# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# Radiotherapy delays malignant transformation and prolongs survival in patients with IDH-mutant gliomas

Yanwei Liu<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Huiyuan Chen<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Guanzhang Li<sup>2,4</sup>, Jing Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Kun Yao<sup>5</sup>, Chenxing Wu<sup>6</sup>, Shouwei Li<sup>6</sup>, Xiaoguang Qiu<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100070, China; <sup>2</sup>National Clinical Research Center for Neurological Diseases, Beijing 100070, China; <sup>3</sup>Department of Neuropathology, Beijing Neurosurgical Institute, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100070, China; <sup>4</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100070, China; <sup>5</sup>Department of Neuropathology; <sup>6</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, Sanbo Brain Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100093, China

### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** IDH-mutant lower-grade gliomas (LGGs, grade 2 or 3) eventually transform into secondary grade 4 astrocytomas ( $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$ ). Here, we sought to describe the transformation time, risk factors, and outcomes in malignant transformation of IDH-mutant LGGs.

**Methods:** We screened data for 108 patients with  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$  in the Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas who had initial IDH-mutant LGGs and underwent reoperation during 2005–2021. We evaluated the transformation time from IDH-mutant LGGs to  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$ , and associated risk factors and outcomes. Malignant transformation was defined as pathological confirmation of grade 4 astrocytoma. **Results:** The median age of the 108 patients with IDH-mutant LGGs was 35 years (range, 19–54); the median age at transformation was 40 years (range, 25–62); and the median follow-up time for all patients was 146 months (range, 121–171). The average transformation time was 58.8 months for all patients with LGGs (range, 5.9–208.1); 63.5 and 51.9 months for grade 2 and 3 gliomas, respectively; and 58.4 and 78.1 months for IDH-mutant/1p/19q-non-codeleted astrocytomas and IDH-mutant/1p/19q-codeleted oligodendrogliomas, respectively. Univariate and multivariate analysis indicated that radiotherapy [hazard ratio (HR), 0.29; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.137–0.595; *P* = 0.001] and non-A blood type (HR, 0.37; 95% CI, 0.203–0.680; *P* = 0.001) were protective factors against delayed malignant transformation. Radiotherapy was associated with improved survival after transformation (HR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.241–0.803; *P* = 0.008), overall survival (HR, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.265–0.972; *P* = 0.041), and progression-free survival (HR, 0.25; 95% CI, 0.133–0.479; *P* < 0.0001) in patients with IDH-mutant gliomas.

**Conclusions:** Radiotherapy is associated with delayed malignant transformation and improved survival in patients with IDHmutant gliomas.

**KEYWORDS** Lower-grade gliomas; *IDH* mutation; radiotherapy; malignant transformation; survival

# Introduction

The *isocitrate dehydrogenase 1/2 (IDH)* mutation is the main distinguishing feature of lower-grade gliomas (LGGs, grade 2 or 3 astrocytomas/oligodendrogliomas) and secondary

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6806-9827

Available at www.cancerbiomed.org

glioblastomas that developed from LGGs [according to the 2016 World Health Organization (WHO) classification]<sup>1</sup>. According to the 2021 WHO classification for tumors of the central nervous system, grading of diffuse gliomas relies not only on histological appearance but also on genetic parameters<sup>2</sup>. *IDH* mutation is a critical factor in diagnosis, which is used to guide glioma treatment and clinical trial eligibility. IDH-mutant LGGs undergo malignant transformation and eventually progress to secondary grade 4 IDH-mutant astrocytoma (sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub>)<sup>3</sup>. However, the term "secondary glioblastoma" is no longer applied to central nervous system WHO grade 4 IDH-mutant astrocytoma with histological evidence of preceding LGGs, and has not been discussed in the current classification. The characteristics for malignant

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Xiaoguang Qiu

E-mail: qiuxiaoguang@bjtth.org

Received August 6, 2022; accepted September 20, 2022.

<sup>©2022</sup> Cancer Biology & Medicine. Creative Commons

Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License

transformation from IDH-mutant LGGs to  ${\rm sA}_{\rm IDHmut/G4}$  have not been systematically studied.

In this study, we sought to systematically elucidate the clinical process of malignant transformation from LGGs to sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub>. To our knowledge, the present study is the largest paired cohort study of IDH-mutant LGG and sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> to date. We evaluated the transformation time, risk factors, and outcomes. Our findings may provide a reference illustrating malignant transformation and aid in development of therapeutic strategies for IDH-mutant gliomas.

# Materials and methods

### Patients

For this multicenter retrospective analysis, we collected 108 pairs of IDH-mutant LGG and  $\mathrm{sA}_{\mathrm{IDHmut/G4}}$  from the Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas, which was initiated by the Chinese Glioma Cooperative Group during 2005-2021 (www.cgga.org. cn/). Histopathology was confirmed independently by 2 neuropathologists (Huiyuan Chen and Kun Yao) on the basis of the 2021 WHO classification. Malignant transformation was defined as pathological confirmation of grade 4 astrocytoma. We defined the following inclusion criteria: (1) age > 18 years and presence of supratentorial gliomas; (2) diagnosis based on surgical specimens (excluding biopsy specimens); (3) sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> diagnosed through both microvascular proliferation and necrosis, and a history of LGGs; (4) testing of tumor tissue for IDH mutation (IDH1 and IDH2) by pyrosequencing. Three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy or intensity-modulated radiation therapy was conducted at a dose of 50.4-60.0 Gy (1.8-2.0 Gy daily, 5 days per week). A total of 37.0% of the patients received chemotherapy at the time of initial diagnosis, whereas 75.0% of the patients received chemotherapy after transformation to sAIDHmut/G4 (Table 1). Carmustine, nimustine, or temozolomide was used as chemotherapy. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Review Board of Beijing Tiantan Hospital in Beijing, China. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The patients were required to be in good general condition with Karnofsky Performance Score  $\geq 60$ .

### Molecular detection

(1) DNA pyrosequencing for *IDH1/2* mutations: Genomic DNA was isolated from frozen tumor tissues with a QIAamp DNA MiniKit(Qiagen). The genomic region spanning wild-type R132

of IDH1 and R172 of IDH2 was amplified with the following primers: IDH1-F-5'-GCTTGTGAGTGGATGGGTAAAAC-3' and R-5'-TTGCCAACATGACTTACTTGATC-3'; and IDH2-F-5'-ATCCTGGGGGGGGGACTGTCT T-3' and R-5'-CTCTCCACCCTGGCCTACCT-3'. Single-stranded DNA was purified from the total PCR products and subjected to pyrosequencing on a PyroMark Q96 ID System (QIAGEN) with the primers IDH1-5'-TGGATGGGTAAAACCT-3' and IDH2-5'-AGCCCATCACCATTG-3'.

(2) Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization for 1p/19q codeletion: Sections (4  $\mu$ m) cut from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue blocks were analyzed through fluorescence *in situ* hybridization with locus specific identifier probe sets 1p36/1q25 and 19q13/19p13 (spectrum orange-labeled 1p36 and 19q13 probes; spectrum green-labeled 1q25 and 19p13 probes; Vysis), and at least 200 non-overlapping nuclei with intact morphology were evaluated. A deletion ratio of > 30% was considered positive.

(3) Pyrosequencing of the MGMT promoter: DNA was extracted from FFPE tissue samples with a QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Bisulfite conversion of 100 ng DNA was performed with an Epitect Bisulfite kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and was followed by amplification and pyrosequencing. Briefly, the bisulfite-treated DNA was amplified with the forward primer 5'-GTTTYGGATATGTTGGGATAGTT-3' and the biotinylated reverse primer 5'-biotin-ACRACCCAAA-CACTCACCAA-3'. Two independent assays were performed in different samples with 2 pyrosequencing sequencing primers: 5'-GATATGTTGGGATAG T-3' (for CpGs 72-78) and 5'-GTTTTTAGAAYGTTTTG3' (for CpGs 75-82). The methylation levels of CpG sites 76-79 were detected with a commercial MGMT pyrosequencing kit (#970061, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and a PyroMark Q24 System (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). A cutoff of  $\geq$  10% was used to define a "methylated" MGMT promoter. MGMT methylation was detected in 30 sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> samples through immunohistochemistry (MGMT mouse monoclonal antibody, #ZM-0461, ZSGB-BIO). The staining intensity was jointly scored by 2 neuropathologists (Huiyuan Chen and Kun Yao) on a scale of -, +, ++, and +++ (-/+, methylation; ++/+++, non-methylation) (Supplementary Figure S1).

### Statistical analysis

Overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS), and survival after transformation were analyzed with the

### Cancer Biol Med Vol 19, No 10 October 2022

 Table 1
 Characteristics of 108 patients with LGGs

Median age at initial diagnosis (LGGs)         35 (19–54)           Median age at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/GA</sub> )         40 (25–62)           Gender         68 (63.0%)           Female         40 (37.0%)           Blood type         4           A         27 (25.0%)           B         26 (24.1%)           AB         14 (13.0%)           O         31 (28.7%)           NA         10 (9.3%)           Location 1         10 (9.3%)           Location 1         10 (9.3%)           Location 1         10 (28.7%)           Both         3 (2.8%)           Both         3 (2.8%)           Location 2         77 (71.3%)           Across multiple lobes         31 (28.7%)           Grade 2         74 (68.5%)           Grade 2 or 3         12 (11.1%)           Histological description*         4/AA           A/AA         73 (67.6%)           O/AOA         26 (24.1%)           NA         10 (37.0%)           NA         5 (4.6%)           Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)         13 (12.0%)           NA         13 (12.0%)           Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>1DHmut/G4</sub> )         14 (75.0%) <th>Characteristics</th> <th>n (%)</th>	Characteristics	n (%)
Median age at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/Ga</sub> )       40 (25–62)         Gender       68 (63.0%)         Male       68 (63.0%)         Female       40 (37.0%)         Blood type       4         A       27 (25.0%)         B       26 (24.1%)         AB       14 (13.0%)         O       31 (28.7%)         NA       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       10 (9.3%)         Location 2       10 (28.7%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       22 (20.4%)         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         O/AO       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       24 (0.37.0%)         NA       5 (5.09%)         NA       5 (5.09%)         NA       5 (5.09%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (SA <sub>ID</sub>	Median age at initial diagnosis (LGGs)	35 (19–54)
Gender       68 (63.0%)         Female       68 (63.0%)         Female       40 (37.0%)         Blood type       27 (25.0%)         A       27 (25.0%)         B       26 (24.1%)         AB       14 (13.0%)         O       31 (28.7%)         NA       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       48 (44.4%)         Right       57 (52.8%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Location 2       31 (28.7%)         Grade 1       31 (28.7%)         Grade 2       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.9%)         Histological description*       4/4.3.7%)         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AOA       4 (3.7%)         O/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (50.9%)         NA       5 (50.9%)         NA       5 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>LDHimut/G4</sub> )       19 (17.6%)         NA       1	Median age at transformation (sA $_{\rm IDHmut/G4}$ )	40 (25–62)
Male         68 (63.0%)           Female         40 (37.0%)           Blood type         27 (25.0%)           A         27 (25.0%)           B         26 (24.1%)           AB         14 (13.0%)           O         31 (28.7%)           NA         10 (9.3%)           Location 1         48 (44.4%)           Right         57 (52.8%)           Both         3 (28.%)           Location 2         31 (28.7%)           Single lobe         77 (71.3%)           Across multiple lobes         31 (28.7%)           Grade 2         74 (68.5%)           Grade 2         74 (68.5%)           Grade 2         74 (68.5%)           Grade 2 or 3         12 (11.1%)           Histological description*         4/4           A/AA         73 (67.6%)           O/AOA         4 (3.7%)           OA/AOA         26 (24.1%)           NA         5 (50.9%)           NA         3 (12.0%)           Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)         3 (12.0%)           Ves         40 (37.0%)           NA         13 (12.0%)           Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )         3 (12.0%)	Gender	
Female       40 (37.0%)         Blood type       27 (25.0%)         B       26 (24.1%)         AB       14 (13.0%)         O       31 (28.7%)         NA       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       48 (44.4%)         Right       57 (52.8%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Location 2       31 (28.7%)         Single lobe       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       22 (20.4%)         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       26	Male	68 (63.0%)
Blood type       27 (25.0%)         B       26 (24.1%)         AB       14 (13.0%)         O       31 (28.7%)         NA       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       10 (9.3%)         Location 2       10 (9.3%)         Grade 2       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       22 (20.4%)         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         D/AO       4 (3.7%)         D/AO       4 (3.7%)         NA       26 (24.1%)         NA	Female	40 (37.0%)
A       27 (25.0%)         B       26 (24.1%)         AB       14 (13.0%)         O       31 (28.7%)         NA       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       10 (9.3%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Location 2       10 (9.3%)         Single lobe       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 4       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         O/AO       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       Yes         Yes       40 (37.0%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       19 (17.6%)         No       19 (17.6%)         No       19 (17.6%)	Blood type	
B       26 (24.1%)         AB       14 (13.0%)         O       31 (28.7%)         NA       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       10 (9.3%)         Location 2       10 (9.3%)         Single lobe       77 (52.8%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Location 2       10 (9.3%)         Single lobe       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       4/AA         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         O/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       13 (12.0%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       19	A	27 (25.0%)
AB       14 (13.0%)         O       31 (28.7%)         NA       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       48 (44.4%)         Right       57 (52.8%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Location 2       31 (28.7%)         Location 2       31 (28.7%)         Grade 1       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       4/AA         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         NO       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       13 (12.0%)         Yes       81 (75.0%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       19 (17.6%)	В	26 (24.1%)
O       31 (28.7%)         NA       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       48 (44.4%)         Right       57 (52.8%)         Both       3 (28.%)         Location 2       31 (28.7%)         Location 2       71 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade       77 (71.3%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       4(3.7%)         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         No       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       Yes         Yes       81 (75.0%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       19 (17.6%)	AB	14 (13.0%)
NA       10 (9.3%)         Location 1       10         Left       48 (44.4%)         Right       57 (52.8%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Location 2       10         Single lobe       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2 or 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       4 (3.7%)         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         NA       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       13 (12.0%)         Yes       81 (75.0%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	0	31 (28.7%)
Location 1 Left 48 (44.4%) Right 57 (52.8%) Both 3 (2.8%) Location 2 Single lobe 77 (71.3%) Across multiple lobes 31 (28.7%) Grade Grade 2 Grade 2 Grade 3 Grade 3 22 (20.4%) Grade 2 or 3 12 (11.1%) Histological description* A/AA 73 (67.6%) O/AO 4 (3.7%) OA/AOA 26 (24.1%) NA 54.6%) Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs) Yes 40 (37.0%) NA 55 (50.9%) NA 13 (12.0%) Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> ) Yes 81 (75.0%) No 19 (17.6%) NA 8(7.4%)	NA	10 (9.3%)
Left       48 (44.4%)         Right       57 (52.8%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Location 2       1         Single lobe       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade       31 (28.7%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       12 (11.1%)         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         O/AO       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       13 (12.0%)         NA       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       19 (17.6%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Location 1	
Right       57 (52.8%)         Both       3 (2.8%)         Location 2       57 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       4/AA         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         O/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         NA       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>1DHmut/G4</sub> )       19 (17.6%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Left	48 (44.4%)
Both         3 (2.8%)           Location 2         77 (71.3%)           Across multiple lobes         31 (28.7%)           Grade         31 (28.7%)           Grade         74 (68.5%)           Grade 2         74 (68.5%)           Grade 3         22 (20.4%)           Grade 2 or 3         12 (11.1%)           Histological description*         73 (67.6%)           O/AO         4 (3.7%)           O/AO         26 (24.1%)           NA         5 (4.6%)           Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)         40 (37.0%)           NA         55 (50.9%)           NA         13 (12.0%)           Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>1DHmut/G4</sub> )         13 (12.0%)           No         19 (17.6%)           NA         81 (75.0%)	Right	57 (52.8%)
Location 2 Single lobe 77 (71.3%) Across multiple lobes 31 (28.7%) Grade 2 Grade 2 74 (68.5%) Grade 3 22 (20.4%) Grade 2 or 3 12 (11.1%) Histological description* A/AA 73 (67.6%) O/AO 4 (3.7%) O/AO 4 (3.7%) OA/AOA 26 (24.1%) NA 5 (4.6%) Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs) Yes 40 (37.0%) NO 55 (50.9%) NA 13 (12.0%) Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> ) Yes 81 (75.0%) NO 19 (17.6%) NA 8 (7.4%)	Both	3 (2.8%)
Single lobe       77 (71.3%)         Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade       74 (68.5%)         Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         O/AO       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         NA       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       19 (17.6%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Location 2	
Across multiple lobes       31 (28.7%)         Grade       74 (68.5%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         NA       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       19 (17.6%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Single lobe	77 (71.3%)
Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         O/AO       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         NA       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       13 (12.0%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Across multiple lobes	31 (28.7%)
Grade 2       74 (68.5%)         Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       12 (11.1%)         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         No       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       19 (17.6%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Grade	
Grade 3       22 (20.4%)         Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         NO       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       19 (17.6%)         NO       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Grade 2	74 (68.5%)
Grade 2 or 3       12 (11.1%)         Histological description*       73 (67.6%)         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         No       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       13 (12.0%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Grade 3	22 (20.4%)
Histological description*       73 (67.6%)         A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         Yes       40 (37.0%)         NA       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       13 (12.0%)         Yes       81 (75.0%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Grade 2 or 3	12 (11.1%)
A/AA       73 (67.6%)         O/AO       4 (3.7%)         OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         Yes       40 (37.0%)         NO       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       Yes         Yes       81 (75.0%)         NO       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Histological description*	
O/AO       4 (3.7%)         OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       7         Yes       40 (37.0%)         No       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       7         Yes       81 (75.0%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	Α/ΑΑ	73 (67.6%)
OA/AOA       26 (24.1%)         NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         Yes       40 (37.0%)         No       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       Yes         Yes       81 (75.0%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	0/A0	4 (3.7%)
NA       5 (4.6%)         Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)       40 (37.0%)         Yes       40 (37.0%)         No       55 (50.9%)         NA       13 (12.0%)         Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )       13 (12.0%)         Yes       81 (75.0%)         No       19 (17.6%)         NA       8 (7.4%)	OA/AOA	26 (24.1%)
Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)         40 (37.0%)           No         55 (50.9%)           NA         13 (12.0%)           Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )         7           Yes         81 (75.0%)           No         19 (17.6%)           NA         8 (7.4%)	NA	5 (4.6%)
Yes         40 (37.0%)           No         55 (50.9%)           NA         13 (12.0%)           Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )         13           Yes         81 (75.0%)           No         19 (17.6%)           NA         8 (7.4%)	Chemotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)	
No         55 (50.9%)           NA         13 (12.0%)           Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )         1           Yes         81 (75.0%)           No         19 (17.6%)           NA         8 (7.4%)	Yes	40 (37.0%)
NA         13 (12.0%)           Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )         81 (75.0%)           Yes         81 (75.0%)           No         19 (17.6%)           NA         8 (7.4%)	No	55 (50.9%)
Chemotherapy at transformation (sA <sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> )         81 (75.0%)           Yes         81 (75.0%)           No         19 (17.6%)           NA         8 (7.4%)	NA	13 (12.0%)
Yes         81 (75.0%)           No         19 (17.6%)           NA         8 (7.4%)	Chemotherapy at transformation $(sA_{IDHmut/G4})$	
No         19 (17.6%)           NA         8 (7.4%)	Yes	81 (75.0%)
NA 8 (7.4%)	No	19 (17.6%)
	NA	8 (7.4%)

1479
------

	Table 1	Continued
Characteristics		n (%)
Radiotherapy at initial diagnosis (LGGs)		
Yes		78 (72.2%)
No		24 (22.2%)
NA		6 (5.6%)
Radiotherapy at transformation $(sA_{IDHmut/G4})$		
Yes		18 (16.7%)
No		90 (83.3%)
1p/19q-codeleted		
Yes		15 (13.9%)
No		79 (73.1%)
NA		14 (13.0%)
MGMT promoter methylation		
Yes		65 (60.2%)
No		25 (23.1%)
NA		18 (16.7%)

\*A, astrocytoma; AA, anaplastic astrocytoma; O, oligodendroglioma; AO, anaplastic oligodendroglioma; OA, oligoastrocytoma; AOA, anaplastic oligoastrocytoma; NA, not applicable.

Kaplan–Meier method, and groups were compared with a logrank test. OS was calculated from the date of surgery to the date of death. PFS was calculated from the day of surgery to the first event. Transformation time was calculated from the initial diagnosis of the LGGs to the diagnosis of sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub>. Cox proportional hazards regression was used to identify independent risk factors. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05(two-sided).

### Results

### Patient characteristics

Patient characteristics are presented in **Table 1**. The median follow-up time for all patients was 146 months (range, 121 -171). The median age at initial diagnosis of LGGs and transformation to sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> was 35 years (range, 19–54) and 40 years (range, 25–62), respectively. The male-to-female ratio was 1.7:1 (68:40), and 74 (68.5%) patients had WHO grade 2, 22 (20.4%) patients had grade 3, and 12 patients had grade

### 1480

2 or 3 (which could not be further specified because of limited information) LGGs. Of the 108 IDH-mutant LGGs, 15 (13.9%) had a 1p/19q codeletion, and 79 (73.1%) had no codeletion. According to histopathological classification, 99 patients (91.7%) were diagnosed with astrocytoma or mixed astrocytoma, 3 with grade 2 oligodendroglioma and one with grade 3 oligodendroglioma.

### Malignant transformation

The average time from diagnosis to transformation was 58.8 months for all patients with IDH-mutant LGGs (range, 5.9–208.1); 58.4 and 78.1 months for 1p/19q-non-codeleted and

codeleted LGGs, respectively (**Figure 1A and 1B**); and 63.5 and 51.9 months for grade 2 and grade 3 gliomas, respectively (**Figure 1C and 1D**). The transformation time did not significantly differ between grade 2 and grade 3 gliomas (**Supplementary Figure S2A**; HR, 0.68; P = 0.163), or between 1p/19q-non-codeleted and codeleted LGGs (**Supplementary Figure S2B**; HR, 0.67; P = 0.121). However, patients with IDHmutant/codeleted oligodendroglioma had longer survival times after transformation than patients with IDH-mutant/ non-codeleted astrocytoma (**Supplementary Figure S2C**; 28.3 months *vs.* 10.8 months; HR, 0.67; P = 0.041); the PFS after transformation was also longer in IDH-mutant/codeleted oligodendroglioma (**Supplementary Figure S2D**; P = 0.068).



Figure 1 Continued



**Figure 1** Malignant transformation from LGGs to sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub>. Transformation time from the 1p/19q non-codeleted or codeleted LGGs to sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> (A-B), and from grade 2 gliomas and 3 gliomas to sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> (C-D). LGGs, lower-grade gliomas.

Among the 108 patients, a total of 139 reoperations were performed (**Figure 2A and 2B**), and 14 patients underwent multiple reoperations (> 2). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) indicated that LGGs tended to extend into adjacent lobes or into the opposite hemisphere through the cingulate gyrus or the corpus callosum, thus indicating progression (**Figure 2C**).

# Radiotherapy delays malignant transformation and improves survival

Univariate and multivariate analysis based on the age, sex, grade, left vs. right location, single vs. multiple lobe location,

blood type (B/O *vs.* A/AB), chemotherapy, radiotherapy, 1p/19q codeletion, and MGMT promoter methylation showed that radiotherapy (HR, 0.29; 95% CI, 0.137–0.595; P = 0.001) and non-A blood type (HR, 0.37; 95% CI, 0.203–0.680; P = 0.001) were independent factors associated with delayed transformation (**Table 2**). Kaplan–Meier analysis indicated that the time for transformation was 58.4 months in patients with LGG treated with radiotherapy compared with 32.6 months in patients without radiotherapy (HR, 0.31; 95% CI, 0.165–0.565; P < 0.001) (**Figure 3A**). Radiotherapy was also associated with improved survival after transformation in 18 patients who received reirradiation when tumors transformed



**Figure 2** Histological and MRI analysis of malignant transformation. (A, B) Histological analysis of tumor samples from 2 patients who underwent 4 and 2 surgical resections from grade 2 or 3 glioma to  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$  (200×). (C) MRI scan showing progressive characteristics in LGG and  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$ . <sup>#</sup>operations. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; LGG, lower-grade glioma.

into sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> (HR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.241–0.803; P = 0.008) (**Figure 3B**); the PFS after transformation was also longer in patients with reirradiation (**Supplementary Figure S3A**; HR, 0.50; P = 0.014). In addition, for all patients with IDH-mutant LGGs, radiotherapy was associated with both improved OS (**Figure 3C**; HR, 0.50; P = 0.041) and PFS (the first recurrence) (**Figure 3D**; HR, 0.25; P < 0.0001).

# Discussion

To our knowledge, this study is the largest analysis of malignant transformation based on paired IDH-mutant LGG and  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$  cohorts. We illustrated the clinical process of malignant transformation from LGG to  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$ . This is the first study reporting the transformation time and the association of radiotherapy with delayed malignant transformation and improved survival in patients with IDH-mutant gliomas.

Patients with LGGs with *IDH* mutation show favorable survival<sup>1</sup>, but survival is substantially shortened when LGGs transform into  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}^{4-6}$ . Patients with grade 2 or 3 gliomas received radiotherapy or chemotherapy alone at initial diagnosis, and most patients received chemotherapy alone when their LGGs transformed to  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$  (**Table 1**). Patients with  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$  are more resistant to chemoradiotherapy because of patients' treatment history<sup>7</sup>. Therefore, delayed malignant transformation is the main concern in improving survival for patients with LGGs. *IDH* mutation is a definitive molecular

#### Cancer Biol Med Vol 19, No 10 October 2022

Variables		Univariate			Multivariate		
	n/108	HR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р
Age (19–54, years)	108/108	1.011	0.989-1.033	0.348	1.000	0.962-1.040	0.997
Gender							
Male vs. female	108/108	1.161	0.781-1.725	0.461	1.347	0.740-2.453	0.330
Grade	96/108						
3 vs. 2	74 vs. 22	1.420	0.879–2.295	0.152	1.645	0.877–3.089	0.121
Location 1	108/108						
Left vs. right	45 vs. 63	1.099	0.750-1.611	0.627	1.300	0.703–2.405	0.403
Location 2	107/108						
Single vs. multiple lobe	75 vs. 32	0.721	0.473-1.099	0.128	0.552	0.229–1.019	0.058
Radiotherapy	102/108						
Yes vs. no	78 vs. 24	0.499	0.313-0.795	0.003	0.285	0.137-0.595	0.001
Chemotherapy	97/108						
Yes vs. no	41 vs. 56	1.093	0.726–1.645	0.670	1.540	0.860-2.757	0.146
Blood type	95/108						
B/O vs. A/AB	39 vs. 56	0.589	0.385–0.903	0.015	0.372	0.203–0.680	0.001
MGMT promoter methylation	90/108						
Yes vs. no	65 <i>v</i> s. 25	0.979	0.663–1.446	0.914	0.596	0.653–2.101	0.596
1p/19q co-deletion	94/108						
Yes vs. no	15 <i>vs</i> .79	0.648	0.363-1.155	0.141	0.788	0.387-1.603	0.511

Table 2 Univariate and multivariate analyses for malignant transformation based on clinical and molecular variables

marker of gliomas. Therapies specifically targeting this mutant molecule might achieve antitumor activity. Preclinical studies have shown that inhibitors of mutant IDH delay the growth of IDH-mutant glioma cells8. However, testing of these inhibitors is ongoing in phase I/II studies9. Recently, treatment of glioma with an IDH1-specific peptide vaccine has achieved 63% 3-year PFS and 84% 3-year OS among patients<sup>10</sup>. IDH inhibitors and vaccines are expected to guide patient selection in the future. In addition, retrospective and prospective trials have shown that patients with IDH-mutant grade 2 and 3 gliomas have better responses to chemoradiotherapy than those with wild-type gliomas<sup>11,12</sup>. However, how to inhibit malignant transformation of IDH-mutant gliomas has not been reported, although several findings have indicated molecular mechanisms<sup>4,13</sup>. Yu et al.<sup>6</sup> have reported a median time of 5.9 years from diagnosis to transformation (grade 3 or 4 gliomas), in 66 grade 2 gliomas. Martin et al.<sup>14,15</sup> have reported 5-year

freedom from malignant transformation (grade 3 or 4 gliomas) of 86%, in 84 grade 2 gliomas. However, the identification of recurrence or transformation in these studies was based on either stereotactic biopsy or increased contrast enhancement on MRI. Distinguishing radionecrosis from tumor progression through MRI is difficult<sup>16,17</sup>; furthermore, the histopathology of sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> is highly variable, thus hindering diagnosis based on stereotactic needle biopsy specimens<sup>18,19</sup>. In our study, the grading and classification were confirmed through histopathological diagnosis with specimens obtained from craniotomy (gross total or subtotal resection). We identified 108 patients with initial grade 2 or 3 IDH-mutant gliomas who underwent at least 2 operations because of progression or transformation. The time from diagnosis to transformation is longer in patients with than without prior radiotherapy. Reirradiation was also associated with improved survival after transformation in IDH-mutant LGGs.



**Figure 3** Radiotherapy delays malignant transformation and improves survival in IDH-mutant gliomas. Radiotherapy is associated with delayed malignant transformation (A), improved survival after transformation (B), improved overall survival (C), and progression-free survival (D).

Previous studies before the era of molecular classification showed that radiotherapy significantly improves PFS but not OS in patients with grade 2 gliomas<sup>20,21</sup>. Molecular-based classification has been established in gliomas, particularly LGGs, and with the use of molecular biomarkers, gliomas can be differentiated more accurately in terms of their prognosis and response to different therapies<sup>4</sup>. In our study, the association of radiotherapy with improved OS and PFS was observed in patients with IDH-mutant LGGs. Patients with an IDH mutation/1p/19q non-codeletion or wild-type IDH may have improved survival with the administration of high-dose radiotherapy<sup>22,23</sup>. Moreover, MGMT status is an independent prognostic biomarker of LGGs treated with radiotherapy<sup>24</sup>. Therefore, molecular classification plays an important role in predicting radiotherapy effects, and new trials should be designed in the current molecular era. In addition, interestingly, the transformation time from IDH-mutant LGG to sA<sub>IDHmut/G4</sub> was longer in patients with blood type non-A (O/B) than patients with blood type A/AB (57.8 months *vs.* 37.6 months; HR, 0.44; P = 0.011) (Supplementary Figure S3B). ABO blood type is associated with various diseases, including cardiovascular disease, tumors, and immune diseases. Several studies have shown an association of blood group A with a higher risk of gastric cancer<sup>25</sup>. Moreover, the ABO blood group has been associated with pancreatic cancer, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and ovarian and lung cancers<sup>26</sup>. However, the role of the ABO blood group in gliomas has not yet been described in relevant reports.

We acknowledge the limitations of our retrospective cohort design, including our inability to retest 1p/19q codeletion in all  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$  samples. However, *IDH* mutations are stable in both primary and progressive/recurrent gliomas<sup>27</sup>. Oligodendrogliomas are molecularly defined by the presence of *IDH* mutation and 1p/19q codeletion. No classification exists for grade 4 oligodendroglioma because of its favorable prognosis, despite pathological microvascular proliferation and necrosis. However, 15 LGGs had *IDH* mutation and 1p/19q codeletion, and eventually transformed into  $sA_{IDHmut/G4}$  in our study. This apparent contradiction  $era^{28,29}$ , and these 1p/19q codeletion-defined oligodendrogliomas probably contained

an unrecognized astrocytoma component. Glioblastomas with an oligodendroglioma component are frequently observed in clinical practice<sup>30</sup>. Whether the characteristic of 1p/19q codeletion might be lost during malignant transformation must be studied further.

# Conclusions

We illustrated the clinical process of malignant transformation in patients with IDH-mutant LGGs and reported the transformation time. Importantly, we highlighted the effects of radiotherapy in delaying transformation and improving survival in patients with IDH-mutant gliomas. Our findings help clarify the clinical process of malignant transformation and may aid in the development of therapeutic strategies for IDH-mutant gliomas.

# Acknowledgments

We thank all patients who participated in the study and provided informed consent for translational research on their tumor tissues. We also thank Dr. Jialin Liu (Department of Neurosurgery, the First Medical Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital) and Dr. Shuai Zhong (Department of Neurosurgery, Sanbo Brain Hospital, Capital Medical University) for help with data collection.

### Grant support

This work was supported by grants from the Beijing Hospitals Authority Youth Programme (Grant No. QML20190506) and the Capital Health Development Research Project (Grant No. 2020-2-1072).

# Conflict of interest statement

No potential conflicts of interest are disclosed.

# Author contributions

Conceived and designed the analysis: Yanwei Liu, Huiyuan Chen, Xiaoguang Qiu.

Collected the data: Yanwei Liu, Huiyuan Chen, Guanzhang Li, Jing Zhang, Kun Yao, Chenxing Wu, Shouwei Li.

Performed the analysis: Yanwei Liu, Huiyuan Chen.

Wrote the paper: Yanwei Liu, Xiaoguang Qiu.

# References

- Yan H, Parsons DW, Jin G, McLendon R, Rasheed BA, Yuan W, et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations in gliomas. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360: 765-73.
- Louis DN, Perry A, Wesseling P, Brat DJ, Cree IA, Figarella-Branger D, et al. The 2021 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: a summary. Neuro Oncol. 2021; 23: 1231-51.
- Shaw EG, Berkey B, Coons SW, Bullard D, Brachman D, Buckner JC, et al. Recurrence following neurosurgeon-determined grosstotal resection of adult supratentorial lowgrade glioma: results of a prospective clinical trial. J Neurosurg. 2008; 109: 835-41.
- Hu H, Mu Q, Bao Z, Chen Y, Liu Y, Chen J, et al. Mutational landscape of secondary glioblastoma guides MET-targeted trial in brain tumor. Cell. 2018; 175: 1665-78.
- Wong QH, Li KK, Wang WW, Malta TM, Noushmehr H, Grabovska Y, et al. Molecular landscape of IDH-mutant primary astrocytoma Grade IV/glioblastomas. Mod Pathol. 2021; 34: 1245-60.
- Yu Y, Villanueva-Meyer J, Grimmer MR, Hilz S, Solomon DA, Choi S, et al. Temozolomide-induced hypermutation is associated with distant recurrence and reduced survival after high-grade transformation of low-grade IDH-mutant gliomas. Neuro Oncol. 2021; 23: 1872-84.
- Miller JJ, Loebel F, Juratli TA, Tummala SS, Williams EA, Batchelor TT, et al. Accelerated progression of IDH mutant glioma after first recurrence. Neuro Oncol. 2019; 21: 669-77.
- Rohle D, Popovici-Muller J, Palaskas N, Turcan S, Grommes C, Campos C, et al. An inhibitor of mutant IDH1 delays growth and promotes differentiation of glioma cells. Science. 2013; 340: 626-30.
- Dang L, Yen K, Attar EC. IDH mutations in cancer and progress toward development of targeted therapeutics. Ann Oncol. 2016; 27: 599-608.
- Platten M, Bunse L, Wick A, Bunse T, Le Cornet L, Harting I, et al. A vaccine targeting mutant IDH1 in newly diagnosed glioma. Nature. 2021; 592: 463-8.
- Bell EH, Zhang P, Shaw EG, Buckner JC, Barger GR, Bullard DE, et al. Comprehensive genomic analysis in NRG Oncology/ RTOG 9802: a phase III trial of radiation versus radiation plus procarbazine, lomustine (CCNU), and vincristine in high-risk lowgrade glioma. J Clin Oncol. 2020; 38: 3407-17.
- Baumert BG, Hegi ME, van den Bent MJ, von Deimling A, Gorlia T, Hoang-Xuan K, et al. Temozolomide chemotherapy versus radiotherapy in high-risk low-grade glioma (EORTC 22033-26033): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 intergroup study. Lancet Oncol. 2016; 17: 1521-32.
- 13. Chai RC, Chang YZ, Chang X, Pang B, An SY, Zhang KN, et al. YTHDF2 facilitates UBXN1 mRNA decay by recognizing METTL3mediated m(6)A modification to activate NF-kappaB and promote the malignant progression of glioma. J Hematol Oncol, 2021; 14: 109.
- Tom MC, Park DYJ, Yang K, Leyrer CM, Wei W, Jia X, et al. Malignant transformation of molecularly classified adult low-grade glioma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2019; 105: 1106-12.

### Liu et al. Radiotherapy in IDH-mutant gliomas

- Murphy ES, Leyrer CM, Parsons M, Suh JH, Chao ST, Yu JS, et al. Risk factors for malignant transformation of low-grade glioma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2018; 100: 965-71.
- Ellingson BM, Chung C, Pope WB, Boxerman JL, Kaufmann TJ. Pseudoprogression, radionecrosis, inflammation or true tumor progression? Challenges associated with glioblastoma response assessment in an evolving therapeutic landscape. J Neurooncol. 2017; 134: 495-504.
- Strauss SB, Meng A, Ebani EJ, Chiang GC. Imaging glioblastoma posttreatment: progression, pseudoprogression, pseudoresponse, radiation necrosis. Radiol Clin North Am. 2019; 57: 1199-216.
- Qazi MA, Vora P, Venugopal C, Sidhu SS, Moffat J, Swanton C, et al. Intratumoral heterogeneity: pathways to treatment resistance and relapse in human glioblastoma. Ann Oncol. 2017; 28: 1448-56.
- 19. Hu LS, Baxter LC, Smith KA, Feuerstein BG, Karis JP, Eschbacher JM, et al. Relative cerebral blood volume values to differentiate high-grade glioma recurrence from posttreatment radiation effect: direct correlation between image-guided tissue histopathology and localized dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced perfusion MR imaging measurements. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2009; 30: 552-8.
- 20. van den Bent MJ, Afra D, de Witte O, Ben Hassel M, Schraub S, Hoang-Xuan K, et al. Long-term efficacy of early versus delayed radiotherapy for low-grade astrocytoma and oligodendroglioma in adults: the EORTC 22845 randomised trial. Lancet. 2005; 366: 985-90.
- 21. Gorlia T, Wu W, Wang M, Baumert BG, Mehta M, Buckner JC, et al. New validated prognostic models and prognostic calculators in patients with low-grade gliomas diagnosed by central pathology review: a pooled analysis of EORTC/RTOG/NCCTG phase III clinical trials. Neuro Oncol. 2013; 15: 1568-79.
- 22. Jiang T, Nam DH, Ram Z, Poon WS, Wang J, Boldbaatar D, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of adult diffuse gliomas. Cancer Lett. 2021; 499: 60-72.
- 23. Liu Y, Liu S, Li G, Li Y, Chen L, Feng J, et al. Association of highdose radiotherapy with improved survival in patients with newly

diagnosed low-grade gliomas. Cancer-Am Cancer Soc. 2022; 128: 1085-92.

- Liu Y, Li Y, Wang P, Chen L, Feng J, Qiu X. High-dose radiotherapy in newly diagnosed low-grade gliomas with nonmethylated O(6)methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase. Radiat Oncol. 2021; 16: 157.
- Wang Z, Liu L, Ji J, Zhang J, Yan M, Zhang J, et al. ABO blood group system and gastric cancer: a case-control study and meta-analysis. Int J Mol Sci. 2012; 13: 13308-21.
- Liumbruno GM, Franchini M. Beyond immunohaematology: the role of the ABO blood group in human diseases. Blood Transfus. 2013; 11: 491-9.
- Zhang Z, Chan AK, Ding X, Li Y, Zhang R, Chen L, et al. Glioma groups classified by IDH and TERT promoter mutations remain stable among primary and recurrent gliomas. Neuro Oncol. 2017; 19: 1008-10.
- Zepeda-Mendoza CJ, Vaubel RA, Zarei S, Ida CM, Matthews M, Acree S, et al. Concomitant 1p/19q co-deletion and IDH1/2, ATRX, and TP53 mutations within a single clone of "dual-genotype" IDH-mutant infiltrating gliomas. Acta Neuropathol. 2020; 139: 1105-07.
- Venteicher AS, Tirosh I, Hebert C, Yizhak K, Neftel C, Filbin MG, et al. Decoupling genetics, lineages, and microenvironment in IDH-mutant gliomas by single-cell RNA-seq. Science. 2017; 355: eaai8478.
- Wang Y, Li S, Chen L, You G, Bao Z, Yan W, et al. Glioblastoma with an oligodendroglioma component: distinct clinical behavior, genetic alterations, and outcome. Neuro Oncol. 2012; 14: 518-25.

Cite this article as: Liu Y, Chen H, Li G, Zhang J, Yao K, Wu C, et al. Radiotherapy delays malignant transformation and prolongs survival in patients with IDH-mutant gliomas. Cancer Biol Med. 2022; 19: 1477-1486. doi: 10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2022.0472

### 1486