https://doi.org/10.4143/crt.2021.1514

Original Article

Suggestions for Escaping the Dark Ages for Pediatric Diffuse Intrinsic Pontine Glioma Treated with Radiotherapy: Analysis of Prognostic Factors from the National Multicenter Study

Hyun Ju Kim[®]¹, Joo Ho Lee², Youngkyong Kim³, Do Hoon Lim⁴, Shin-Hyung Park⁵, Seung Do Ahn⁶, In Ah Kim⁷, Jung Ho Im⁸, Jae Wook Chung⁹, Joo-Young Kim¹⁰, II Han Kim², Hong In Yoon[®]¹¹, Chang-Ok Suh[®]⁸

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Gachon University Gil Hospital, Incheon, ²Department of Radiation Oncology, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, ³Department of Radiation Oncology, Kyung Hee University Medical Center, Kyung Hee University School of Medicine, Seoul, ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, ⁵Department of Radiation Oncology, School of Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, ⁶Department of Radiation Oncology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, ⁷Department of Radiation Oncology, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, ⁸Department of Radiation Oncology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, Seongnam, ⁹Department of Radiation Oncology, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, ¹⁰Proton Therapy Center, National Cancer Center, Goyang, ¹¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Yonsei Cancer Center, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Purpose This multicenter retrospective study aimed to investigate clinical, radiologic, and treatment-related factors affecting survival in patients with newly diagnosed diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG) treated with radiotherapy.

Materials and Methods Patients aged < 30 years who underwent radiotherapy as an initial treatment for DIPG between 2000 and 2018 were included; patients who did not undergo magnetic resonance imaging at diagnosis and those with pathologically diagnosed grade I glioma were excluded. We examined medical records of 162 patients collected from 10 participating centers in Korea. The patients' clinical, radiological, molecular, and histopathologic characteristics, and treatment responses were evaluated to identify the prognosticators for DIPG and estimate survival outcomes.

Results The median follow-up period was 10.8 months (interquartile range, 7.5 to 18.1). The 1- and 2-year overall survival (OS) rates were 53.5% and 19.0%, respectively, with a median OS of 13.1 months. Long-term survival rate (\geq 2 years) was 16.7%, and median OS was 43.6 months. Age (< 10 years), poor performance status, treatment before 2010, and post-radiotherapy necrosis were independently associated with poor OS in multivariate analysis. In patients with increased post-radiotherapy necrosis, the median OS estimates were 13.3 months and 11.4 months with and without bevacizumab, respectively (p=0.138).

Conclusion Therapeutic strategy for DIPG has remained unchanged over time, and the associated prognosis remains poor. Our findings suggest that appropriate efforts are needed to reduce the occurrence of post-radiotherapy necrosis. Further well-designed clinical trials are recommended to improve the poor prognosis observed in DIPG patients.

Key words Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma, Radiotherapy, Prognosis

Introduction

Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG) accounts for 10%-20% of all childhood brain tumors, and has a poor prognosis with a median overall survival (OS) of less than 12 months [1-3]. Approximately 10% of pediatric patients with DIPG survive for \geq 2 years after diagnosis [4]. Based on recent advances in molecular profiling, the World Health Organization (WHO) defined a new pathologic entity, "diffuse midline glioma, H3K27M-mutant" [5]. The pathologic diagnosis of this new variant is defined by the presence of a somatic mutation at position K27 in one of the several histoneencoding genes. DIPG cases with H3K27M mutation, which account for approximately 80% of clinically recognized DIPGs, have a significantly worse prognosis than those without the H3K27M mutation [6]; however, to date, there is no known treatment for such cases in clinical practice.

Owing to the anatomical complexity and critical functions of the brainstem, surgical resection of DIPG is rarely performed, and pathologic diagnosis via biopsy is very limited. Radiotherapy remains the standard of care, delaying the progression of disease for some months [7]. However, radiotherapy techniques, such as optimal target volume, radiotherapy modality, and dose schemes, are not well

Copyright © 2022 by the Korean Cancer Association

1

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Correspondence: Hong In Yoon

Department of Radiation Oncology, Yonsei Cancer Center, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea Tel: 82-2-2228-8110 Fax: 82-2-2227-7823 E-mail: YHI0225@yuhs.ac Received November 18, 2021 Accepted March 2, 2022

Co-correspondence: Chang-Ok Suh

Department of Radiation Oncology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, CHA University School of Medicine, 59 Yatap-ro, Bundang-gu, Seongnam 13496, Korea Tel: 82-31-780-5410 Fax: 82-31-780-5420 E-mail: suhchangok@cha.ac.kr

established. To improve prognosis, several studies have investigated the additive use of chemotherapy and radiotherapy with inconclusive findings [8,9]. Bevacizumab, an anti-vascular endothelial growth factor antibody, was investigated as a novel therapeutic agent; however, its efficacy in children with DIPG remains unclear. Despite medical progress, overall research on DIPG remains scarce due to tumor rarity, unclear pathology, and ineffective therapies. This multicenter retrospective study aimed to investigate prognostic factors associated with survival in children newly diagnosed with DIPG and treated with radiotherapy in Korea.

Materials and Methods

1. Study population

Medical records of patients with newly diagnosed DIPG treated with radiotherapy between January 2000 and December 2018 at 10 participating centers were retrospectively reviewed based on the Korean Radiation Oncology Group (KROG) 20-01 protocol.

Patients aged < 30 years who had undergone gadoliniumenhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at diagnosis, presenting with radiologic features consistent with DIPG, were included in the study. DIPG was diagnosed when MRI showed a T1-hypo (or iso) intense and T2-hyperintense lesion involving at least 50% of the pons. Patients with focal brainstem glioma, dorsally exophytic tumor, and extrinsic tumors secondarily invading the pons were excluded. Moreover, patients who were pathologically diagnosed with a WHO grade 1 glioma or non-glioma histology and those whose follow-up data could not be obtained were also excluded. All included patients had been treated with radiotherapy. Histological diagnosis through stereotactic biopsy or resection was not essential, as DIPG is routinely diagnosed based on radiologic findings.

2. Clinical and radiologic variables

Data on clinical characteristics, including age, sex, Karnofsky/Lansky (for patients aged < 16 years) performance status, symptoms, and symptom duration were extracted from the patients' medical records. Symptoms were categorized as: cranial nerve palsy, cerebellar signs (nystagmus, dysarthria, dysmetria, or ataxia), and pyramidal tract signs (mono-, hemi-, or quadriparesis; hyperreflexia; or positive Babinski sign). Histopathologic data were obtained for patients who underwent biopsy or surgical resection. Data on molecular markers, including H3K27M mutation, O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (*MGMT*) promoter methylation, *IDH1* mutation, p53, Ki-67, and 1p19q co-deletion, were collected, as available. Radiologic findings of the tumor were assessed by neuroradiologists from each institution for factors such as extrapontine extension, enhancement, infiltrative margin, cystic/ necrotic feature, hydrocephalus, and leptomeningeal seeding. Leptomeningeal dissemination was evaluated if wholespine MRI data were available.

Radiotherapy characteristics (modality, dose, and target volume), chemotherapy regimen, and bevacizumab use for radiation necrosis were evaluated. Gross tumor volume (GTV) was defined as a T1-hypo (or iso) intense and T2-hyperintense lesion. Clinical target volume (CTV) was defined as GTV+0-2 cm margin, and planning target volume was defined as CTV+margin within 1 cm, according to the institutional policy.

3. Response evaluation

Treatment response was assessed using follow-up MRI acquired within 2 months after radiotherapy completion, and evaluation of clinical signs and symptoms. Treatment response was evaluated using T2-weighted images according to the Response Assessment in Pediatric Neuro-Oncology criteria and classified as follows [10]: complete response (CR; no evidence of disease), partial response (PR; $\geq 25\%$ decrease in the two-dimensional [2D] products of the maximum perpendicular diameters), stable disease (SD; < 25% reduction or increase in 2D products of the maximum perpendicular diameters), and progressive disease (PD; $\geq 25\%$ increase in the 2D products of the maximum perpendicular diameters or any new sites of disease). Clinical symptomatic responses were divided into three categories (improved, unchanged, and aggravated) based on the changes in clinical presentation recorded in the electronic medical records.

4. Statistical analysis

The date of diagnosis was based on the date of the first MRI scan revealing the evidence of DIPG, regardless of the pathologic diagnosis. OS was calculated from the date of diagnosis to the date of death or that of the last follow-up. Progressionfree survival (PFS) was calculated from the date of diagnosis to that of the brain MRI, showing progression of disease, or to that of death. Independent sample t tests were used to compare continuous variables, such as baseline characteristics, between the two groups. Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher exact test, as suitable, were used to compare categorical variables. The Kaplan-Meier method with log-rank test and Cox regression were used to analyze survival outcomes. Stepwise Cox proportional hazards regression was used for multivariable analysis of factors affecting OS (inclusion criteria, p < 0.05). All statistical tests were two-tailed. p-values of < 0.05indicated statistical significance. The data were analyzed using IBM SPSS software ver. 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

Table 1. Clinical and radiologic information

	No. of patients (%)
Age (yr)	
Mean±SD	10.0±7.0
Median (IQR)	7.0 (5.0-12.3)
Sex	
Male	89 (54.9)
Female	73 (45.1)
Lansky/Karnofsky performance status	
Median (IQR)	70 (60-80)
< 80	89 (54.9)
≥ 80	72 (44.5)
Unknown	1 (0.6)
Symptoms	
Cerebral nerve palsy	103 (63.6)
Cerebellar signs	108 (66.7)
Pyramidal signs	52 (32.1)
Symptom duration, median (IQR, wk)	4.0 (2.0-8.0)
Pathologic confirmation	
No	118 (72.8)
Biopsy only	30 (18.5)
Surgical resection	14 (8.7)
Histopathology	
Diffuse midline glioma, H3K27M-mutar	nt 18 (11.1)
Glioblastoma	8 (4.9)
Anaplastic astrocytoma	14 (8.6)
Diffuse astrocytoma	4 (2.4)
MRI features	
Extrapontine extension	124 (76.5)
Enhancement	103 (63.6)
Infiltrative margin	94 (58.0)
Cyst or necrosis	61 (37.7)
Hydrocephalus	23 (14.2)

IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

Results

1. Patient and treatment characteristics

Data from a total of 162 patients from 10 tertiary academic institutions were analyzed in this study. The median patient age was 7 years (interquartile range [IQR], 5.0 to 12.3 years) and only three patients were younger than 3 years. Symptoms related to cranial nerve palsy and cerebellar signs were observed in 63.6% and 66.7% of the patients, respectively. In contrast, pyramidal signs were relatively rare (32.1%). The median duration of symptoms was 4 weeks (IQR, 2 to 8). Histological diagnosis was established in 44 patients through biopsy or surgical resection. Most patients (90.9%) had a confirmed diagnosis of grade 3 glioma or higher.

Table 2. Treatment information

	No. of patients (%)
Treatment period	
2000-2009	51 (31.4)
2010-2015	61 (37.7)
2016-2018	50 (30.9)
RT modality	
2D-RT	8 (4.9)
3D-CRT	63 (38.9)
IMRT	69 (42.6)
Proton	22 (13.6)
CTV volume	
GTV without margin	15 (9.9)
GTV+margin < 1 cm	67 (41.4)
GTV+margin 1-2 cm	68 (42)
$GTV+margin \ge 2 \text{ cm}$	2 (1.2)
RT dose, median (IQR, Gy)	
GTV	54 (54-54)
CTV	52.2 (46-54)
Concurrent chemotherapy	
No	78 (48.1)
Yes	84 (51.9)
Adjuvant chemotherapy	
No	92 (56.8)
Yes	70 (43.2)
Salvage treatment	
No	84 (51.9)
Chemotherapy only	45 (27.8)
Re-RT+chemotherapy	2 (1.2)
Surgery	1 (0.6)
Surgery+chemotherapy	1 (0.6)
Unknown	29 (17.9)

2D, 2-dimensional; 3D-CRT, 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy; CTV, clinical target volume; GTV, gross tumor volume; IMRT, intensity-modulated radiotherapy; IQR, interquartile range; RT, radiotherapy.

Eighteen patients were diagnosed with diffuse midline glioma, H3K27M-mutant, which corresponded to 85.7% of the patients who were histologically diagnosed after 2016. Information on molecular biomarkers was available for a very limited number of patients. MGMT data were available for 17 patients, including one with *MGMT* methylation. In addition, *IDH1* mutation and 1p19q co-deletion were observed in three of 28 patients and 1 of 15 patients, respectively, for whom the data were available (Table 1).

Treatment details are summarized in Table 2. Intensitymodulated radiotherapy was used in 69 patients (42.6%), followed by 3D conformal radiotherapy in 63 (38.9%), proton therapy in 22 (13.6%), and 2D radiotherapy in eight patients (4.9%). The median dose of radiotherapy was 54 Gy



Fig. 1. Kaplan-Meier curves representing overall survival rates based on patient age (years) (A), performance status (B), treatment period (C), and post-radiotherapy necrosis (D). KPS, Karnofsky performance status.

(range, 30 to 62.5 Gy; IQR, 54 to 54 Gy). CTV was defined as GTV+less than 1 cm margin for 67 (41.3%) and GTV+1-2 cm margin in 68 patients (42%). Planning target volume was defined as CTV+3 mm margin in most patients. Concurrent chemotherapy was administered in 84 patients (51.9%) using temozolomide (TMZ) (48.1%) and regimens including vincristine, etoposide, or BCNU (1,3-bis(2-chloroethyl)-1nitrosourea) (3.8%). Adjuvant chemotherapy was performed in 70 patients (43.2%) using TMZ (59/70 patients) and thalidomide, vincristine, ACNU (1-(4-amino-2-methyl-5-pirimi-dinyl)-methyl-3-(2-chloroethyl)-3-nitrosourea hydrochloride, or nimustine hydrochloride), or BCNU (11 patients). In the group that experienced disease progression after radiotherapy, 49 patients (35.3%) underwent salvage treatment. Of these patients, 45 underwent chemotherapy alone, two underwent re-radiotherapy with chemotherapy, and two underwent surgery.

2. Clinical outcomes

The median follow-up period was 10.8 months (IQR, 7.5 to 18.1). The 1-year and 2-year PFS rates were 26.3% and 8.4%, respectively, and the median PFS was 7.7 months (95% confidence interval [CI], 6.9 to 8.5). The 1-year and

4 CANCER RESEARCH AND TREATMENT

2-year OS rates were 53.5% and 19.0%, respectively, and the median OS was 13.1 months (95% CI, 11.7 to 14.5 months). OS improved significantly over time (Fig. 1C). Median OS was 11.4, 13.5, and 17.6 months for patients treated before 2010, during 2010-2015, and after 2015, respectively, with statistically significant differences between the first and second groups (p=0.041), and between the first and third groups (p=0.011). The difference in OS between the second and third groups was not statistically significant (p=0.446). The 2-year OS rates of patients treated before and after 2010 were 10.2% and 23.2%, respectively (p=0.008).

Twenty-seven patients (16.7%) survived for ≥ 24 months, and their median OS was 43.6 months (95% CI, 24.3 to 62.9). Long-term survivors were more likely than short-term survivors to be older than 10 years (51.9% vs. 31.9%, p=0.047). Long-term survivors presented with higher rates of extrapontine extension (92.6% vs. 73.3%, p=0.035) and lower rates of cyst/necrosis (18.5% vs. 41.5%, p=0.025) than short-term survivors underwent salvage treatment (65% vs. 31.5%, p=0.005).

CR, PR, SD, and PD were observed in 4, 72, 49, and 35 patients, respectively. The overall response rate to radiotherapy was 47.5%. The median OS was not-reached, 10.7, 9.1,

	Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis			
	HR	95% CI	p-value	HR	95% CI	p-value
Age (< 10 yr vs. ≥ 10 yr)	0.593	0.41-0.85	0.004	0.662	0.46-0.76	0.029
Sex (male vs. female)	1.158	0.83-1.62	0.395	-	-	-
Karnofsky/Lansky PS (< 80 vs. \ge 80)	0.705	0.50-0.99	0.043	0.684	0.48-0.97	0.032
Extrapontine extension (no vs. yes)	0.599	0.40-0.89	0.011	0.707	0.47-1.07	0.104
Tumor margin (well vs. infiltrative)	0.976	0.70-1.37	0.887	-	-	-
Tumor enhancement (no vs. yes)	1.113	0.78-1.58	0.552	-	-	-
Cyst or necrosis (no vs. yes)	1.413	1.01-1.99	0.048	1.308	0.90-1.89	0.155
Hydrocephalus (no vs. yes)	1.259	0.79-2.01	0.333	-	-	-
Surgery (no vs. yes)	0.716	0.47-1.09	0.121	-	-	-
Treatment decades (before 2010 vs. after 2010)	0.628	0.44-0.89	0.009	0.578	0.40-0.84	0.004
RT modality (3D-CRT+IMRT vs. proton)	0.573	0.33-1.01	0.051	-	-	-
RT modality (IMRT vs. proton)	0.615	0.34-1.11	0.104	-	-	-
Post-RT necrosis (other vs. increased)	1.713	1.20-2.44	0.003	1.499	1.03-2.19	0.035
Concurrent chemotherapy (no vs. yes)	1.199	0.86-1.68	0.292	-	-	-
Adjuvant chemotherapy (no vs. yes)	0.966	0.69-1.36	0.842	-	-	-

Table 3. Factors associated with overall survival

3D-CRT, 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; IMRT, intensity-modulated radiotherapy; PS, performance status; RT, radiotherapy.



Fig. 2. Overall survival rates according to the use of bevacizumab in the increased post-radiotherapy necrosis (A) and no post-radiotherapy necrosis/decreased groups (B).

and 7.8 months for patients with CR, PR, SD, and PD, respectively (p=0.001). Symptomatic improvement was observed in 87 patients (53.7%); however, no change in symptoms was observed in 33 patients (20.4%), and worsening of symptoms was observed in 29 patients (17.9%). OS rates were 14.4, 9.8, and 8.0 months, respectively, in patients with improved, unchanged, and worsening symptoms (p=0.038). Infield intracranial failures were observed in 110 patients (67.9%), followed by outfield intracranial failures in 22 (13.6%) and extracranial seedings in four (2.5%) patients.

3. Prognostic factors

We performed univariate and multivariate analysis to

investigate the prognostic factors related to OS, including clinical features, radiologic findings, and treatments (Table 3). Younger age (< 10 years), poor performance status at diagnosis, no extrapontine extension, cystic or necrotic feature, treatment before 2010, and post-radiotherapy necrosis were significantly associated with poor survival in univariate analysis (Table 3, Fig. 1). The 1-year OS was 44.3% for patients who had increased necrosis after radiotherapy and 63.9% for those who had stable or decreased necrosis (p=0.003) (Fig. 1D). In multivariate analysis, age < 10 years, poor performance status, treatment before 2010, and post-radiotherapy necrosis were independently associated with poor OS.

Site of progression	CTV volume				
	GTV+no margin	GTV+< 1 cm	GTV+1-2 cm	GTV+> 2 cm	
Infield intracranial failure	11 (78.6)	41 (75.9)	49 (86.0)	2 (100)	
Outfield intracranial failure	3 (21.4)	9 (16.7)	8 (14.0)	0	
Extracranial failure	0	4 (7.4)	0	0	

Table 4. Failure rates according to the CTV volume

Values are presented as number (%). CTV, clinical target volume; GTV, gross tumor volume.

Bevacizumab was administered in 23 of 150 patients with available data. Patients who received bevacizumab showed a median survival of 18.3 months; the corresponding value for patients who did not receive this treatment was 12 months (p=0.386). Among patients who had increased post-radiotherapy necrosis, the median OS was 13.3 months and 11.4 months for those who did and did not use bevacizumab, respectively (p=0.138) (Fig. 2A). Among patients without or with decreased post-radiotherapy necrosis, the median OS was 17.6 months and 18.1 months for patients that did and did not use bevacizumab, respectively (p=0.318) (Fig. 2B). In addition, post-radiotherapy necrosis occurred more frequently, in patients younger than 10 years than in their counterparts (54.8% vs. 33.9%, p=0.013), in those with cystic or necrotic tumors on initial MRI (66.0% vs. 36.5%, p=0.001), and those who received adjuvant chemotherapy (57.6% vs. 38.6%, p=0.021) (S1 Table).

We investigated whether any specific radiation factors, including target volume, prescribed dose, and radiotherapy techniques, affected OS rates. After adjustments, radio-therapy techniques showed no effect on OS. We could not perform any meaningful analysis on dose impact, as the prescribed dose was 52-56 Gy in most patients (76.6%). The pattern of failure was comparable among CTV margin groups, since most failures represented infield progression (p=0.160) (Table 4).

Discussion

In this study, we investigated survival outcomes, and clinical, radiologic, and pathologic factors affecting prognosis in patients aged < 30 years treated with radiotherapy for DIPG in Korea. A previous study has shown that brainstem glioma is rare, and few affected patients present at any institution [11]. This multicenter study included 10 institutions and covered a period of approximately 20 years. In this study, the median survival time was 13 months, and the percentage of long-term survivors was 16.7%, which was comparable to previously reported results [3,8,12].

Consistent with previous findings, age \geq 10 years and good

performance status at diagnosis were prognostic factors in this study [1,3]. Furthermore, salvage treatment performed in these patients improved prognosis. Patients treated since 2010 had better outcomes than patients treated before 2010, even after adjusting for clinicopathological factors, imaging features, and treatment types. Patients treated at different times had comparable baseline characteristics, except for the radiotherapy techniques used (S2 Table). As the pattern of practice and dose of radiotherapy for DIPG remained unchanged throughout the study period, the improvement in survival may be accounted for by progress in service delivery, including improved socio-economic conditions and medical insurance reimbursement. Using Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results data, Brandel et al. [13] reported that the survival of patients with grade 3 oligodendroglioma improved over time even after adjusting for treatment types. The authors also suggested that the improvement in survival may be due to changes in service delivery [13].

Historically, biopsy has not been recommended for diagnosis in this context, and surgical resection was hindered by the location and infiltrative nature of the tumor. This trend was reconfirmed through a recent survey from the European Society for Pediatric Oncology (SIOPE) brain tumor group [14]. Recent advances in brain stereotactic surgery have enabled tissue genomic analyses. The H3K27M mutation has been estimated to exist in 80% of DIPG cases [6,15], leading to a new disease entity, "diffuse midline glioma, H3K27Mmutant" being incorporated into the 2016 WHO classification of central nervous system tumors [5]. However, genetic or histologic findings are yet to translate to clinical practice [16]. In our study, biopsy and surgical resection were performed in 18.5% and 8.7% of patients, respectively. Moreover, data on molecular parameters were available in a very limited number of patients, precluding further analysis of molecular parameters. Therefore, previous DIPG prognostication studies were based on MRI findings, which can be easily obtained in the clinical environment [17-19].

We investigated MRI features associated with OS in this study. In univariate analysis, extrapontine extension of tumor, cystic/necrotic feature, and post-radiotherapy necrosis were associated with OS; among them, only post-radiotherapy necrosis was significantly associated with survival in multivariate analysis. A recent report of 357 patients included in an international DIPG registry showed that tumor extension beyond the pons, enhancement, and tumor necrosis were imaging features associated with OS in univariate analysis; however, none of these features was significant in multivariate analysis [18]. In addition, extrapontine extension was a poor prognostic factor for OS in that study, while it was associated with good prognosis in our study. Interpreting this result, we hypothesized that rapid tumor growth was associated with symptom development, which occurred before extrapontine extension development. In contrast, less aggressive tumors, associated with delayed symptom onset, were likely diagnosed once extrapontine extension was already present. Moreover, we observed that patients with extrapontine extension had fewer cystic or necrotic features than those without it (32.3% vs. 54.1%, p=0.016), which may suggest more indolent features of tumors with extrapontine extension. However, this finding was not statistically significant and further research is required to test this hypothesis.

Bevacizumab has shown efficacy in adult glioblastoma; however, little is known about its efficacy in pediatric patients with newly diagnosed or recurrent DIPG [20-22]. Hoffman et al. [1] reported that the use of bevacizumab at diagnosis improved the odds of long-term survival. Recently, Crotty et al. [22] reported a single-center study on the use of a maintenance regimen, which included TMZ, irinotecan, and bevacizumab following radiotherapy, showing prolonged survival in patients with DIPG, compared to single-agent TMZ. In our study, bevacizumab was used in 23 patients who presented with better OS outcomes than those treated with other regimens. When patients were divided according to the postradiotherapy necrosis, we found that the difference in survival was more pronounced in patients with post-radiotherapy necrosis than in those without, although it was not significant. In light of these results, given the small number of patients in our study, we may suggest that the use of bevacizumab may be beneficial in pediatric patients with DIPG with increased post-radiotherapy necrosis. However, further well-designed trials are required to confirm these effects.

All patients included in this study underwent radiotherapy as their initial treatment; however, as the patients received a relatively homogenous dose of radiation (median, 54 Gy), we did not examine the impact of radiation dose on outcomes. Considering that most treatment failures were infield progression, and the pattern of failures was not affected by radiotherapy volume, we suggest that a margin of ≥ 1 cm to the target volume may not be beneficial. Similar results from 97 patients were reported by Tinkle et al. [23]; these authors concluded that no apparent survival or tumor-control benefit was achieved by extending the CTV margins beyond 1 cm. Several studies have been conducted on neoadjuvant, concurrent, and adjuvant chemotherapy in addition to radiotherapy to improve the prognosis of patients with DIPG [24-26]. A phase II study evaluating the efficacy of chemoradiotherapy with TMZ followed by adjuvant TMZ conducted by the Children's Oncology Group [9] reported 1-year eventfree survival rate of 14%, which was lower than the corresponding rate of 21.9% observed in CCG-9941. Although similar findings on TMZ were reported in several prospective studies, herein, we found that concurrent or adjuvant TMZ remains part of practice at attending physicians' discretion, although the evidence of its efficacy is scarce. These findings suggest that treatments that improve DIPG outcomes remain to be established.

This study had some limitations. First, due to the retrospective nature of this study, the present findings are likely subject to bias. However, given the low incidence of DIPG, prospective studies are not feasible. To minimize the effects of bias, we followed strict eligibility criteria to improve sample homogeneity. Second, the sample size was relatively small despite pooling patients treated at 10 tertiary institutions over a period of 20 years. However, treatment protocols and radiotherapy doses remained unchanged during the study period, reducing any impact of bias. Third, molecular data were scarce, precluding any meaningful analyses. This observation may reflect the clinical reality, where pathologic analyses are rarely performed outside of clinical trials.

In conclusion, radiotherapy protocols in the treatment for pediatric DIPG remained unchanged during the study period. The prognosis of DIPG patients remains poor despite slight improvement in survival over time. Radiation necrosis affects OS and should be reduced to improve outcomes. Furthermore, the use of bevacizumab for radiation necrosis may be helpful in some patients; however, further studies are required to examine this effect.

Electronic Supplementary Material

Supplementary materials are available at Cancer Research and Treatment website (https://www.e-crt.org).

Ethical Statement

This study was approved by the KROG and institutional review boards of each participating center, according to the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki. The requirement for written informed consent was waived due to the retrospective study design.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the analysis: Kim HJ, Yoon HI, Suh CO. Collected the data: Kim HJ, Lee JH, Kim Y, Lim DH, Park SH, Ahn SD, Kim IA, Im JH, Chung JW, Kim JY, Kim IH, Yoon HI. Contributed data or analysis tools: Kim HJ, Kim JY, Yoon HI, Suh CO.

Performed the analysis: Kim HJ, Yoon HI, Suh CO. Wrote the paper: Kim HJ, Yoon HI, Suh CO.

ORCID iDs

Hyun Ju Kim[®] : https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7225-4868 Hong In Yoon[®] : https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2106-6856 Chang-Ok Suh[®] : https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3375-7072

Conflicts of Interest

Conflict of interest relevant to this article was not reported.

References

- Hoffman LM, Veldhuijzen van Zanten SE, Colditz N, Baugh J, Chaney B, Hoffmann M, et al. Clinical, radiologic, pathologic, and molecular characteristics of long-term survivors of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG): a collaborative report from the International and European Society for Pediatric Oncology DIPG Registries. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36:1963-72.
- Jackson S, Patay Z, Howarth R, Pai Panandiker AS, Onar-Thomas A, Gajjar A, et al. Clinico-radiologic characteristics of long-term survivors of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. J Neurooncol. 2013;114:339-44.
- 3. Jansen MH, Veldhuijzen van Zanten SE, Sanchez Aliaga E, Heymans MW, Warmuth-Metz M, Hargrave D, et al. Survival prediction model of children with diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma based on clinical and radiological criteria. Neuro Oncol. 2015;17:160-6.
- 4. Hargrave D, Bartels U, Bouffet E. Diffuse brainstem glioma in children: critical review of clinical trials. Lancet Oncol. 2006;7:241-8.
- Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G, von Deimling A, Figarella-Branger D, Cavenee WK, et al. The 2016 World Health Organization classification of tumors of the central nervous system: a summary. Acta Neuropathol. 2016;131:803-20.
- 6. Wu G, Broniscer A, McEachron TA, Lu C, Paugh BS, Becksfort J, et al. Somatic histone H3 alterations in pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas and non-brainstem glioblastomas. Nat Genet. 2012;44:251-3.
- Langmoen IA, Lundar T, Storm-Mathisen I, Lie SO, Hovind KH. Management of pediatric pontine gliomas. Childs Nerv Syst. 1991;7:13-5.
- 8. Wagner S, Warmuth-Metz M, Emser A, Gnekow AK, Strater R, Rutkowski S, et al. Treatment options in childhood pontine gliomas. J Neurooncol. 2006;79:281-7.
- 9. Cohen KJ, Heideman RL, Zhou T, Holmes EJ, Lavey RS, Bouffet E, et al. Temozolomide in the treatment of children with newly diagnosed diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. Neuro Oncol. 2011;13: 410-6.
- Cooney TM, Cohen KJ, Guimaraes CV, Dhall G, Leach J, Massimino M, et al. Response assessment in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: recommendations from the Response Assessment in Pediatric Neuro-Oncology (RAPNO) working group. Lancet Oncol. 2020;21:e330-6.
- 11. El-Khouly FE, Veldhuijzen van Zanten SE, Santa-Maria Lopez

V, Hendrikse NH, Kaspers GJ, Loizos G, et al. Diag-nostics and treatment of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: where do we stand? J Neurooncol. 2019;145:177-84.

- Hassan H, Pinches A, Picton SV, Phillips RS. Survival rates and prognostic predictors of high grade brain stem gliomas in childhood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurooncol. 2017;135:13-20.
- Brandel MG, Alattar AA, Hirshman BR, Dong X, Carroll KT, Ali MA, et al. Survival trends of oligodendroglial tumor patients and associated clinical practice patterns: a SEERbased analysis. J Neurooncol. 2017;133:173-81.
- 14. Tejada S, Aquilina K, Goodden J, Pettorini B, Mallucci C, van Veelen ML, et al. Biopsy in diffuse pontine gliomas: expert neurosurgeon opinion-a survey from the SIOPE brain tumor group. Childs Nerv Syst. 2020;36:705-11.
- Schwartzentruber J, Korshunov A, Liu XY, Jones DT, Pfaff E, Jacob K, et al. Driver mutations in histone H3.3 and chromatin remodelling genes in paediatric glioblastoma. Nature. 2012;482:226-31.
- Morales La Madrid A, Hashizume R, Kieran MW. Future clinical trials in DIPG: bringing epigenetics to the clinic. Front Oncol. 2015;5:148.
- 17. Tam LT, Yeom KW, Wright JN, Jaju A, Radmanesh A, Han M, et al. MRI-based radiomics for prognosis of pediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: an international study. Neurooncol Adv. 2021;3:vdab042.
- Leach JL, Roebker J, Schafer A, Baugh J, Chaney B, Fuller C, et al. MR imaging features of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma and relationship to overall survival: report from the International DIPG Registry. Neuro Oncol. 2020;22:1647-57.
- Szychot E, Youssef A, Ganeshan B, Endozo R, Hyare H, Gains J, et al. Predicting outcome in childhood diffuse midline gliomas using magnetic resonance imaging based texture analysis. J Neuroradiol. 2021;48:243-7.
- 20. Hummel TR, Salloum R, Drissi R, Kumar S, Sobo M, Goldman S, et al. A pilot study of bevacizumab-based therapy in patients with newly diagnosed high-grade gliomas and diffuse intrinsic pontine gliomas. J Neurooncol. 2016;127:53-61.
- Gururangan S, Fangusaro J, Poussaint TY, McLendon RE, Onar-Thomas A, Wu S, et al. Efficacy of bevacizumab plus irinotecan in children with recurrent low-grade gliomas: a Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium study. Neuro Oncol. 2014;16: 310-7.

- 22. Crotty EE, Leary SE, Geyer JR, Olson JM, Millard NE, Sato AA, et al. Children with DIPG and high-grade glioma treated with temozolomide, irinotecan, and bevacizumab: the Seattle Children's Hospital experience. J Neurooncol. 2020;148:607-17.
- 23. Tinkle CL, Simone B, Chiang J, Li X, Campbell K, Han Y, et al. Defining optimal target volumes of conformal radiation therapy for diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2020;106:838-47.
- 24. Broniscer A, Iacono L, Chintagumpala M, Fouladi M, Wallace D, Bowers DC, et al. Role of temozolomide after radiotherapy for newly diagnosed diffuse brainstem glioma in chil-

dren: results of a multiinstitutional study (SJHG-98). Cancer. 2005;103:133-9.

- 25. Jalali R, Raut N, Arora B, Gupta T, Dutta D, Munshi A, et al. Prospective evaluation of radiotherapy with concurrent and adjuvant temozolomide in children with newly diagnosed diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2010;77:113-8.
- 26. Chassot A, Canale S, Varlet P, Puget S, Roujeau T, Negretti L, et al. Radiotherapy with concurrent and adjuvant temozolomide in children with newly diagnosed diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma. J Neurooncol. 2012;106:399-407.