

Differentiation between Nonenhancing Tumor in Glioblastoma and Vasogenic Edema

Here is a structured summary of the key findings and implications of **Alizada et al.**:

Key findings

1. Study aim & design

- The study retrospectively examined 111 glioblastoma patients (from January 2022 to December 2023) with available pretreatment MRIs, to assess whether diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and dynamic susceptibility contrast (DSC) perfusion MRI metrics could distinguish **non-enhancing glioblastoma tissue** from **vasogenic edema**. [PubMed+1](#)
- They included:
 - 13 patients (15 lesions) with *solid nonenhancing glioblastoma* (i.e. tumor tissue without contrast enhancement) [PubMed](#)
 - 98 patients with perilesional nonenhancing T2/FLAIR hyperintensity surrounding enhancing glioblastomas (as a proxy for edema / infiltrated areas) [PubMed+1](#)
 - Additionally, 30 brain metastasis cases (age- and sex-matched to the nonenhancing GBM group) were used for comparison [PubMed](#)

2. Quantitative MRI results: ADC and rCBV differences

- **Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC):**
 - The mean ADC in solid nonenhancing glioblastoma was significantly lower than in vasogenic edema ($1.08 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ [SD 0.22] vs. $1.74 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ [SD 0.17]) ($P < .001$). [PubMed+1](#)
 - In contrast, perilesional nonenhancing T2/FLAIR hyperintense regions around enhancing GBM had ADC values statistically indistinguishable from vasogenic edema (1.67×10^{-3} vs. 1.74×10^{-3} ; $P = .32$). [PubMed+1](#)
- **Relative cerebral blood volume (rCBV):**
 - Solid nonenhancing glioblastoma showed markedly higher rCBV than vasogenic edema (mean 2.4 [SD 0.86] vs. 0.30 [SD 0.13]) ($P < .001$). [PubMed+1](#)
 - The perilesional nonenhancing FLAIR regions also demonstrated significantly elevated rCBV compared to pure edema (0.60 [SD 0.61] vs. 0.30 [SD 0.13]; $P = .03$). [PubMed+1](#)

3. Diagnostic thresholds & performance

- For **distinguishing solid nonenhancing tumor vs. vasogenic edema**, the optimal thresholds were:
 - $ADC \leq 1.36 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$
 - $rCBV \geq 1.04$
- Using those cutoffs, the sensitivity ranged from 0.93 to 1.00 and specificity was 1.00 (i.e. perfect specificity) in this cohort. [PubMed+1](#)
- For **perilesional nonenhancing FLAIR regions**, to separate tumor infiltration from pure edema, an rCBV cutoff of 0.42 provided 86% specificity. [PubMed+1](#)

4. Interpretation & implications

- Diffusion (ADC) and perfusion (rCBV) MRI metrics hold strong discriminative power between **nonenhancing glioblastoma tissue** and **vasogenic edema**, especially when both parameters are considered. [AJNR+1](#)
- The findings suggest that **nonenhancing tumor foci** often present with **restricted diffusion** (lower ADC) and elevated perfusion (higher rCBV), reflecting greater cellularity and vascularity compared to edema. [AJNR+1](#)
- In perilesional regions, tumor infiltration may raise perfusion (rCBV) even when diffusion metrics are not distinct, offering an adjunct marker to detect infiltrative tumor beyond what ADC alone shows. [PubMed+1](#)
- These imaging biomarkers and threshold values may assist in better **preoperative planning**, more precise **image-guided biopsy/targeting**, and improved delineation of tumor margins versus edema. [AJNR+1](#)

5. Limitations & caveats (as noted by authors)

- Retrospective design and limited sample size for nonenhancing tumor group (only 13 patients, 15 lesions). [PubMed+1](#)
- The thresholds need external validation in larger, prospective cohorts. (Authors acknowledge the need for further study.) [AJNR+1](#)
- Potential overlap in imaging metrics in heterogeneous or mixed regions; some infiltrated edema may not fully segregate by ADC or rCBV alone.
- The study focuses on pretreatment imaging; it does not address longitudinal changes or treatment effects.

Summary statement

Alizada et al. show that combining diffusion-weighted and perfusion-weighted MRI, specifically ADC and rCBV, can reliably differentiate *nonenhancing glioblastoma tissue* from *vasogenic edema*. They propose specific threshold values ($ADC \leq 1.36 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$; $rCBV \geq 1.04$) with high sensitivity and perfect

specificity in their cohort. Additionally, even in nonenhancing perilesional FLAIR areas, elevated rCBV (above ~0.42) may indicate tumor infiltration. These findings, if validated, could refine image-based delineation of tumor margins and assist in surgical/radiation planning.

Citation (Vancouver style)

Alizada S, Aly Y, Bao H, Lakhani D, Yedavalli V, Sair H, Mei J. Differentiation between Nonenhancing Tumor in Glioblastoma and Vasogenic Edema using Diffusion-Weighted and Dynamic Susceptibility Contrast MR Imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2025 Sep 18. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A8788. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 40968014.

[9 Oct 2025]