To what extent will 5-aminolevulinic acid change the face of malignant glioma surgery?
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Practice points

- High-grade glioma surgery aims to achieve complete resection of the contrast-enhancing tumor as observed on MRI; however, this result was achieved less than 30% of the time until quite recently.
- The use of fluorescence-guided surgery (FGS) with 5-aminolevulinic acid allows a substantial increase in resection, with complete resection of contrast-enhancing tumor possible in over 80% of patients.
- FGS is widely used in Europe and is likely to be the standard surgery for high-grade glioma in a few years.
- Complete resection of the enhancing tumor will become the typical result after surgery.
- Neurophysiological monitoring will be used more extensively.
- Trials will be stratified by resection outcome.
- The synergy between adjuvant therapy and complete resection will become more important.
- Distant or multifocal recurrence will become a more relevant problem in glioblastoma.
- Properly trained and dedicated oncological neurosurgeons are needed to obtain the full benefit of this technique.
- FGS with 5-aminolevulinic acid could be replaced by a better visualization system with the same consequences, although no such system is foreseeable now.
- An unexpected, disruptive new therapy that renders surgery unnecessary would change the expected scenario.

SUMMARY Glioma surgery is an essential part of glioma management; however, fully achieving the goal of surgery has been uncommon. The goal of surgery is ‘maximal safe resection’ with the accepted target for maximal being complete resection of the contrast-enhancing tumor. This ideal result was obtained in less than 30% of cases in centers of excellence until a few years ago. The development of fluorescence-guided surgery using 5-aminolevulinic acid has initiated a radical change. Over the past 5 years, various groups have published rates of complete resection of the enhancing tumor that exceed 80%. In the coming years, as the use of the technology expands, complete resection should become a common, predictable result at many centers. Consequently, adjuvant therapies that benefit from resection could play a bigger role, resection could be incorporated as a variable in randomized trials and distant recurrence might become a more common problem.

Background To apply the right perspective to fluorescence-guided surgery, the role of surgery in the management of malignant glioma must be briefly reviewed and understood. While the benefits of surgery
for histological diagnosis and initial symptom alleviation have never been disputed, the benefit on overall survival (OS) has been a controversial subject for many years and still is to a certain degree. Malignant glioma are incurable tumors, and surgery is never totally or radical because the tumors are diffuse and infiltrate the brain at a distance. The discussion involves the extent to which surgery, as well as radiotherapy and chemotherapy, can increase the median OS and the slim chance of long-term survival. As the oldest treatment, issues involving equipoise have precluded the benefits of studying surgery in large randomized trials, and these limits will probably never be surmounted.

Malignant glioma are a group of several entities; glioblastoma (GBM) is the most common and malignant of the group, so the data mentioned in this review are obtained mainly for GBM and assumed to be mostly valid for the other malignant gliomas, for which much less information is available. These tumors can be classified as having two components: a solid tumor and an infiltrative component [1]. The accepted target for surgery is the volume inside the contrast-enhancing areas, which is assumed to correspond grossly to the solid part.

When early postoperative MRI began to be used to assess the extent of resection (EOR), two things became clear. On the one hand, the neurosurgeon’s impression of the resection was very imprecise; on the other hand, resection verified by MRI strongly correlated with a longer OS [2]. In a paper published by Albert et al., the neurosurgeons had estimated the resection to be completed in 54% of the cases, while MRI confirmed this finding in only 18% of the cases. This discrepancy highlighted the problem that the tumor tissue is difficult to discern in the surgical field with the naked eye or even the surgical microscope. Since that time, larger series [3,4] that measured the extent of resection by MRI have consistently shown that patients with a higher volume resected have the longest mean OS, with nearly all long-term survivors falling into this category. The earlier literature, which failed to show an association between resection and OS, gauged resection only by the neurosurgeon’s impression [5] and not with an objective, high-quality image control. A review of series that applied image quality control to the EOR shows that complete resection of enhancing tumor contrast-enhancing tumor (CRET) is achieved in a minority of cases, with rates less than 30% even at excellence centers in the world [6].

A more recent review by a group at the MD Anderson Cancer Center collected the largest series and most detailed analyses thus far, and it identified a continuous, nonlinear relationship between expected median OS and the EOR [7].

In the absence of randomized trials, it can be argued that the benefit of resection is unproven and could be attributed to an association with other factors, such as location and extent of the tumor. The best evidence is level 2b from a trial by Stummer et al., in which the patients were randomized not to have better surgery but to have surgery with a tool that increases the EOR [8].

With these data, CRET has been considered beneficial for the patient but only possible in a minority of cases. EOR has not been measured properly at many centers and has been somewhat neglected by the neurooncology community; many large randomized trials of new therapies have not included an objective measurement of resection [9–11].

Interestingly, patients with complete resection have also been shown to benefit more from adjuvant chemotherapy [12].

Role of fluorescence-guided surgery
Fluorescence-guided surgery (FGS) with 5-aminolevulinic acid (5-ALA) was introduced into the field of neurosurgery by Walter Stummer in the late nineties [13]. In this technique, the patient receives 5-ALA orally approximately 3 h before the induction of anesthesia. The synthesis of 5-ALA is the limiting step in the synthesis of a heme group; therefore, 5-ALA administration increases this metabolic pathway, leading to an increase in fluorescent molecules such as protoporphyrin IX (PpIX). Interestingly, glioma tumor cells accumulate much more PpIX than do normal cells. This difference is enough to be visible to the human eye and has been observed in all GBM cell lines and all GBM cases in most reported series. The molecular mechanisms underlying the accumulation are not perfectly known, and some metabolic anomalies have been reported, including high mRNA levels of coproporphyrinogen oxidase [14]. Because 5-ALA is unable to cross the intact blood–brain barrier, in vivo efficacy requires some degree of opening; this is not a problem for GBM treatment because the malignancy extensively disrupts the blood–brain barrier, but it most likely
limits the efficacy in lower grade glioma cases, in which the fluorescence is usually absent in grade 2 and sometimes focal in grade 3 [18].

The surgical microscope was modified with filters to illuminate the field with a blue–violet light and maximize the detection of red fluorescence from PpIX [16]. The result is that the neurosurgeon is able to differentiate the tumor from normal tissue directly within the surgical field and in real time. The positive predictive value of the fluorescence for the presence of tumor is markedly high (well over 90%) and has been validated by several groups [17–19]. In fact, 5-ALA surgery highlights not only the solid tumor, which appears deep red, but also a gradient of tumor cells invading the surrounding tissue, which presents a slowly fading intensity of fluorescence, giving the surgeons an estimation of the degree of tumor invasion that approaches that of histopathological study [17]. The negative predictive value is lower and depends on definition of tumor, as GBM is an infiltrative tumor in which isolated cells can be found far from the tumor to be resected; values of 26–50% have been reported [17–18,20].

A Phase III randomized trial was published in 2006 and showed a CRET rate of 65% for the use of 5-ALA versus 36% for standard surgery [21]. This study was a multicenter trial conducted when the utility of the technique was still unproven, and the performance was irregular between centers [Stummer W, Unpublished Data]. It should be noted that 5-ALA is only a tool used to visualize; it does not have any effect on the tumor, so its performance depends on the individual surgeon. If the observed tumor is not resected, 5-ALA will not offer any benefit. After the randomized trial, better results have been reported by many other groups, with CRET rates of approximately 80% or more [22–24]. It should be remembered that the only role of 5-ALA is to increase CRET rate, any benefit in OS would be through resection; the previous randomized trial was not powered nor designed to study OS, where many variables come into play.

The use of 5-ALA has grown steadily from the original 19 centers participating in the randomized trial; as of October 2014, more than twenty thousand patients have been treated worldwide, and it is currently being used in 660 hospitals. There is a significant geographical asymmetry, as 400 of these hospitals are in Europe (one center per 1,700,000 inhabitants) and 135 are in Germany (one center per 592,000 inhabitants). The early trials and the regulatory trial were conducted in Germany, and the drug was approved by the European Regulator (EMA) in September 2007. While the rest of the world has gained access later, implementation has been particularly delayed in the USA, where it is not approved, although selected high-quality centers are using it in clinical trials. In Germany, each center with the system available would need to treat only 36 high-grade glioma cases a year to offer FGS to all patients in the country. Throughout Europe, all of the high-grade glioma cases would amount to 102 cases per year per center, or two per week, so there are already more than enough centers to offer the treatment to every patient.

Side effects for 5-ALA are mild, including a risk of sunburn if exposed to direct light during the first 24 h and an elevation of liver enzymes; no severe reactions have been described [21].

Considering the expansion of this treatment method in Germany and Europe, it should be expected that within the next 5–10 years, most centers, and surely all centers with dedicated neurooncology programs in the developed world, will be using it.

The need to use a surgical microscope is an obstacle to adoption of the method, as not all neurosurgeons prefer to use the microscope for every case. In this regard, the possibility of using the system with other optical systems will help promote adoption. The system has already been shown to work with surgical endoscopes [25,26], exoscopes [27] and surgical loupes [28]. The data available for these other systems are not as complete as the data for microscopes, so they need to be verified carefully, especially for electronic display systems, to avoid the risk of image overamplification causing false-positive images. However, the optical principles are the same, and the system should work if the appropriate parameters for light, filtering and image display are carefully worked out and histopathological validation is performed.

● **Consequences of widespread use of FGS**

The generalized use of FGS will lead to a fundamental change in malignant glioma surgery. Over the next 5–10 years, FGS with 5-ALA will become the standard surgery, and many more centers will achieve complete resection in a majority of cases rather than only occasionally. This change can be expressed as a higher...
percentage of patients achieving complete resection, but it will also mean that a very high percentage of patients will have very little residual tumor [24].

Importantly, in addition to the increase in resection, surgery for malignant glioma will have a much more predictable result. As has been described before, the benefit of resection is accepted by a majority of researchers, but it is considered to be an occasional outcome and is therefore not included in most trials as a variable. When CRET becomes the typical result in considerably more than half of patients, the benefit of adjuvant therapy will be considered differently for resected cases versus nonresected cases.

Therapies that benefit more from resection will be more useful. This assumption has already been found to be relevant for certain types of immunotherapy [29,30].

Another important consequence is that, as the local problem is better solved, the survival will improve by months, but more distant recurrences will then appear [31]. Currently, over 80% of patients with GBM have a local recurrence, and almost all research on tumor biology is performed on the main tumor mass; very little effort is directed toward the invasive cells that are distant to the mass and will be responsible for the late recurrences, albeit some studies have shown that the invasive cells are different [32,33]. With more extensive resections, the local problem should be better controlled, and the study of invasive disease will become more widespread.

At the same time, the unique ability of FGS to differentiate in vivo solid tumors from infiltration areas allows selective sampling of the peripheral areas. In this way, the interface between tumor and normal parenchyma can be studied, and invasive cells can be isolated [17]. Some papers have shown already that tumor initiating cells from the periphery are different from tumor initiating cells from the central mass [34,35]. This finding means that therapies designed against the central portion, which currently describes nearly all targeted therapies, are not equally efficient at preventing distant recurrence. As FGS becomes more widespread, more research groups will gain access to this selective sampled tissue, and a better understanding of this invasive disease is expected.

Using FGS, the tumor is clearly visible in the surgical field; therefore, the only reason to leave tumor tissue behind should be that the tumor affects the so-called eloquent areas of the brain, areas whose lesion could produce a neurological deficit for the patient. Minor exceptions to this general rule happen sometimes with small parts of the tumor going undetected due to an irregular or deep surgical field or the existence of small satellite nodules. Because FGS is a surface-viewing technique, it will not detect anything that is covered by normal tissue or not properly exposed. It has been proposed that if this happens, the patient can be reoperated a few days later to obtain CRET without significant additional risks [36].

When a tumor invades functional areas of the brain, the resection may need to be limited to avoid significant deficits. In this situation, resecting all of the fluorescent tissue could produce a neurological deficit. FGS is helpful to differentiate solid tumor, which is not functional tissue and can be resected, from invasive areas, or normal tissue. The appropriate way to deal with tumors near eloquent regions is to use neurophysiological monitoring intraoperatively, including awake surgery if language needs to be monitored. Neurophysiological monitoring is a well-established technique, and its combination with FGS has already been reported [23,37]; these two groups have shown that this combination can result in markedly high rates of resection with minimal morbidity, even in eloquent locations. However, neurophysiological monitoring adds to the complexity of surgery and is not available everywhere. Today, incomplete resection is still considered to be quite common and acceptable; therefore, in many centers that do not have routine neurophysiological monitoring available, a portion of the tumor is left in doubtful cases to avoid risk. In the near future, CRET or resection limited by neurophysiological monitoring should become the rule rather than the exception, and the level of treatment expected from the neurosurgeon and the institution will be better.

- **Alternative technologies**
  There are other options leading the transition toward more complete resections, similar to FGS, and a short review is provided here.

Intraoperative MRI (iMRI) has seen a large expansion over the past 10 years. The main use of this equipment is to guide the resection of glioma before the end of surgery and to continue the resection until the objective is achieved. Very high rates of CRET have been reported with the routine use of iMRI [38], with increased patient
survival and a wider EOR [39]; these benefits have been demonstrated in a randomized trial [40]. The final objective is similar to that of FGS, although there are important differences:

- iMRI control is useful for any type of glioma, whereas FGS is only applicable to malignant glioma because most low-grade tumors do not fluoresce [41];
- FGS is already available to a majority of patients in Europe and could be available to most of the developed world within the next few years. iMRI has been installed in selected centers in the developed world; however, the cost of installation and maintenance of an iMRI scanner makes its use much more limited than that of FGS. It will not be available to most patients in the foreseeable future, unless a rather significant change in cost occurs;
- FGS provides real-time, direct visual guidance. Resection can be adjusted to portions of the tumor of a millimeter or less, with knowledge of the approximate tumor cell density of the tissue able to be applied while it is being resected. iMRI offers a quality control of the work performed; to use it, the surgery must be stopped for approximately 45 min, and if the resection is not sufficient, the surgery can be started again, but it does not offer real-time control. This method does not allow a correction if nontumor tissue is resected, and due to time limits, performing it more than two- or three-times during a surgery is impractical;
- MRI is useful for the control of CRET, whereas 5-ALA staining goes farther. A complete resection of the fluorescent tissue indicated by 5-ALA takes out more tumor than CRET alone [42]. A series has been published in which patients with CRET and complete resection of the fluorescent tissue lived longer than did patients with CRET but some residual fluorescence [43].

Other fluorescence systems:

- The utility of 5-ALA has led to further investigation of other uses of fluorescence, although none has currently shown an equivalent efficacy in clinical use. Sodium fluorescein (SF) has been used in the past for the same indication; it was used as early as 1948 [44] and then restudied in the era of modern surgery [45,46], but it achieved little success due to its lack of specificity for tumor tissue. The fundamental difference is that SF itself fluoresces; it can therefore stain vessels and normal tissue and is not exclusive to tumor cells. Still, some groups have studied it again after the 5-ALA success, using a specially designed filter in the microscope [47,48]. No detailed histopathological correlation has been published equivalent to that of 5-ALA, and even with the improved filter, it is difficult to accept that it might be equivalent to 5-ALA for high-grade glioma, given its unspecified mechanism of action. The main advantage reported is the price, but that factor does not take into consideration the fact that SF for glioma is an off-label indication.

Many other technologies like ultrasound, functional MRI and tractography can be helpful for the resection of glioma, but are more complementary than alternatives to FGS, and a detailed comparison to those techniques is outside of the scope of the manuscript.

- Challenges
  FGS is only a tool to help visualize the tumor, not a drug for treating the tumor. To make the most of this help, neurosurgeons need the appropriate skill, training, dedication and patience; this type of surgery takes longer. Neurosurgeons who have little experience with parenchymal tumors and neurosurgeons who remain unconvinced of the benefits of surgery will not achieve improved resection.

    Improvements in the treatment of high-grade glioma can come in many ways. As long as the improvements to new therapies are complementary with resection, which has been the case until now, this vision will hold true. Disruptive improvements could appear that enable treatment of the disease even with a major tumor burden, so surgery could be made obsolete. Despite all of the new knowledge of the molecular biology of the tumor, this silver bullet does not seem to be any nearer now than it has been in the past.

    FGS with 5-ALA will be displaced only if a superior technology appears that has the same or better selectivity for tumor tissue and the same or better ease of use. In this case, the tool would be replaced, but the consequences for malignant glioma surgery would be the same.

- Cost considerations
  Of course, cost is now an important issue for any medical advance. 5-ALA costs approximately
1000 euros per patient (note that this cost can be affected by factors such as the patients weight, and this is not intended to be a detailed cost/efficacy study), and the surgical microscopes needed to execute include only the top models of the major manufacturers, with costs of approximately 300,000 euros. Very grossly, the surgical microscope can be used for 10 years in the treatment of over 200 patients per year, making the per-patient cost approximately 150 euros per case. Although these are significant costs, they are only a fraction of the total cost of a craniotomy for a tumor. FGS has been shown to be cost-efficient in a pharmaco-economic review in Spain \[40\]. The drug is even more cost-efficient if it is compared not only to the cost of standard craniotomy but to the total cost of therapy for malignant glioma, including radiotherapy and chemotherapy.

In the future, the cost of the drug should become cheaper as the exclusive rights of the patent expire.

The option of using the system without a microscope could also help its adoption in countries with fewer economic resources, as endoscopes, exoscopes and surgical loupes are more widely available than are surgical microscopes \[25–28\].

**Conclusion & future perspective**

As the use of FGS extends, surgery for high grade glioma is undergoing a transition from unpredictable results with few complete resections to a majority of complete resections. The threshold for newly diagnosed glioblastomas. J. Neurosurg. 115(1), 3–8 (2011).
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