Vatalanib (PTK787/ZK 222584)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signaling element inhibitor</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telomerase</td>
<td>Telomerase template antagonist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MYC</td>
<td>As-MYC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-2</td>
<td>ABT-737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLK</td>
<td>LY294002, rapamycin, CCI-779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF-κB inhibitor</td>
<td>IkBα inhibitor, sulfasalazine, bortezomib (PS-341)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Src-family and ABL-TKI</td>
<td>PD180970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Src-family-TKI</td>
<td>CGP-76030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fusion oncoprotein inhibitor</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL</td>
<td>Imatinib mesylate (STI571), dasatinib (BMS-354825), nilotinib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIP1L1-PDGFRα</td>
<td>Imatinib mesylate (STI571)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PML-RARα</td>
<td>All-trans retinoic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drug transporter inhibitor</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC multidrug efflux transporter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR1/ABCB1/P-gp</td>
<td>MS-209, gefitinib, CI1033, tamoxifen, cyclophosphamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRP1/ABCC1</td>
<td>MS-209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCG2/BCRP</td>
<td>Gefitinib, CI1033, tamoxifen derivatives, cyclophosphamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic cation intracellular transporter Oct-1</td>
<td>Prazosin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DAPT, N-[N-(3,5-difluorophenacetyl)-L-alanyl]-S-phenylglycine t-butyl ester; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; KIT, stem cell factor (SCF) receptor; GSI-18, [11-endo]-N-(5,6,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-6,9-methanobenzo[a][8]annulen-11-yliophiène-2-sulfonamide; MDR1, multidrug resistance 1; MRP1, multidrug resistance-associated protein 1; MRP2, multidrug resistance-associated protein 2; NF-kB, nuclear factor-kB; PDGFR, platelet-derived growth factor receptor; P-gp, P-glycoprotein; PLK, phosphatidylinositol-3'-kinase; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; VEGF, vascular epithelial growth factor; VEGFR, vascular epithelial growth factor receptor; WIF-1, Wingless inhibitory factor-1; Wnt, Wingless ligand
drug resistance and disease relapse, is of therapeutic interest [1, 12, 13, 16, 17, 26, 30, 31, 35–45, 49, 55–59, 61, 62, 64, 78, 102, 164, 190, 191, 214, 216, 217]. In this matter, one of the major significant advances in cancer therapeutics over the few last years has been the development of a number of novel anti-carcinogenic agents, including the antibodies and small chemical molecules such as the protein tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) (Table 3) [12, 13, 16, 17, 24, 26, 30, 36, 37, 39, 40, 57, 216, 218–220]. These pharmacological agents, which target one or several oncogenic products involved in the malignant transformation of human cells, may be used alone or in combination with conventional therapeutic treatments against certain aggressive cancers. For instance, certain anti-carcinogenic agents may induce the apoptotic death and/or a differentiating effect on primitive cancer-initiating cells, and thereby may constitute the useful tools for the development of more effective cancer therapies [13, 16, 26, 30, 35, 37, 39–41, 48, 55, 57, 59, 150, 215, 221]. The combination of high-dose chemotherapy or radiation with autologous or allogenic stem cell transplantation also represent another alternative for the patients diagnosed with advanced and refractory/relapsed leukaemias, multiple myeloma, lymphomas and sarcoma as well as brain, lung, breast, kidney, ovary and colorectal cancers [13, 16, 222–224].

Among the current clinical targeted therapies, the use of imatinib mesylate, which is a TKI targeting BCR-ABL+ oncoprotein, has provoked great interest based on its high efficacy and safe profile and successful use for the first-line clinical treatment of patients with BCR-ABL+ (Ph+) CMLs in initial chronic phase [16, 24, 27, 28, 33]. Moreover, imatinib mesylate may also act as a potential therapeutic agent for the treatment of Ph+ AML, FIP1L1-PDGFRα positive chronic eosinophilic leukaemia and gastrointestinal stromal tumours which frequently harbour the activating mutations in KIT and PDGFRα tyrosine kinase receptors [24, 27, 28, 33, 225]. Particularly, the inhibition of the tyrosine kinase activity of chimeric BCR-ABL fusion oncoprotein by imatinib mesylate may lead to a complete cytogenetic remission after initiation treatment in the most patients diagnosed with BCR-ABL+ (Ph+) CMLs [27, 28, 33]. However, the persistence of quiescent CML-LSCs resistant to imatinib treatment as well as the progression to an advanced and late-stage CML disease, accelerated phase or terminal blast crisis phase, is often accompanied by acquisition of a more malignant transformed phenotype by LSCs and disease relapse [27, 28, 32–34, 81, 226–228]. The mutations in BCL-ABL and other independent BCR-ABL mechanisms, such as the up-regulation of Scr-related LYN kinase, SHH, Wnt/β-catenin, anti-apoptotic factor Bcl-2, MDR1/ABCB1 and ABCG2/BCRP multi-drug efflux transporters and/or cellular organic cation transporter Oct-1 involved in the uptake of imatinib may contribute to the CML recurrence [16, 27, 28, 32–34, 81, 164, 226–228]. Therefore, the combined use of distinct anti-leukaemic agents that are able to inhibit diverse oncogenic cascades that are activated in LSCs is of particular interest for overcoming imatinib resistance in the refractory/relapsed CML patients. Among them, there are the inhibitors of BCR-ABL (dasatinib and nilotinib), Scr-related LYN kinase (PD180970), anti-apoptotic factor Bcl-2 (ABT-737) or Oct-1 transporter (prazosin) which may be used alone or in combination with imatinib mesylate and/or other cytotoxic drugs, such as interferon-α [27, 28, 34, 175, 227, 229–232]. Recently, it has also been observed that the exposure of quiescent BCR-ABL+ CML-LSCs to the growth factors such as granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) and granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) may decrease the number of non-dividing and refractory/relapsed LSCs [21, 23]. Similarly, the combined use of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) and anthracycline-based chemotherapy, generally results in a high rate of complete cytogenetic remission (about 90%) and an overall survival rate of 80% in the initial phase. Certain patients with high-risk APL may, however, relapse [21, 23]. In these cases, the efficacy of clinical treatment of high-risk and relapsed PML-RAR+ APL may also be improved by the combined use of a differentiating agent, ATRA plus cytotoxic drugs such as arsenic trioxide that may co-operate to eradicate the total population of PML-RAR+ APL-LSCs [21–23]. Additionally, it has also been observed that the use of an inhibitor of γ-secretase (DAPT), a protease that is involved in the activation of Notch receptor-mediated intracellular signalling by its ligand Jagged-2, which is overexpressed in the LSCs from AML samples, may inhibit the growth of CD34+ CD38- AML-LSC fraction in colony formation assays in vitro [235]. The molecular mechanisms underlying...
the cytotoxic effect of DAPT on LSCs appears to be due in an alteration of the AML-LSC fate and the interference of this agent with the transportation of LSCs into the stem cell-supportive microenvironmental niche [45]. Interestingly, a recent study has also indicated that the targeting of LSCs at the origin of certain AML subtypes by using an activating monoclonal antibody directed against the cell surface-adhesion molecule CD44 results in a reduction of leukaemic population in human AML-LSC cells transplanted NOD-SCID mice *in vivo* as well as in the absence of leukaemia development after subsequent serial transplantations in other mice [236]. The data from another study carried out on a murine leukaemia model have also revealed that CALM/AF10-positive AML can be propagated by a transformed leukaemic progenitor cells, with lymphoid characteristics including CD45RA (B220) expression and Ig DH-JH re-arrangement, which can be targeted by antibodies that do not cross-react with normal HSCs [237].

Interestingly, recent studies have also revealed the possibility of using the agents targeting the EGFR, hedgehog, Wnt/β-catenin and/or Notch cascades to inhibit the ABC multi-drug efflux transporters and/or eliminate the cancer progenitor cells (Fig. 2; Table 3) [57, 89, 102, 191, 215, 238–240]. For instance, it has been observed that the EGF treatment of human metastatic UMSCC10B and HN12 head and neck squamous carcinoma cell lines was accompanied by an increase of number of the SP cells and the addition of gefitinib reduced the SP population [102]. The cyclopamine treatment of poorly differentiated and highly-tumourigenic CD44+PC3 metastatic prostate cancer cells, which possess an intermediate phenotype (androgen receptor negative, CK5/18), was also accompanied by a decrease of the expression levels of MDR1 and BCRP/ABCG2 suggesting that the activation of hedgehog signalling may contribute to MDR in these cancer cells [239]. Importantly, the continuous cyclopamine treatment of PC3 cell-xenografts established in nude mice *in vivo* has also been observed to result in apoptotic cell death and tumour regression [191]. Also, no sign of the tumour recurrence and secondary effects on normal cells was detected 72 hrs after the cessation of treatment, suggesting that the cancer-initiating cells may have been eliminated [191]. Similarly, it has also been reported that the hedgehog ligand induces a clonal expansion of multiple myeloma (MM) stem cells while cyclopamine treatment counteracts the expansion of purified MM stem cells but has little effect on the growth of their further differentiated malignant plasma cells [238]. The number of SP cells detected in the NCL-H929 MM cell line was also decreased after the cyclopamine treatment [238]. Of particular therapeutic interest, cyclopamine alone also inhibited the self-renewal ability of CD133+ glioma cancer stem cell cultures established from human GBM tumour samples *in vitro*, and induced an additive or synergistic anti-proliferative and apoptotic effect at a lower concentration in combination with the current therapeutic drug, temozolomide on the glomiasphere cells [240]. Long-term treatment of glomiasphere cells expressing a high expression of the stemness genes (GLI1, PTCH1, Nanog, Oct-4, SOX2, BMI1 and PCNA) with the cyclopamine alone also killed all these cancer stem cells in culture, and induced the regression of gliomas tumours established from the glomiasphere cells in nude mice *in vivo*, without detectable secondary effects [240]. Moreover, the radioresistant property of CD133+ glioblastoma stem cells could also be reversed by using a specific inhibitor of the CDK1 and CDK2 checkpoint kinases [79]. Additionally, the suppressing of β-catenin expression in Sca-1+ multi-potent progenitor cells from an immortalized COMMA-D-J5-geo murine mammary gland cell line has been observed to decrease their self-renewal capacity [212]. The results from a recent investigation have also indicated that the Notch signalling blockade by using an inhibitor of γ-secretase (GSI-18) significantly reduced the CD133+ cell fraction and eliminated the SP cells detected in medulloblastoma cell mass, which express a high Notch signalling levels [215]. Since the medulloblastoma cells treated with Notch inhibitor did not effectively form the tumour xenografts *in vivo*, it has been suggested that the cancer-initiating cells have been eradicated [215].

**Targeting the local microenvironment of cancer progenitor cells**

The development of more effective antitumoural strategies also implicates the inhibition of the neoangiogenic process which is necessary for the tumour vascularization and growth. Many anti-angiogenic agents that are able to interfere with the VEGF-VEGFR transduction system, including the anti-VEGF or VEGFR antibody, VEGFR antagonists and...
the soluble truncated form of VEGFR have been designed and observed to effectively counteract the tumour growth in animal models in vivo (Table 3) [30, 131, 132, 134–136, 140, 187, 241]. Particularly, it has been observed that the targeting of VEGFRs may be more effective than the inhibition of the single receptor to prevent and/or counteract the tumour formation in animal models in vivo [135, 137]. Interestingly, the treatment of the mice bearing orthotopic U87 glioma cell xenografts with anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody, bevacizumab markedly reduced the microvasculature density and tumour growth and this anti-angiogenic effect was also accompanied by a decrease of the number of vessel-associated self-renewing CD133+/nestin+ tumour cells [187]. Based on these observations, it has been suggested that the bevacizumab may act, at least in part, by disrupting the vascular microenvironment ‘niche’ of BTSCs, and thereby impairs their self-renewal capacity (Fig. 1) [187, 242]. In addition, several lines of evidence have revealed the potential benefit of targeting the tumour-specific vascular precursor cells including the circulating VEGFR-2+-EPCs and VEGFR-1+-PMC which may be recruited within the activated stromal compartment in the primary and secondary neoplasms for preventing and/or counteracting the neovascularization process associated with tumour development (Fig. 1) [134, 136, 137, 140]. More particularly, it has been shown that the use of BM-derived EPCs engineered to express an anti-angiogenic gene product, a soluble truncated form of VEGFR-2, may impair tumour growth in vivo [140]. The identification of further specific biomarkers to the tumour-specific EPCs should therefore help to develop the more effective anti-angiogenic treatments based on their molecular targeting.

Conclusions

Altogether, these recent investigations have revealed that the most aggressive cancers may originate from the malignant transformation of embryonic or adult stem/progenitor cells into cancer progenitor cells. The cancer progenitor cells can provide critical functions in cancer initiation and progression into metastatic and recurrent disease states. Based on these observations, the molecular targeting of highly leukaemic or tumourigenic cancer progenitor cells must be considered for improving the efficacy of the current cancer therapies. New drug combinations targeting cancer progenitor cells have been developed and shown to be effective against certain aggressive cancers in the clinical settings. Additional investigations to identify the specific biomarkers and resistance mechanisms of cancer progenitor cells versus their further differentiated malignant progenies and their normal counterparts, adult stem cells should lead to more effective and safe therapeutic treatments for the most the aggressive and incurable cancers.

Future directions and perspectives

The technical advancements in the adult stem cell biology have provided the methods to isolate and characterize the ex vitro and in vivo properties of cancer stem/progenitor cells. However, the evaluation of their functional properties ex vivo must be considered with caution since multiple extrinsic factors may influence their behaviour, and more particularly, the experimental culture conditions did not necessarily reflect their local microenvironment within the tumours. Further investigations of cancer progenitor cells in more vigorous experimental conditions are required, and thereby should help to elucidate the molecular mechanisms that provide a critical role for their uncontrolled self-renewal and/or aberrant differentiation capacity. The differences between the specific biomarkers and functional properties of cancer progenitor cells versus their normal counterpart, adult stem cells should notably be exploited for the specific targeting of cancer progenitor cells, and thereby preserve the integrity of normal tissue-specific adult stem cells. The cancer progenitor cells also exhibit a differential gene expression pattern, as compared to the bulk mass of further cancer cells, and therefore a re-analyse of the oncogenic gene products activated specifically in cancer progenitor cells appears to be necessary. Further investigations by using the cancer progenitor cells isolated from primary cancer patient’s malignant tissues at different stages during the cancer progression and metastatic disease should help to identify new biomarkers for the development of more effective diagnostic and prognostic methods and targeted therapies against the aggressive and metastatic cancers. The development of new effective and safe targeted therapies by
eradicating the total population of cancer progenitor cells and their further differentiated progenies at the primary and secondary neoplasms should allow us to improve the current cancer treatments, prevent the disease relapse and thereby induce a complete cytogenetic remission and cure of cancer patients in the clinics.
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