





Review Article

Systematic review of MRI alterations in the brain following proton and photon radiation therapy: Towards a uniform European Particle Therapy Network (EPTN) definition

Lieselotte Lauwens ^{a b 1}  , Marvin F. Ribeiro ^{c d 1}, Catharina M.L. Zegers ^c, Morton Høyer ^e, Claire Alapetite ^f, Malin Blomstrand ^g, Valentin Calugaru ^f, Dario Di Perri ^{h i}, Alberto Iannalfi ^j, Carola Lütgendorf-Caucig ^{k l m}, Frank Paulsen ⁿ, Alida A. Postma ^{d o}, Alejandra Méndez Romero ^p, Beate Timmermann ^q, Esther G.C. Troost ^{r s w x}, Hiska L. van der Weide ^t, Gillian A. Whitfield ^{u v}, Semi Harrabi ^m, Maarten Lambrecht ^{a b i}, Daniëlle B.P. Eekers ^c

- ^a KU Leuven, University of Leuven, Department of Oncology, Laboratory of Experimental Radiotherapy, Leuven, Belgium
- ^b University Hospitals Leuven, Department of Radiation Oncology, Leuven, Belgium
- ^c Department of Radiation Oncology (Maastricht), GROW Research Institute for Oncology and Reproduction, Maastricht. University Medical Centre+, Maastricht, the Netherlands
- ^d Mental Health and Neuroscience research institute (Mhens) Faculty of Health Medicine and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands
- ^e Danish Centre for Particle Therapy, Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark
- ^f Institut Curie, Radiation Oncology Department, Paris & Proton Center, Orsay, France
- ^g Department of Oncology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital Gothenburg and the Skandion Clinic, Sweden
- ^h Department of Radiation Oncology, Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, Brussels, Belgium
- ⁱ Particle Therapy Interuniversity Center Leuven (PartICLE), Belgium
- ^j Clinical Department, Radiotherapy Unit, National Center for Oncological Hadrontherapy (C.N.A.O.), Italy
- ^k MedAustron Ion Therapy Center, 2700 Wiener Neustadt, Austria
- ^l Radioonkologie, Department of Radiation Oncology, Heidelberg University Hospital, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
- ^m Heidelberg Ion-Beam Therapy Center (HIT), Department of Radiation Oncology, Heidelberg University Hospital, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁿ Clinic for Radiation Oncology, Eberhard-Karls-University, Tuebingen, Germany
- ^o Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands
- ^p Department of Radiotherapy, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Erasmus MC University Medical Center,

Rotterdam, the Netherlands

- ^q Department of Particle Therapy, West German Proton Therapy Centre Essen (WPE), University Hospital Essen, Germany
- ^r OncoRay – National Center for Radiation Research in Oncology, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Dresden, Germany
- ^s National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT), Partner Site Dresden, Germany: German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
- ^t University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Radiation Oncology, Hanzeplein 1, 9713GZ Groningen, the Netherlands
- ^u The Christie Proton Beam Therapy Centre, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom
- ^v University of Manchester, Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, The Children's Brain Tumour Research Network, Manchester, United Kingdom
- ^w Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany
- ^x Helmholtz Association / Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden – Rossendorf (HZDR), Dresden, Germany

Received 29 January 2025, Revised 30 April 2025, Accepted 1 May 2025, Available online 11 May 2025, Version of Record 14 May 2025.

 [What do these dates mean?](#)



[Show less](#) 

 Share  Cite

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2025.110936> 

[Get rights and content](#) 

Highlights

- Systematic review of brain MRI alterations after photon and proton therapy.
- Large heterogeneity in terminology and definitions across included studies.
- Heterogeneity limits conclusions on MRI alterations and role of particle therapy.
- Clinical need for a standardized framework for MRI alterations after radiotherapy.

Abstract

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) often demonstrates alterations following cranial radiotherapy (RT), which may result in clinical symptoms and diagnostic uncertainty, and thus potentially impact treatment decisions. The potential differences in MRI alterations after proton and photon RT, has raised concerns regarding the relative biological effectiveness of proton therapy. To provide an overview of MRI alterations in the brain post-RT and to explore differences between photon and proton RT, a systematic review adhering to the PRISMA guidelines was conducted, focusing on the assessment methods and definitions across studies. A systematic search of three electronic databases was performed using the concepts 'normo-fractionated radiotherapy', 'MRI alterations' and 'brain, skull base or head and neck tumours in adult and paediatric populations'. Data extraction and quality assessment was performed on articles meeting the predefined criteria by two independent reviewers. Out of 5887 screened studies, 94 met the inclusion criteria. These studies were categorized based on confinement of the MRI alterations to temporal lobe, brainstem, or across the entire brain. Additional subclassification was performed based on MRI sequences evaluated or by the nature of the alterations, with pseudoprogression generally reserved for glioma patients. While many papers exist on MRI alterations in the brain after RT, this review highlights significant inconsistencies in the terminology and definitions, limiting the comparability of findings across studies. Our results highlight the need for and facilitate the development of a standardized framework for describing MRI alterations after RT.

Introduction

Radiotherapy (RT) is a cornerstone in the management of primary brain, skull base and head and neck tumours. However, the unavoidable exposure of healthy brain tissue to radiation can result in radiation-induced toxicities including new abnormalities visualized on post-treatment cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Although these MRI alterations are in many cases not accompanied by symptoms, they can still pose a significant challenge in interpreting post-treatment MRI scans. Differentiating between tumour recurrence, malignant transformation or progression and radiation-induced MRI alterations can be difficult, as the two entities may appear similar. In more severe cases, MRI alterations may progress and be accompanied by neurological symptoms, significantly impacting patients' quality of life, with some symptoms being irreversible [1].

In recent years, the use of proton therapy (PT) for treatment of primary brain and skull base tumours has increased, driven by its growing availability and physical advantages over photon-based radiation therapy (XRT) [2]. The unique depth-dose distribution of PT, the Bragg Peak, allows for better sparing of healthy brain tissue. In PT planning, currently a constant relative biological effectiveness (RBE) of 1.1 is used by all European PT centres, as recommended by Paganetti et al. [3,4]. This RBE value is applied to convert absorbed dose to an RBE weighted dose, accounting for the fact that PT is biologically more effective than XRT. The wide adoption of PT has prompted an increase in investigations on the incidence of radiation-induced toxicities, including MRI alterations after PT. This has raised concerns about the potential correlation

between uncertainties in RBE, and the potential rise in occurrence of MRI alterations following PT.

Past collaborative efforts within the European Particle Therapy Network (EPTN) [[5], [6], [7]], include consensus recommendations on patient follow-up after RT for brain and skull tumours [[5], [6], [7]]. While these recommendations briefly addressed radiological outcome assessment and imaging changes after RT, they did not provide a comprehensive definition of MRI alterations [5]. This review, as part of the ongoing work of the EPTN group, aims to provide a basis to further update and clarify these recommendations on patient follow-up, focussing on MRI alterations observed in the brain on conventional MRI following RT. We excluded more advanced modalities such as MR spectroscopy and positron emission tomography (PET), as MRI remains the primary imaging tool for the follow-up of patients with brain and skull base tumours. The aim of this review is to identify studies on MRI alterations after treatment with RT using conventional fractionation in adult and paediatric patients with primary brain, skull base and head and neck tumours, providing a comprehensive overview of the current evidence, focusing on the assessment methods, definitions, incidence, temporal dynamics and risk factors for the different types of MRI alterations. Additionally, potential differences in MRI alterations across RT treatment modalities, including XRT and PT will be explored. The distinct biological effects of these modalities may lead to variations in the incidence, characteristics, and risk factors of MRI alterations observed in the studies, influenced by the treatment modality applied. Therefore, in this systematic review we aim to provide an extensive overview of the available evidence regarding various MRI alterations in the brain following RT, by consolidating and analysing the definitions, incidence, risk factors, and assessment methods presented in the available studies.

Access through your organization

Check access to the full text by signing in through your organization.

Access through **your organization**

Section snippets

Materials and methods

This systematic review adhered to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [8] and was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO, CRD42024538901). ...

Results

A total of 5887 studies were screened and assessed for eligibility, of which 94 studies were included in this review (Fig. 1: Prisma flowchart). The majority of studies were retrospective

(85/94) and single centre studies (77/94). Most studies were performed in patients treated with XRT (51/94), followed by PT (28/94) and CIRT (3/94). Thirteen studies included both patients treated with XRT and PT. With respect to cohort size, 20 studies included 50 or fewer patients, 18 studies included ...

Discussion

This systematic review provides a comprehensive overview of the current evidence on MRI alterations following RT. We identified 94 studies with clear definitions of MRI alterations and categorized them into several types: temporal lobe and brainstem alterations, pseudoprogession, contrast-enhancement only, combined contrast-enhancement and T2/FLAIR, T2 and vascular alterations.

In the 37 studies on MRI alterations after RT for gliomas, PsP was the most commonly reported, while for PT patients ...

Conclusion

In conclusion, despite extensive research on MRI alterations after XRT and PT, this review reveals a significant lack of consistency in the terminology and definitions used to describe these alterations. This inconsistency complicates our ability to draw meaningful conclusions regarding incidence, risk factors and clinical implications of MRI alterations, and makes it difficult to compare findings across studies, patient populations, and treatment modalities. Our findings underscore the need ...

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Lieselotte Lauwens: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Conceptualization. **Marvin F. Ribeiro:** Writing – original draft, Visualization, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Catharina M.L. Zegers:** Writing – original draft, Supervision, Methodology, Investigation, Conceptualization. **Morton Høyer:** Writing – original draft, Supervision, Methodology, ...

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. ...

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the biomedical reference librarians of the KU Leuven Libraries – 2Bergen – learning Centre Désiré Collen (Leuven, Belgium) and UM Library Systematic Literature Review Support team (Maastricht, The Netherlands) for their help in conducting the systematic

literature search. ...

Funding statement

Lieselotte Lauwens is funded by Kom op tegen Kanker (Stand up to Cancer), the Flemish cancer society (ProjectID: 13899). This publication is part of the project “Making radiotherapy sustainable” with project number 10,070,012,010,002 of the Highly Specialised Care & Research program (TZO program) which is (partly) financed by the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw). ...

[Recommended articles](#)

References (118)

L. Heuchel *et al.*

[Clinical use and future requirements of relative biological effectiveness: Survey among all European proton therapy centres](#)

Radiotherapy and Oncology (2022)

L. De Roeck *et al.*

[The European Particle Therapy Network \(EPTN\) consensus on the follow-up of adult patients with brain and skull base tumours treated with photon or proton irradiation](#)

Radiotherapy and Oncology (2022)

D.B.P. Eekers *et al.*

[Update of the EPTN atlas for CT- and MR-based contouring in Neuro-Oncology](#)

Radiotherapy and Oncology (2021)

M. Lambrecht *et al.*

[Radiation dose constraints for organs at risk in neuro-oncology; the European Particle Therapy Network consensus](#)

Radiotherapy and Oncology (2018)

S. Kitpanit *et al.*

[Temporal lobe necrosis in head and neck cancer patients after proton therapy to the skull base](#)

Int J Part Ther (2020)

M.W. McDonald *et al.*

[Dose volume relationships associated with temporal lobe radiation necrosis after skull base proton beam therapy](#)

International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics (2015)

L.F. Wu *et al.*

Tumor-Infiltrating T Cell Receptor-Beta Repertoires are Linked to the Risk of Late Chemoradiation-Induced Temporal Lobe Necrosis in Locally Advanced Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma

International Journal of Radiation Oncology, Biology, Physics (2019)

L. Lu *et al.*

Temporal lobe injury patterns following intensity modulated radiotherapy in a large cohort of nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients

Oral Oncology (2018)

D.W. Wen *et al.*

Normal tissue complication probability (NTCP) models for predicting temporal lobe injury after intensity-modulated radiotherapy in nasopharyngeal carcinoma: A large registry-based retrospective study from China

Radiotherapy and Oncology (2021)

Y.X.J. Wang *et al.*

Evolution of radiation-induced brain injury: MR imaging-based study

Radiology (2010)



[View more references](#)

Cited by (0)

- 1 These authors contributed equally to this work.

[View full text](#)

© 2025 Elsevier B.V. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.



All content on this site: Copyright © 2025 Elsevier B.V., its licensors, and contributors. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies. For all open access content, the relevant licensing terms apply.

