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Abstract

BRAF V600E mutations have been successfully treated with targeted therapy in melanoma, non–small cell lung cancer, and thyroid cancer. Interestingly, these mutations have also been identified in a subset of pediatric and adult brain tumors, with several cases reportedly responding to targeted therapy. However, these reports have been limited to single-agent BRAF inhibitor therapy and recurrent disease. Herein, we report dramatic clinical and radiographic responses to combination dabrafenib (BRAF inhibitor) and trametinib (MEK inhibitor) in 2 adults with high-grade gliomas (HGGs), with 1 patient treated in the first-line setting. These observations, together with prior case reports, advocate for routine screening of BRAF point mutations in adult HGGs, and suggest that treatment with dual-targeted therapy, even in newly diagnosed cases, is safe and effective.

The activating BRAF V600E mutation has been successfully targeted with dual molecular therapy in melanoma, non–small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC), and thyroid cancer. BRAF V600E mutations have also been identified in a subset of patients with pediatric and adult brain tumors, and several case reports have indicated the potential efficacy of targeted therapy in these patients. To date, these studies have mostly been performed using single-agent BRAF inhibitor therapy in recurrent low-grade gliomas (LGGs; Table 1). This report describes the use of combination dabrafenib (BRAF inhibitor) and trametinib (MEK inhibitor) in 2 adults with high-grade gliomas (HGGs). The first patient was treated at time of diagnosis, whereas the second was treated at time of recurrence in conjunction with the antiangiogenic agent bevacizumab. Both patients showed rapid and dramatic clinical and radiographic responses.

Case Reports

Patient 1
Patient 1 was a previously healthy 28-year-old female who developed generalized tonic-clonic seizures and was ultimately found to have a left hippocampal lesion. The seizures initially began when the patient was 5 weeks pregnant; however, a noncontrast head CT at the time was unremarkable. A postpartum brain T2-weighted,
fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (T2/FLAIR) MRI performed 9 months later revealed an ill-defined hyperintense lesion with central contrast enhancement within the left hippocampal body extending into the hippocampal tail, considered to be most consistent with a LGG. A repeat MRI 3 months later demonstrated an increase in size of the lesion with local extension into the basal ganglia, anterior temporal lobe, and midbrain. Given the rate of progression, a left temporal craniotomy was performed, with a subtotal resection achieved. The postoperative course was complicated by right-sided hemiparesis and word-finding difficulties due to a subdural hematoma requiring evacuation and obstructive hydrocephalus requiring shunt placement.

Histopathologic evaluation of the resected tumor showed a diffusely infiltrative glioma with moderate to dense cellularity, marked pleomorphism, brisk mitotic activity, and predominantly epithelioid morphology (Figure 1A). Although necrosis was not convincingly present, large areas of hemorrhage, focal endothelial hyperplasia (Figure 1B) and vascular thrombosis were present. The tumor was widely infiltrative (Figure 1C). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) showed polysomy of chromosome 7 albeit without EGFR amplification, and there was no PTEN (or monosomy 10q) loss. A targeted gene sequencing panel (FoundationOne, Foundation Medicine, Cambridge, MA) revealed loss of CDKN2A/B and TERT promoter mutations. Interestingly, gene sequencing also revealed the presence of a BRAF V600E mutation, which was corroborated by immunohistochemistry (Figure 1D). No mutations in IDH1 or IDH2 were identified through sequencing, and immunohistochemistry showed retained ATRX and INI1 expression. MGMT was unmethylated. Brisk proliferation was evident on Ki-67, particularly in the epithelioid regions. No areas reminiscent of classic pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma (PXA) were identified in this tumor. Specifically, there was lack of eosinophilic granular bodies and reticulin-rich areas.

Histopathologic evaluation of the resected tumor showed a diffusely infiltrative glioma with moderate to dense cellularity, marked pleomorphism, brisk mitotic activity, and predominantly epithelioid morphology (Figure 1A). Although necrosis was not convincingly present, large areas of hemorrhage, focal endothelial hyperplasia (Figure 1B) and vascular thrombosis were present. The tumor was widely infiltrative (Figure 1C). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) showed polysomy of chromosome 7 albeit without EGFR amplification, and there was no PTEN (or monosomy 10q) loss. A targeted gene sequencing panel (FoundationOne, Foundation Medicine, Cambridge, MA) revealed loss of CDKN2A/B and TERT promoter mutations. Interestingly, gene sequencing also revealed the presence of a BRAF V600E mutation, which was corroborated by immunohistochemistry (Figure 1D). No mutations in IDH1 or IDH2 were identified through sequencing, and immunohistochemistry showed retained ATRX and INI1 expression. MGMT was unmethylated. Brisk proliferation was evident on Ki-67, particularly in the epithelioid regions. No areas reminiscent of classic pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma (PXA) were identified in this tumor. Specifically, there was lack of eosinophilic granular bodies and reticulin-rich areas.

The collective findings of diffuse growth pattern, largely epithelioid histology, marked anaplasia, and lack of classic PXA phenotype, in conjunction with polysomy of chromosome 7 and TERT promoter mutations, were favored to represent epithelioid glioblastoma (GBM).

A brain MRI performed 4 weeks postoperatively demonstrated interval increased involvement of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Studies Using Single-Agent BRAF Inhibitors in Recurrent Low-Grade Gliomas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age/Sex</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 mo, F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 mo, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 mo, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 y, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 y, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 y, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 y, F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 y, F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 y, F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 y, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 y, M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 y, F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 y, M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CR, complete response; F, female; M, male; NR, not reported; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; PXA, pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma; SD, stable disease.

*Duration of response at time of publication.
the anterior left temporal lobe and thalamus (Figure 2A, black arrow), with residual disease enhancement extending from the resection cavity into the cerebral peduncle inferiorly, as well as interval development of enhancement of cranial nerves V, VII, and VIII, along with the optic chiasm and pituitary stalk, and new nodular foci of enhancement along the temporal dura (Figure 2A, white arrow). Overall, these findings were consistent with leptomeningeal gliomatosis. Despite the concern for continued progression in the absence of aggressive therapy, all adjuvant treatment was deferred due to persistent poor functional status. Unfortunately, 4 months after surgery the patient continued to have persistent poor functional status, precluding the possibility of even radiation monotherapy, so the decision was made to begin dabrafenib (150 mg, twice daily) and trametinib (2 mg, once daily). Remarkably, within 1 week of initiating dual-targeted therapy, the patient experienced a dramatic improvement in speech, overall strength, and endurance, although she continued to have right-sided hemiparesis. Four weeks later, repeat brain MRI revealed near complete resolution of the leptomeningeal gliomatosis with no evidence of intraparenchymal disease progression, although there was a persistent T2/FLAIR signal surrounding the resection cavity (Figure 2B). Over the subsequent months, the patient experienced gradual improvement in right-sided hemiparesis while experiencing no significant side effects from the combination therapy.

Unfortunately, 11 months after initiating treatment, MRI showed disease progression in the form of leptomeningeal enhancement along the cerebellum, although the residual tumor enhancement in the left temporal lobe remained unchanged. Therefore, dabrafenib and trametinib were discontinued.

Patient 2
Patient 2 was a 24-year-old male with a known left posterior frontal lobe lesion that was discovered after he developed worsening headaches and new-onset seizures. The lesion was non–contrast enhancing and T1-hyperintense, which raised suspicion of a LGG; thus follow-up imaging was recommended. Over the next year, the patient was noncompliant with clinic appointments, surveillance brain MRIs, and antiepileptic medications, resulting in eventual admission for generalized tonic-clonic seizures, word-finding difficulties, and right-sided, upper-extremity weakness. MRI at that time demonstrated an enlarged left posterior frontal lobe lesion with mixed solid and cystic components, with heterogeneously enhancing central foci concerning for progression/ transformation of the previously noted lesion. Therefore, the patient underwent a left frontal craniotomy with gross total resection. The postoperative course was complicated by an epidural hematoma and wound dehiscence, requiring debridement and intravenous antibiotics.
Histopathologic evaluation of the resected tumor showed a variably cellular glioma, with focal areas reminiscent of classic PXA transitioning into more cellular and anaplastic foci akin to epithelioid GBM (Figure 3A). Although PXA areas had somewhat fascicular architecture with pleomorphic tumor cells, intermixed eosinophilic granular bodies (Figure 3B, arrow), chronic inflammatory cells (Figure 3B, asterisk), and xanthic cells (Figure 3C), the anaplastic areas had a much more epithelioid phenotype, analogous to an epithelioid variant of GBM with round, discrete cytoplasmic borders (Figure 3D). Notably, the cells in these latter areas did have an intriguing fine microvesicular cytoplasmic quality. Extensive necrosis (including palisading necrosis), marked endothelial hyperplasia, and numerous mitoses were evident. Foci of increased pericellular reticulin deposition were essentially absent and there was a lack of CD34-positive “spider” cells. Immunostaining for mutant IDH1 R132H was negative. FISH showed polysomy of chromosomes 7 and 10 without EGFR amplification or loss of PTEN (10q). MGMT was unmethylated. BRAF V600E was detected through PCR. These collective findings raised differential possibilities of epithelioid GBM arising in a background of anaplastic PXA versus an overtly anaplastic PXA. We finally top-lined it as a HGG, with a comment discussing the diagnostic conundrum, and favored the former at the time due to its reminiscence to classic PXA in focal areas.

Whether these are 2 distinct entities or a spectrum of the same remains to be seen, although some recent work suggests an overlap based on the genome-wide methylation analysis. Interestingly, considerable methylome heterogeneity was noted despite the unifying feature of common BRAF V600E mutations.

After a prolonged recovery, standard-of-care concurrent chemoradiation with temozolomide was initiated and completed without incident. Unfortunately, 1 month later, the patient developed purulent drainage from the incision, requiring multiple washouts, then ultimately underwent removal of the bone flap along with a course of intravenous antibiotics. The initial plan was to complete 6 cycles of high-dose adjuvant temozolomide once antibiotics were completed; however, the patient missed clinic appointments over the subsequent 3 months before re-presenting to the hospital with worsening right-sided weakness. Repeat brain MRI revealed new nodular enhancements along the medial aspect of the resection cavity and pachymeningeal nodular enhancement along the inferior left frontal convexity associated with markedly increased FLAIR signal involving the corticospinal tract, consistent with disease progression. The antiangiogenic agent bevacizumab was started in an attempt to reduce the FLAIR signal and preserve motor function.

Over the next 2 months, the patient had multiple admissions for seizures due to medication noncompliance, delaying the initiation of adjuvant temozolomide, although he continued to receive bevacizumab every 2 weeks. The patient did eventually complete 2 cycles of adjuvant temozolomide, although he continued to be noncompliant with antiepileptic drug treatment, resulting in multiple hospitalizations for seizures. Unfortunately, brain MRI after 2 cycles of temozolomide showed progression of the pachymeningeal-based nodular mass along the left frontal convexity (Figure 4A, white arrow). Therefore, dabrafenib (150 mg, twice daily) and tramecitinib (2 mg, daily) were started while continuing bevacizumab. Remarkably, the patient presented to clinic for follow-up 1 week later and was no longer...
However, it is important to note that conclusions from these studies are limited by small sample sizes, although these observations do suggest that patients with GBM, particularly those with GBMs with an epithelioid histology or IDH wild-type secondary GBMs, should be routinely screened for the presence of an actionable BRAF V600E mutation.

To date, with the exception of one case of pediatric GBM,16 most case reports describing the successful treatment of BRAF V600E–positive gliomas with BRAF-targeted therapy involve patients with LGGs16,28,33–42 (Table 1). As such, the role of targeted therapy in adult BRAF-mutated HGGs has not been explored. Additionally, all previous reports have been in patients with recurrent or refractory disease following standard radiation and cytotoxic chemotherapy, so the role of targeted therapy in newly diagnosed patients is unclear. As such, this is the first report describing the successful treatment of an adult with a BRAF V600E–positive HGG using dual-targeted therapy. Furthermore, we demonstrate for the first time that targeted therapy can safely induce objective and durable clinical responses when used as a first-line agent in patients who are not candidates for aggressive multimodal therapy. Overall, these findings greatly expand on the potential role for these agents in the treatment of BRAF-mutated HGGs.

In metastatic melanoma, dual-targeted therapy with combined BRAF and MEK inhibition has been shown to reduce the rate of secondary skin cancers.
Targeted Therapy in BRAF-Mutated GBM

and the development of resistance.\textsuperscript{43,44} In gliomas, previous studies of targeted therapy have mostly been performed using single-agent BRAF inhibitors (Table 1). Thus, the potential benefit of adding an MEK inhibitor (trametinib or cobimetinib) to targeted therapy had not been evaluated in HGGs. We reasoned that combined dabrafenib and trametinib may be more advantageous given the aggressive nature of the disease. Indeed, both reported patients experienced rapid clinical and radiographic improvement. Although the long-term outcome of dual-targeted therapy could not be evaluated in patient 2 due to treatment noncompliance, patient 1 experienced disease control for approximately 11 months before developing progressive disease, which is consistent with results from phase III studies using these agents in metastatic melanoma.\textsuperscript{43,44} These observations suggest that dual-targeted therapy may be an effective therapeutic option for patients with BRAF-mutated HGGs, including as first-line therapy or if a rapid response is needed. Unfortunately, given the rarity of this patient population, larger clinical trials directly comparing the efficacy of dual-targeted therapy with standard-of-care chemoradiation may not be feasible. Therefore, the decision regarding when to use targeted therapy will need to continue to be made on a case-by-case basis for the immediate future.

Conclusions

This report describes 2 adult patients with BRAF V600E–positive HGG successfully treated with combined dabrafenib and trametinib therapy. Both patients had significant clinical and radiographic responses, consistent with prior results using single-agent BRAF inhibitors in LGGs. Furthermore, these cases propose several important considerations: (1) routine screening for the presence of BRAF point mutations in adult HGGs should be part of the initial molecular characterization; (2) treatment with targeted therapy in newly diagnosed, BRAF-mutated HGGs is a safe and effective approach, particularly in patients deemed to be poor candidates for aggressive chemoradiation; and (3) combination dabrafenib and trametinib remains safe and efficacious for the treatment of central nervous system disease, even when used with antiangiogenic agents. Although these conclusions need to be validated in larger patient cohorts, the case reports herein provide encouraging proof-of-principle data supporting the pursuit of such studies.
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