Recurrence patterns in patients with high-grade glioma following temozolomide-based chemoradiotherapy
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Abstract. There is currently no consensus regarding the optimal radiation volume for high-grade glioma (HGG). The brain volume irradiated is associated with the extent of radiation neurotoxicity. When reducing the treatment volume, the risk of geographic tumor miss should be considered. In such cases, the recurrence patterns and, particularly, the rate of marginal tumor recurrence, are important indices for determining the optimal radiation volume. In the present study, a smaller-target delineation protocol with limited margins was adopted. The postoperative enhancing tumor and resection cavity were defined as gross tumor volume (GTV); 1 and 2 cm were added to the GTV to create clinical target volume (CTV1 and CTV2), which received 60 and 54 Gy, respectively. At a median follow-up of 14 months, 54 HGG patients developed tumor recurrence. The median overall and progression-free survival were 14 and 10.5 months, respectively. A total of 34 patients developed central recurrence, 8 presented with in-field recurrence, 2 developed marginal recurrence, 2 had distant recurrence and 11 patients developed cerebrospinal fluid dissemination, 2 of whom developed central recurrence, with 1 patient simultaneously developing marginal recurrence. Local recurrence (central and in-field) was found to be the main recurrence pattern. As the rate of marginal recurrence was low (<5%), it appears that the smaller irradiated volume in the present study was appropriate. However, clinical trials investigating limited irradiation volume are required to validate our findings.
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Introduction

High-grade gliomas [HGG; grade III/IV according to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification] are the most common primary malignant brain tumors in adults, comprising >50% of all cases (1). At present, the standard therapy consists of maximal safe surgical resection followed by local brain radiotherapy with concurrent and adjuvant temozolomide (TMZ) chemotherapy (2,3). However, despite aggressive treatment, the median overall survival (OS) of glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) patients after diagnosis is only 14.6 months (2). Recurrence of HGG appears to be unavoidable. The postoperative treatment for HGG was changed from whole-brain radiation therapy (WBRT) plus chemotherapy with carmustine, semustine and dacarbazine (4), to local brain radiotherapy plus TMZ chemotherapy (2). As the irradiated brain volume is associated with radiation neurotoxicity, target delineation is crucial in radiation therapy for glioma.

The Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) have provided a protocol for the delineation of the clinical target volume (CTV), including peritumoral edema, for the planning of postoperative radiotherapy for HGG. Historical RTOG protocols, including RTOG 83-02, 86-12 and 97-10, included peritumoral edema in the CTV (5-7). Initial CTV is defined as the gross tumor volume (GTV; postoperative residual tumor and cavity) plus peritumoral edema with an additional 2-cm margin (radiation dose, 46 Gy); and boost CTV is defined as the GTV with an additional 2.5-cm margin (radiation dose, 60 Gy). The irradiated brain volume is relatively large, according to the RTOG protocol. However, Chang et al (8) argued that CTV delineation using postoperative residual tumor and cavity plus a 2-cm margin, rather than intentionally including peritumoral edema, did not appear to alter the central pattern of failure for patients with GBM. Minniti et al (9) and other European studies (2,10) also concluded that a smaller CTV including the postoperative residual tumor and cavity plus a 2-cm margin, compared with CTV expanded to include the edema, reduced the volume of normal brain tissue subjected to high-dose irradiation, without increasing the risk of marginal recurrence, which was in accordance with the findings of Chang et al (8).

There is currently no consensus regarding target delineation for HGG, whereas the association between smaller
HGG target delineation and patterns of tumor recurrence has not been extensively investigated in Asian populations. A smaller target delineation protocol with a limited margins was adopted in our institution. In the present study, the patterns of recurrence in 54 HGG patients following TMZ-based chemoradiation were investigated with regards to their correlation with the reduced target delineation protocol.

**Patients and methods**

**Patients.** The clinical data and serial magnetic resonance images (MRI) of 54 patients with recurrent HGG were retrospectively evaluated. Of the 54 patients, 33 were men and 21 were women. The median age was 54 years (range, 22-77 years) and the median Karnofsky performance status was 85 (range, 30-90). A total of 27 patients underwent gross total resection, 25 patients underwent subtotal resection and 2 patients underwent biopsy only. A total of 37 patients were pathologically confirmed as having GBM and the remaining 17 patients as having grade III anaplastic glioma according to the WHO classification (anaplastic astrocytoma, n=10; anaplastic oligodendroglioma, n=5; and anaplastic oligoastrocytoma, n=2) between June 2011 and June 2014. All the patients had received TMZ-based chemoradiation within 2-4 weeks after surgery, followed by adjuvant TMZ chemotherapy. The clinicopathological characteristics of the patients are listed in Table I.

Approval of the present retrospective analysis of the patient data was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University School of Medicine (Hangzhou, China).

**Radiotherapy and chemotherapy.** Radiotherapy commenced within 2-4 weeks after surgery. Patients were simulated and treated using a thermoplastic mask for immobilization. The computed tomography (CT) scan obtained at simulation was fused with available post-contrast T1-weighted MRI and the treatment volume was contoured on the fused images. The standard prescribed dose was 60 Gy (2 Gy per daily fraction from Monday to Friday) over a period of 6 weeks. A 6-MV photon beam was delivered by a Varian Trilogy (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA, USA) or Siemens Oncor (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) linear accelerator using a multileaf collimator. According to our protocol, GTV was defined as the resection cavity and any residual contrast-enhancing tumor on post-contrast T1-weighted MRI, ignoring any edematous region. CTV1 was defined as GTV with an added 1-cm margin and CTV2 as GTV with an added 2-cm margin. Peritumoral edema was not intentionally included in the delineation of the CT, except for any edema existing within the added margin. A 3-mm margin was applied to CTV1 and CTV2 to obtain planning target volume (PTV1 and PTV2, respectively. For intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) planning, the dose prescribed for CTV2 was 54 Gy in 30 fractions and the dose for CTV1 was 60 Gy in 30 fractions as a simultaneously integrated boost.

Concomitant chemotherapy consisted of TMZ at 75 mg/m²/day, administered 7 days per week from the first day of radiotherapy. Adjuvant TMZ chemotherapy was performed for 4 weeks after the end of radiotherapy (administered over 5 days every 28 days for ≤6 cycles). The dose of TMZ was 150 mg/m² for the first cycle and was increased to 200 mg/m² from the second cycle onwards if the chemotherapy was well-tolerated. The dose was reduced or TMZ was suspended in patients with disease progression or RTOG grade 3-4 toxicity.

**MRI examination and assessment of recurrence.** Contrast-enhanced MRI of the brain was performed prior to radiotherapy, between the end of radiotherapy and the first cycle of adjuvant TMZ, and every 2 months thereafter or according to the patient’s neurological status. The MRI examination protocol included axial T1- and T2-weighted images, as well as axial, sagittal and coronal post-contrast T1-weighted images. According to the current response assessment criteria of the Neuro-Oncology Working Group (11), radiological recurrence was defined as an increase of 25% or more in the sum of the products of perpendicular diameters of enhancing lesions (compared with baseline if no decrease), or appearance of new lesions. Pseudoprogression in HGG patients often occurred within 2-6 months after the completion of concomitant chemoradiation, disappearing spontaneously within a few months (12-14). Therefore, an asymptomatic increasing lesion around or within the original tumor region, later resolving or regressing, was considered as pseudoprogression (13,15,16), while increasing contrast-enhancing lesions, which continued to progress on serial MRI scans, were defined as tumor recurrence. Recurrence was recorded at the time of the first MRI examination showing tumor progression.

The axial post-contrast T1-weighted images first showing tumor recurrence were imported into the Eclipse Treatment Planning System (Varian Medical Systems) and fused with the planning CT images with associated composite dose distribution.

| Table I. Characteristics of patients with recurrent high-grade glioma (n=54). |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Characteristics                | Patients, n (%)    |
| Gender                          | Male 33 (61.1)     |
|                                 | Female 21 (38.9)   |
| Type of surgery                 | Gross total resection 27 (50.0) |
|                                 | Subtotal resection 25 (46.3) |
|                                 | Biopsy only 2 (3.7) |
| Pathological diagnosis          | Glioblastoma 37 (68.5) |
|                                 | Anaplastic astrocytoma 10 (18.5) |
|                                 | Anaplastic oligodendroglioma 5 (9.3) |
|                                 | Anaplastic oligoastrocytoma 2 (3.7) |
| Age at diagnosis (years)        | Median 54          |
|                                 | Range 22-77        |
| Karnofsky performance status    | Median 85          |
|                                 | Range 30-90        |
MRI scans obtained immediately prior to and following recurrence were carefully examined to identify the recurrent tumor. The recurrent tumor was contoured and its volume ($V_{\text{recur}}$) was determined based on the CT and fused MRI data sets. $V_{\text{recur}}$ was delineated without knowledge of the original irradiation volumes, to reduce bias in volume delineation (Fig. 1), and was correlated with the 60-Gy isodose line (IDL) in all patients with recurrence. The recurrence patterns were classified as follows: Central recurrence, >95% of the $V_{\text{recur}}$ inside the 60-Gy IDL; in-field recurrence, 80-95% of the $V_{\text{recur}}$ inside the 60-Gy IDL; marginal recurrence, 20-80% of the $V_{\text{recur}}$ inside the 60-Gy IDL; and distant recurrence, <20% of the $V_{\text{recur}}$ inside the 60-Gy IDL (8,17,18). Cerebrospinal fluid dissemination (CSF-d) was considered as another independent recurrence pattern.

Follow-up. The follow-up time was calculated from the date of pathological diagnosis. OS was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and was defined as the time from the day of pathological diagnosis until death or the last follow-up. The time to recurrence was defined from the day of pathological diagnosis until disease recurrence. Statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 19.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Patient survival. The median follow-up time was 14 months. For GBM cases, the median OS was 13.0 months [95% confidence interval (CI): 13.4-23.5 months] and the 1-year and 2-year OS rates were 70.3 and 18.9%, respectively. The median progression-free survival (PFS) was 9.0 months (95% CI: 7.6-16.6 months) and the 1-year and 2-year PFS rates were 29.7 and 8.1%, respectively.

For anaplastic glioma cases, the median OS was 17.0 months (95% CI: 13.5-22.2 months) and the 1-year and 2-year OS rates were 82.4 and 17.6%, respectively. The median PFS was 12.0 months (95% CI: 9.8-17.0 months) and the 1-year and 2-year PFS rates were 47.1 and 11.8%, respectively.

Recurrence patterns. As shown in Table II, 34 patients (63.0%) developed central recurrence at a median interval of 11 months after pathological confirmation (range, 2-30 months), 8 patients (14.8%) developed in-field recurrence at a median interval of 9 months (range, 3-11 months), 2 patients (3.7%) developed marginal recurrence at 2 and 58 months, 2 patients (3.7%) developed distant recurrence at 2 and 35 months, and 11 patients (20.4%) developed CSF-d at a median interval of 10 months (range, 5-58 months), 2 of whom developed central recurrence, with 1 patient simultaneously developing marginal recurrence. A representative case of GBM recurrence is presented in Fig. 1.

Of the 11 patients who developed CSF-d, 1 patient developed lesions on the leptomeninges of the brainstem, cervical, thoracic and lumbar spine, and a total of 15 lesions were detected in the other 10 cases: In the ependyma of the ipsilateral lateral ventricle (n=6, 40.0%), in the ependyma of the contralateral lateral ventricle (n=3, 20.0%), in the lateral cleft pool (n=3, 20.0%; 1 ipsilateral and 2 contralateral lesions) and in the interpeduncular cistern, fourth ventricle and contralateral pontocerebellar trigone, respectively (n=1 each, 20%).

Discussion

A smaller target delineation protocol with a limited margin was used in the present study for TMZ-based chemoradiation therapy in patients with HGG. Local recurrence (central and in-field recurrence) was found to be the major recurrence pattern, while only 2 patients (3.7%) developed marginal recurrence.

The volume of the brain subjected to irradiation is considered to be a major factor associated with the development of neurotoxicity, including cognitive decline and radionecrosis. Reduction of the irradiated volume is crucial in HGG radiotherapy, since smaller irradiation volumes may reduce radiation-associated adverse effects. As the prognosis of HGG remains poor, quality of life is an important consideration for patients receiving TMZ-based chemoradiotherapy.

Before the 1980s, postoperative WBRT was used for HGG treatment (19-21). An early study by Wallner et al (22) reported that ~80% of GBM and anaplastic astrocytoma recurrences after WBRT occurred within 2 cm of the original pre-operative tumor (22). The results of the Brain Tumor Cooperative Trial 8001 showed that partial brain radiotherapy was as effective as WBRT (23). These important studies provided evidence for the effectiveness of partial-brain radiation therapy for GBM and anaplastic astrocytoma.

To date, there is no consensus regarding the optimal radiation volume for HGG. For example, according to the RTOG guidelines for target delineation, the radiation volume is...
significantly larger compared with that determined following the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) guidelines (2,10). When considering reducing the irradiation volume, the risk of geographic tumor miss should also be considered. In such cases, the rate of marginal tumor recurrence is an important index.

In a study by Milano et al (24), the RTOG guidelines for target delineation were followed: Initial CTV was defined as peritumoral edema with an added margin of 2 cm and a prescribed radiation dose of 46-50 Gy, whereas boost CTV was defined as the GTV plus a 2-2.5-cm margin, with a prescribed radiation dose of 60 Gy. The irradiated brain volume determined by these guidelines is relatively large. Among the 39 cases with recurrence, as regards the pattern of first recurrence, in-field recurrence occurred in 92%, marginal recurrence in 15% and distant recurrence in 13% of the patients. A limited margin was used by McDonald et al (25), who added a median margin of 0.7 cm to postoperative edema (T2 abnormality) to create the initial CTV, followed by addition of a geometric 0.3- or 0.5-cm margin to create the initial PTV. To delineate boost CTV, a 0.5-cm margin was most commonly added to the GTV; boost PTV was calculated by adding a further 0.3- or 0.5-cm geometric margin, and received a dose of 60 Gy. On average, the treated boost PTV (median, 140 cm$^3$) was 70% smaller compared with the boost PTV with a 2.5-cm margin (median, 477 cm$^3$). Of the 41 patients with GBM recurrence, 38 (93%) had central or in-field recurrence, 2 (5%) had marginal recurrence and 1 (2%) had distant recurrence. A boost PTV margin of $\leq$1 cm did not appear to increase the risk of marginal recurrence.

Further reduction of radiation volume may be possible for GBM treatment. Chang et al (8) investigated 48 cases of postoperative GBM who were treated at the MD Anderson Cancer Center. GTV was defined as the postoperative enhancing tumor and resection cavity; initial CTV was defined as GTV plus a 2-cm margin, and boost CTV was defined as the GTV plus 0.5 cm. A 5-mm margin was added to the initial CTV and boost CTV to create the initial PTV and boost PTV, respectively.
which received 50 and 60 Gy, respectively. A total of 43 patients were found to have central or in-field recurrence, 2 had distant recurrence and 3 (6.25%) had marginal recurrence. The authors concluded that CTV delineation using the postoperative residual tumor and cavity plus a 2-cm margin, rather than intentionally including peritumoral edema, resulted in a smaller brain volume being irradiated compared with the corresponding theoretical RTOG protocol, but did not appear to alter the central pattern of treatment failure for patients with GBM (8).

According to recent EORTC randomized trials, Minniti et al (9) determined the CTV as the residual tumor and resection cavity plus a 2-cm margin. The CTV was expanded by 0.3 cm to create the PTV to compensate for variability in treatment setup and patient motion, and a dose of 60 Gy was delivered in 30 2-Gy fractions over a period of 6 weeks. For each patient, a theoretical plan based on the addition of postoperative edema plus a 2-cm margin according to the RTOG guidelines was created and the patterns of failure were also evaluated. Central and in-field recurrence was observed in 85, marginal recurrence in 6 and distant recurrence in 14 patients. The patterns of failure were similar to those previously reported (24); however, the median brain volume subjected to high doses of radiation in the study by Minniti et al (9) was significantly smaller according to the EORTC compared with the RTOG guidelines. The authors concluded that a smaller CTV, including the postoperative residual tumor and cavity plus a 2-cm margin, as compared with CTV expanded to include the edema, reduced the volume of normal brain subjected to high doses of radiation, while not increasing the risk of marginal recurrence.

In the present study, an even smaller CTV, defined as the enhanced residual tumor and cavity plus a 1-cm margin, was introduced as routine treatment and a simultaneously integrated boost IMRT was performed. The recurrence patterns were similar to those reported by Minniti et al (9) and other European studies (2,10), with only 2 patients (3.7%) developing marginal recurrence. The median OS and PFS were 13 and 9.0 months, respectively, which were similar to those reported in European Studies (9,26). As the rate of marginal recurrence was low, it appears that the smaller irradiated volume used in the present study was appropriate. However, clinical trials investigating smaller irradiation volumes in GBM are required to validate our findings.
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