

Editorial

Introduction to the Special Issue on “Role of Novel Imaging Technique in Brain Tumors”

Ali Nabavizadeh ^{1,2} 

¹ Center for Data-Driven Discovery in Biomedicine (D3b), Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA; ali.nabavizadeh@pennmedicine.upenn.edu; Tel./Fax: +1-215-662-6865

² Department of Radiology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA

In recent years, significant strides have been made in the field of neuro-oncology imaging, contributing to our understanding and management of brain tumors. MRI continues to be the workhorse for the diagnosis of tumors, assessment of tumor burden, and monitoring of tumor size during and after treatment [1]; however, there has been increasing evidence on the added value of various molecular imaging approaches, especially amino acid PET in brain tumors [2,3]. The rise of advanced computing in the past decade has led to the birth of the field of radiomics, which has been applied in brain tumor diagnosis and grading, prognostication, response assessment, differentiating treatment effects from tumor recurrence, and the molecular classification of tumors (i.e., radiogenomics) [4]. Finally, recent efforts in the integration of tumor segmentation and other artificial intelligence (AI) tools with picture archiving and communication system (PACS) platforms will help to accelerate the translation of these techniques to the clinic [5,6].

This Special Issue aims to present a cross-section of the state of the art in this research area, highlighting several exciting developments in the field of neuro-oncologic imaging.

The study by de Godoy et al. [7] highlights the clinical potential of proton MR spectroscopy (1H-MRS) at 3 Tesla with optimized echo time (TE) in identifying IDH-mutant gliomas. The research emphasizes the significance of oncometabolite 2HG as a key biomarker and demonstrates the efficacy of both single-voxel and multi-voxel 1H-MRS at being able to predict IDH mutational status. Additionally, the study identifies Glx (glutamate + glutamine) and NAA (N-acetylaspartate) as being crucial in distinguishing IDH mutants from wild-type gliomas. The findings suggest that 1H-MRS, with an optimized TE (97 ms), offers a non-invasive and accurate method for detecting 2HG levels and understanding their interplay with other metabolites in infiltrative gliomas.

The second study by Langen et al. [8] explores the additive value of amino acid PET and advanced MRI in cerebral glioma diagnosis, emphasizing the promise of a multimodal approach. While both methods independently offer valuable information, the study emphasizes the potential of a multimodal approach for improved diagnostics. There is increasing evidence that the combination of amino acid PET and advanced MRI improves grading and molecular characterization in newly diagnosed tumors, while data concerning the delineation of tumor extent and biopsy guidance are limited. Simultaneous PET/MRI can be especially beneficial in cerebral glioma patients, by reducing the number of imaging visits and examination time, and even more beneficial in children, by reducing the radiation burden and the need for repeated anesthesia for two separate examinations.

Liquid biopsy takes center stage in the third study by Khalili et al. [9], offering a non-invasive method to capture molecular diversity in CNS tumors, complemented by imaging techniques. The review underscores the challenges posed by the limited presence and short half-life of these biomarkers, especially in CNS tumors which have the additional complexity of the blood–brain barrier (BBB) and blood–tumor barrier compared to other solid tumors. Integration with imaging techniques is proposed to provide a more accurate



Citation: Nabavizadeh, A.

Introduction to the Special Issue on “Role of Novel Imaging Technique in Brain Tumors”. *Cancers* **2024**, *16*, 575.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers16030575>

Received: 4 January 2024

Revised: 19 January 2024

Accepted: 26 January 2024

Published: 30 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

characterization of brain tumors by identifying factors affecting plasma cfDNA and ctDNA detection, such as imaging BBB permeability; such integration also added to the value of imaging, including PET imaging, radiomics, and liquid biopsy, to better characterize brain tumors.

The fourth study by Rezaei et al. [10] introduces a generative method using Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) to translate between T2-weighted-FLAIR and T2-weighted MRI volumes. This study proposes a novel evaluation schema based on radiomic features. The authors demonstrate that generative methods can produce realistic results without significant changes in radiometric features. This method has the potential to aid clinicians and researchers in having access to a missing sequence when rescanning is not feasible.

Finally, the study by Kaur et al. [11] explores the quantification of peritumoral edema using PACS-based tools, revealing its feasibility and potential to predict treatment outcomes. The research underscores the significance of monitoring edema volume in patients with lung cancer metastasis after stereotactic radiosurgery, particularly given that alterations in edema volume may precede changes in the size of the tumor core. This study nicely showcases an example of how PACS-integrated segmentation tools can be incorporated into clinical practice for a more comprehensive treatment response assessment.

In conclusion, these studies collectively showcase the evolving landscape of neuro-oncology imaging, from non-invasive metabolic assessments to the integration of advanced imaging modalities and innovative approaches for volumetric evaluation and PACS integration. These advancements hold promise for refining diagnostic accuracy, predicting treatment outcomes, and ultimately improving patient care in the realm of neuro-oncology. Further progress is contingent upon increasing the reproducibility and generalizability of AI models and improved interoperability with clinical care and clinical trial workflows [12]. In addition, increased utilization of generative AI approaches will provide necessary tools for image-to-image synthesis, capable of generating absent or suboptimal MRI sequences [13]. Finally, despite mounting evidence highlighting the enhanced benefits of amino acid PET imaging, its widespread use is hindered in many countries by the absence of regulatory approval and reimbursement, which need to be addressed [14].

Conflicts of Interest: Ali Nabavizadeh is a member of the advisory board of Telix Pharmaceuticals.

References

1. Wen, P.Y.; van den Bent, M.; Youssef, G.; Cloughesy, T.F.; Ellingson, B.M.; Weller, M.; Galanis, E.; Barboriak, D.P.; de Groot, J.; Gilbert, M.R.; et al. RANO2.0: Update to the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology Criteria for High- and Low-Grade Gliomas in Adults. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **2023**, *41*, 5187–5199. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
2. Galldiks, N.; Langen, K.J.; Albert, N.L.; Law, I.; Kim, M.M.; Villanueva-Meyer, J.E.; Soffietti, R.; Wen, P.Y.; Weller, M.; Tonn, J.C. Investigational PET tracers in neuro-oncology—What’s on the horizon? A report of the PET/RANO group. *Neuro. Oncol.* **2022**, *24*, 1815–1826. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
3. Law, I.; Albert, N.L.; Arbizu, J.; Boellaard, R.; Drzezga, A.; Galldiks, N.; la Fougère, C.; Langen, K.J.; Lopci, E.; Lowe, V.; et al. Joint EANM/EANO/RANO practice guidelines/SNMMI procedure standards for imaging of gliomas using PET with radiolabelled amino acids and [¹⁸F]FDG: Version 1.0. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging* **2019**, *46*, 540–557. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
4. Singh, G.; Manjila, S.; Sakla, N.; True, A.; Wardeh, A.H.; Beig, N.; Vaysberg, A.; Matthews, J.; Prasanna, P.; Spektor, V. Radiomics and radiogenomics in gliomas: A contemporary update. *Br. J. Cancer* **2021**, *125*, 641–657. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
5. Cassinelli Petersen, G.; Bousabarah, K.; Verma, T.; von Reppert, M.; Jekel, L.; Gordem, A.; Jang, B.; Merkaj, S.; Abi Fadel, S.; Owens, R. Real-time PACS-integrated longitudinal brain metastasis tracking tool provides comprehensive assessment of treatment response to radiosurgery. *Neuroncol. Adv.* **2022**, *4*, vda116. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
6. Aboian, M.; Bousabarah, K.; Kazarian, E.; Zeevi, T.; Holler, W.; Merkaj, S.; Cassinelli Petersen, G.; Bahar, R.; Subramanian, H.; Sunku, P. Clinical implementation of artificial intelligence in neuroradiology with development of a novel workflow-efficient picture archiving and communication system-based automated brain tumor segmentation and radiomic feature extraction. *Front. Neurosci.* **2022**, *16*, 860208. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
7. de Godoy, L.L.; Lim, K.C.; Rajan, A.; Verma, G.; Hanaoka, M.; O’Rourke, D.M.; Lee, J.Y.K.; Desai, A.; Chawla, S.; Mohan, S. Non-Invasive Assessment of Isocitrate Dehydrogenase-Mutant Gliomas Using Optimized Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy on a Routine Clinical 3-Tesla MRI. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 4453. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)

8. Langen, K.J.; Galldiks, N.; Mauler, J.; Kocher, M.; Filß, C.P.; Stoffels, G.; Régio Brambilla, C.; Stegmayr, C.; Willuweit, A.; Worthoff, W.A.; et al. Hybrid PET/MRI in Cerebral Glioma: Current Status and Perspectives. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 3577. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Khalili, N.; Shooli, H.; Hosseini, N.; Kazerooni, A.F.; Familiar, A.; Bagheri, S.; Anderson, H.; Bagley, S.J.; Nabavizadeh, A. Adding Value to Liquid Biopsy for Brain Tumors: The Role of Imaging. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 5198. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Rezaeijo, S.M.; Chegeni, N.; Naeini, F.B.; Makris, D.; Bakas, S. Within-Modality Synthesis and Novel Radiomic Evaluation of Brain MRI Scans. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 3565. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Kaur, M.; Petersen, G.C.; Jekel, L.; von Reppert, M.; Varghese, S.; de Oliveira Santo, I.D.; Avesta, A.; Aneja, S.; Omuro, A.; Chiang, V.; et al. PACS-Integrated Tools for Peritumoral Edema Volumetrics Provide Additional Information to RANO-BM-Based Assessment of Lung Cancer Brain Metastases after Stereotactic Radiotherapy: A Pilot Study. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 4822. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Kann, B.H.; Hosny, A.; Aerts, H.J.W.L. Artificial intelligence for clinical oncology. *Cancer Cell* **2021**, *39*, 916–927. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Yang, Q.; Li, N.; Zhao, Z.; Fan, X.; Chang, E.I.; Xu, Y. MRI Cross-Modality Image-to-Image Translation. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 3753. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Langen, K.J.; Galldiks, N. Update on amino acid PET of brain tumors. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* **2018**, *31*, 354–361. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.