ABSTRACT

Neuro Oncol. 2022 Nov 19:noac253. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noac253. Online ahead of print.

Phase I study of a novel glioblastoma radiation therapy schedule exploiting cell-state plasticity.

Dean JA(1)(2)(3)(4)(5), Tanguturi SK(6), Cagney D(6), Shin KY(1)(3), Youssef G(7)(8), Aizer A(6), Rahman R(6), Hammoudeh L(6), Reardon D(7), Lee E(7), Dietrich J(8), Tamura K(9), Aoyagi M(9), Wickersham L(6), Wen PY(7), Catalano P(1)(3), Haas-Kogan D(6), Alexander BM(6), Michor F(1)(2)(3)(10)(11)(12).

Author information:

(1)Department of Data Science, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA. (2)Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA.

(3)Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA.

(4)Department of Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, University College London, London, United Kingdom.

(5)UCL Cancer Institute, University College London, London, United Kingdom.(6)Department of Radiation Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

(7)Center for Neuro-Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

(8)Center for Neuro-Oncology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA.

(9)Department of Neurosurgery, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo, Japan.

(10)The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA.

(11)The Center for Cancer Evolution, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA.

(12)The Ludwig Center at Harvard, Boston, MA, USA.

BACKGROUND: Glioblastomas comprise heterogeneous cell populations with dynamic, bidirectional plasticity between treatment-resistant stem-like and treatment-sensitive differentiated states, with treatment influencing this process. However, current treatment protocols do not account for this plasticity. Previously, we generated a mathematical model based on preclinical experiments to describe this process and optimize a radiation therapy fractionation schedule that substantially increased survival relative to standard fractionation in a murine glioblastoma model.

METHODS: We developed statistical models to predict the survival benefit of interventions to glioblastoma patients based on the corresponding survival benefit in the mouse model used in our preclinical study. We applied our mathematical model of glioblastoma radiation response to optimize a radiation therapy fractionation schedule for patients undergoing re-irradiation for glioblastoma and developed a first-in-human trial (NCT03557372) to assess the feasibility and safety of administering our schedule.

RESULTS: Our statistical modeling predicted that the hazard ratio, when comparing our novel radiation schedule with a standard schedule, would be 0.74. Our mathematical modeling suggested that a practical, near optimal schedule for re-irradiation of recurrent glioblastoma patients was 3.96 Gy x 7 (1 fraction/day) followed by 1.0 Gy x 9 (3 fractions/day). Our optimized schedule was successfully administered to 14/14 (100%) patients.

CONCLUSIONS: A novel radiation therapy schedule based on mathematical modeling of cell-state plasticity is feasible and safe to administer to glioblastoma patients.

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Neuro-Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

DOI: 10.1093/neuonc/noac253 PMID: 36402744