



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Zaver Bhujwalla,
Johns Hopkins Medicine, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Ellen Ackerstaff
✉ eackerstaff@yahoo.de
Pilar López-Larrubia
✉ plopez@iib.uam.es

RECEIVED 07 December 2023

ACCEPTED 12 December 2023

PUBLISHED 18 December 2023

CITATION

Ackerstaff E and López-Larrubia P (2023)
Editorial: Differentiating brain
cancers and glioblastoma through
imaging methodologies.
Front. Oncol. 13:1351874.
doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1351874

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ackerstaff and López-Larrubia. This is
an open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or reproduction
is permitted which does not comply with
these terms.

Editorial: Differentiating brain cancers and glioblastoma through imaging methodologies

Ellen Ackerstaff^{1*} and Pilar López-Larrubia^{2*}

¹Department of Cancer Systems Imaging, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, United States, ²Instituto de Investigaciones Biomédicas Sols-Morreale, Consejer Superior de Investigaciones Científicas-Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (CSIC-UAM), Madrid, Spain

KEYWORDS

neuro-oncology, multiparametric imaging, brain tumor differentiation, quantitative image analysis, radiomics, glioblastoma

Editorial on the Research Topic

Differentiating brain cancers and glioblastoma through imaging methodologies

In the field of neuro-oncology, the imperative to differentiate between various brain cancers, notably the formidable glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), is of paramount importance. As precision medicine becomes increasingly integrated into oncologic practices, advanced imaging methodologies emerge as indispensable tools for clinicians. These techniques provide a noninvasive insight into the intricate micro structures, molecular features, physiology, and metabolism of the brain, offering crucial information about the distinctive features of GBM and other brain cancers (1). The ability to discern subtle variations of tumor characteristics through noninvasive imaging not only facilitates accurate diagnosis but also establishes the foundation for personalized treatment strategies.

To treat GBM and other brain cancers as early as possible by successfully differentiating them from each other is essential to optimize their treatment, improving outcome (1–3). Emphasizing the fundamental role of imaging techniques in achieving this goal, the articles in this Research Topic focus on pretreatment imaging parameters and their analysis for the differentiation of brain cancer types.

As summarized in the Mini Review by Hooper and Ginat and others [e.g., (1, 4, 5)], no single imaging technique has been proven to be successful in this aim, whereas a combination of imaging modalities, such as e.g., ¹⁸F-FDG PET and multiparametric magnetic resonance (MR) imaging (MRI), improve the detection, staging and differentiation of brain tumors. Quantitative radiomics of multiparametric MRI with or without the inclusion of brain MR spectroscopy further the finer differentiation of brain tumors as well as glioma or GBM subtypes, with the goal of personalizing tumor treatment (1, Hooper and Ginat; Vallee et al.; Meier et al.). Specifically, as shown by Vallee et al., the analyses of multiparametric MR data using decision tree models show promise in differentiating brain metastasis, GBM, and primary central nervous system lymphomas (PCNSL), compared to other analyses approaches [e.g., (6)].

One main limitation of current quantitative radiomics is its lack of reproducible applicability across independent studies, mostly due to improper data portioning, overfitting, and a lack of standardization (Hooper and Ginat; Vallee et al.; Meier et al.). Combining training cohorts with independent testing cohorts is one way to establish the robustness of novel or new quantitative or semiquantitative imaging parameter models to differentiate brain tumor types, such as presented in the study by Han et al. with models separating PCNSL from GBM.

Linking radiomics features and underlying biology, by combining quantitative radiomics with radio-genomics for example, has the power to enhance brain tumor characterization and identify meaningful and reproducible radiomic signatures (Hooper and Ginat, 5). This has been of special interest for GBM patients, as the molecular subtype has been shown to be prognostic as well as influences treatment (2, 5). For example, isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH)-mutant gliomas may benefit from temozolomide treatment and high-grade, IDH-1 mutant gliomas from including the non-contrast-enhancing, tumor-surrounding tissue in the surgical resection (5).

The Cancer Imaging Archive [TCIA, (7), last accessed on 12/05/2023] and the UK Biobank (last accessed on 12/05/2023) contain various imaging data as well as to the imaging related data, such as treatment details, genetic, biomarker and/or health-related outcome data. Thus, both data archives offer to be powerful tools in the development of quantitative or semiquantitative analysis models of multiparametric and multimodal *in vivo* and *ex vivo* imaging, combined with “radiohistogenomic interpretation”, for brain tumors as well as other cancers or diseases. Despite progress made, brain tumors remain difficult tumors to treat with low cure

rates and several challenges for successful treatment to overcome (8), with noninvasive *in vivo* imaging playing a future role in linking radiomics to personalized treatment at time of diagnosis and in the monitoring of treatment response.

Author contributions

EA: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PL-L: Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Chiu FY, Yen Y. Imaging biomarkers for clinical applications in neuro-oncology: current status and future perspectives. *Biomark Res* (2023) 11:35. doi: 10.1186/s40364-023-00476-7
2. van den Bent MJ, Geurts M, French PJ, Smits M, Capper D, Bromberg JEC, et al. Primary brain tumours in adults. *Lancet* (2023) 402:1564–79. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01054-1
3. Brenner AW, Patel AJ. Review of current principles of the diagnosis and management of brain metastases. *Front Oncol* (2022) 12:857622. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.857622
4. Trikalinos NA, Nihashi T, Evangelou E, Terasawa T. Positron emission tomography (PET) for prediction of glioma histology: protocol for an individual-level data meta-analysis of test performance. *BMJ Open* (2018) 8:e020187. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020187
5. Kanekar S, Zacharia BE. Imaging findings of new entities and patterns in brain tumor: isocitrate dehydrogenase mutant, isocitrate dehydrogenase wild-type, codeletion, and MGMT methylation. *Radiol Clin North Am* (2021) 59:305–22. doi: 10.1016/j.rcl.2021.01.001
6. Neska-Matuszewska M, Bladowska J, Sasiadek M, Zimny A. Differentiation of glioblastoma multiforme, metastases and primary central nervous system lymphomas using multiparametric perfusion and diffusion MR imaging of a tumor core and a peritumoral zone—Searching for a practical approach. *PLoS One* (2018) 13:e0191341. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0191341
7. Clark K, Vendt B, Smith K, Freymann J, Kirby J, Koppel P, et al. The Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA): maintaining and operating a public information repository. *J Digit Imaging* (2013) 26:1045–57. doi: 10.1007/s10278-013-9622-7
8. Aldape K, Brindle KM, Chesler L, Chopra R, Gajjar A, Gilbert MR, et al. Challenges to curing primary brain tumours. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* (2019) 16:509–20. doi: 10.1038/s41571-019-0177-5