ABSTRACT

J Clin Oncol. 2022 Oct 19:JCO2200164. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.00164. Online ahead of print.

NRG Oncology/RTOG1205: A Randomized Phase II Trial of Concurrent Bevacizumab and Reirradiation Versus Bevacizumab Alone as Treatment for Recurrent Glioblastoma.

Tsien CI(1), Pugh SL(2), Dicker AP(3), Raizer JJ(4), Matuszak MM(5), Lallana EC(6), Huang J(7), Algan O(8), Deb N(9), Portelance L(10), Villano JL(11), Hamm JT(12), Oh KS(13), Ali AN(14), Kim MM(15), Lindhorst SM(16), Mehta MP(17).

Author information:

- (1) Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD.
- (2)NRG Oncology Statistics and Data Management Center, Philadelphia, PA.
- (3) Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA.
- (4)Northwestern University, Chicago, IL.
- (5)University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Ml.
- (6)Kaiser Permanente Sacramento Medical Center, Sacramento, CA.
- (7)Washington University School of Medicine in St Louis-Siteman Cancer Center, St. Louis, MO.
- (8) University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK.
- (9)St Luke's University Hospital & Health Network accruals Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Bethlehem, PA.
- (10)University of Miami Miller School of Medicine-Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center, Miami, FL.
- (11)University of Kentucky/Markey Cancer Center, Lexington, KY.
- (12) Norton Hospital Pavilion and Medical Campus, Louisville, KY.
- (13) Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center, Boston, MA.
- (14)The Hope Center accruals Emory University/Winship Cancer Institute, Dalton, GA.
- (15) University of Michigan Comprehensive Cancer Center, Ann Arbor, Ml.
- (16)Medical University of South Carolina Minority Underserved NCORP, Charleston, SC.
- (17) Miami Cancer Institute, Miami, FL.

PURPOSE: To assess whether reirradiation (re-RT) and concurrent bevacizumab (BEV) improve overall survival (OS) and/or progression-free survival (PFS), compared with BEV alone in recurrent glioblastoma (GBM). The primary objective was OS, and secondary objectives included PFS, response rate, and treatment adverse events (AEs) including delayed CNS toxicities.

METHODS: NRG Oncology/RTOG1205 is a prospective, phase II, randomized trial of re-RT and BEV versus BEV alone. Stratification factors included age, resection, and Karnofsky performance status (KPS). Patients with recurrent GBM with imaging evidence of tumor progression ≥ 6 months from completion of prior chemo-RT were eligible. Patients were randomly assigned 1:1 to re-RT, 35 Gy in 10 fractions, with concurrent BEV IV 10 mg/kg once in every 2 weeks or BEV alone until progression.

RESULTS: From December 2012 to April 2016, 182 patients were randomly assigned, of whom 170 were eligible. Patient characteristics were well balanced between arms. The median follow-up for censored patients was 12.8 months. There was no improvement in OS for BEV + RT, hazard ratio, 0.98; 80% CI, 0.79 to 1.23; P = .46; the median survival time was 10.1 versus 9.7 months for BEV + RT versus BEV alone. The median PFS for BEV + RT was 7.1 versus 3.8 months for BEV, hazard ratio, 0.73; 95% CI, 0.53 to 1.0; P = .05. The 6-month PFS rate improved from 29.1% (95% CI, 19.1 to 39.1) for BEV to 54.3% (95% CI, 43.5 to 65.1) for BEV + RT, P = .001. Treatment was well tolerated. There were a 5% rate of acute grade 3+ treatment-related AEs and no delayed high-grade AEs. Most patients died of recurrent GBM.

CONCLUSION: To our knowledge, NRG Oncology/RTOG1205 is the first prospective, randomized multi-institutional study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of re-RT in recurrent GBM using modern RT techniques. Overall, re-RT was shown to be safe and well tolerated. BEV + RT demonstrated a clinically meaningful improvement in PFS, specifically the 6-month PFS rate but no difference in OS.

DOI: 10.1200/JCO.22.00164

PMID: 36260832